



## **RHONDDA CYNON TAF**

### **RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL**

#### **RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE**

#### **URGENT BUSINESS**

The Chairman of the meeting being of the opinion that the report of the Director, Legal and Democratic Services be considered at the meeting in accordance with the provision of Section 100(b)4(B) of the Local Government Act, 1972, as a matter of urgency, by reason of special circumstances i.e given the importance of the subject matter it was felt appropriate to give Cabinet the opportunity to consider the matter without any further delay.

**DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet    DATE DECISION MADE: 22<sup>nd</sup> January, 2014**

#### ***Agenda Item 10 (URGENT BUSINESS)***

#### **SUBJECT:**

Enhanced Check of Elected Members by the "Disclosure and Barring Service"

#### **Cabinet Members Present County Borough Councillors:**

A.Christopher (Chairman), P.Cannon, R.Bevan, (Mrs.)A.Davies,  
M.Forey, (Mrs.)E.Hanagan, C.Middle, A.Morgan, M.Webber and  
C.J.Willis

#### **In Attendance County Borough Councillor: M.J.Watts**

**1. DECISION MADE:**

**Agreed** – That at its next meeting of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee on the 4<sup>th</sup> February, 2014, Members be requested to undertake a review on the possibility of a policy being introduced whereby all elected Members of Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council undergo an enhanced check by the “Disclosure and Barring Service” and report back with recommendations to a future meeting of the Cabinet.

**Noted:** That prior to the above decision being made, the Cabinet considered the request received from the Leader of the main Opposition Group, namely Councillor P.Jarman that the matter be referred to the Standards Committee for consideration and not the Overview and Scrutiny Committee. The request was not agreed.

**2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:**

- The need for the proposal as contained in the Notice of Motion to the Council meeting on the 15<sup>th</sup> January, 2014, which in accordance with paragraph 10.6 of part 4 of the Council’s Constitution was treated as withdrawn, to be examined in detail by the Overview and Scrutiny Committee.

**3. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:**

N/A

**4. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:**

None

**5. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):**

N/A

**6. (a) IS THE DECISION URGENT AND NOT TO BE THE SUBJECT OF ANY CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:**

YES      NO ✓

**Note:** This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the expiry of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. **Monday, 3<sup>rd</sup> February, 2014** to enable it to be the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules.

**6. (b) IF YES, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS URGENT:**

N/A

**6. (c) SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:**

N/A

.....  
(Mayor)

.....  
(Dated)



.....  
(Proper Officer)

23<sup>rd</sup> January, 2014

.....  
(Dated)