



## **RHONDDA CYNON TAF**

### **RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL**

#### **RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE**

**DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet    DATE DECISION MADE: 22<sup>nd</sup> January, 2014**

#### ***Agenda Item 8***

**SUBJECT:**  
School Crossing Patrol Policy

#### **Cabinet Members Present County Borough Councillors:**

A.Christopher (Chairman), P.Cannon, R.Bevan, (Mrs.)A.Davies,  
M.Forey, (Mrs.)E.Hanagan, C.Middle, A.Morgan, M.Webber and  
C.J.Willis

**In Attendance  
County Borough Councillor:**  
M.J.Watts

**1. DECISION MADE:**

**Agreed –**

- That the site assessment criteria as detailed in Appendix 2 to the report be adopted and used for the assessment of new and existing sites and that the Group Director, Environmental Services be given delegated powers to establish and disestablish sites.
- That existing sites be reviewed when a school crossing patrol leaves, when circumstances change, and in any case every two years.

**2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:**

- The contents of the report provides the Council with an objective review process that is aligned with national guidelines, thus ensuring that School Crossing Patrol sites are provided at locations where they are most needed.

**3. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:**

N/A

**4. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:**

None

**5. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):**

N/A

**6. (a) IS THE DECISION URGENT AND NOT TO BE THE SUBJECT OF ANY CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:**

YES      NO ✓

**Note:** This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the expiry of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. **Monday, 3<sup>rd</sup> February, 2014** to enable it to be the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules.

**6. (b) IF YES, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS URGENT:**

N/A

**6. (c) SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:**

N/A

.....  
(Mayor)

.....  
(Dated)



.....  
(Proper Officer)

23<sup>rd</sup> January, 2014

.....  
(Dated)