

RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL

RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE

DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet DATE DECISION MADE: 19th April, 2016

Agenda Item 11

SUBJECT:

Medium Term Service Planning Update Council Revenue Budget 2016/17.

Cabinet Members Present County Borough Councillors:

A.Morgan (Chairman), R.Bevan, M.Forey, G. Hopkins, J. Rosser & M Webber.

Apologies for Absence County Borough Councillors:

A.Crimmings, E. Hanagan & K. Montague

1. DECISION MADE:

Agreed -

- To note the financial impact on the Council's Revenue Budget for 2016/17 following the decision taken not to implement changes in the Home to School Transport policy; and
- 2. To recommend to Council that the budget shortfall arising from the decision not to implement Home to School Transport Policy changes is addressed through:
 - Senior Management Structure Savings (part year 2016/17 impact of £0.280M)
 - Council Tax Reduction Scheme Savings (£0.600M)
 - Use of £0.04M available resources initially set aside as part of the fund to support hardship requirements in relation to Home to School policy changes

2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:

The need to provide Cabinet with an update on the financial impact on the Council's Revenue Budget, following the decision taken not to implement the change in the Home to School Transport Policy.
 (The Decision not to take forward the decision in respect of the changes to Home to school Transport due to the Council's greater financial flexibility - stronger progress in delivering efficiencies; significantly better financial settlement for 2016/17 from WG than previously forecast (http://www.rctcbc.gov.uk/EN/Council/CouncillorsCommitteesandMeetings/DelegatedDecisions/RelatedDocuments/Decisions/2015to2016/UrgentCabinetMemberDecisionNoticeHometoSchoolTransport.pdf))

3. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:

- Cabinet Committee 8th September, 2015
- Council 24th February, 2016 (Council's Revenue Budget Strategy)
- Cabinet Member Decision 16th March, 2016.

4. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:

None

5.	None
6. (a)	IS THE DECISION URGENT AND NOT TO BE THE SUBJECT OF ANY CALL- IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:
	YES NO $\sqrt{}$
Note: This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the expiry of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. 27 th April 2016 to enable it to be the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules.	
6. (b)	IF YES, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS URGENT: N/A
6. (c)	SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:
	N/A
	(Mayor) (Dated)
	A malley

(Proper Officer)

19.04.16 (Dated)