

RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL

RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE

DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet DATE DECISION MADE: 19th February, 2014

Agenda Item 3

SUBJECT:

Proposed Revisions to Residential Parking Arrangements

Cabinet Members Present County Borough Councillors:

A.Christopher (Chairman), P.Cannon, R.Bevan, (Mrs.)A.Davies, M.Forey, (Mrs.)E.Hanagan, C.Middle, A.Morgan, M.Webber and C.J.Willis

Other Members in Attendance County Borough Councillors:

S.Bradwick, A.L.Davies, MBE, R.Lewis, R.B.McDonald, M.J.Powell, S.Rees-Owen, R.W.Smith and T.Williams

1. DECISION MADE:

Agreed -

- 1. To note the views of residents as shown at Appendix A to the report, on the two key consultation proposals, namely:
 - The introduction of charges (£10 for the first permit and £15 for a second permit) for residential parking permits.
 - The introduction of a limit on the number of permits per household (a maximum of two permits).
- 2. To approve the following measures, including some revisions to the current residential parking permit arrangements as summarised below and for the reasons as set out in the report:
 - Announce a formal review of existing Residential Parking Zones; with zones in Pontypridd and Aberdare being reviewed first.
 - Introduce charges for Residential Parking Permits in line with the consultation proposal.
 - Introduce a limit on the number of permits allowed per household, again in line with the consultation proposal.
 - Approve a revised Residential Parking Policy and associated procedures as outlined in Appendices B and C to the report.

Note: With the permission of the Chairman, County Borough Councillor S.Bradwick spoke on this item and indicated that he welcomed the proposals as outlined in the report. The Chairman informed Councillor Bradwick that the queries raised in respect of permits for Carers and the differentials between Zones 2 and 3 would be responded to him in writing by the Group Director, Environmental Services.

2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:

 The need to provide the results of the public consultation in respect of the proposed revisions to the current arrangements for residential parking zones in order for Cabinet to make an informed decision on the way forward.

3. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:

 A four week public consultation with respect of proposed revisions to the current arrangements for residential parking was undertaken between August and September, 2013 with letters and booklets being distributed to all current residential parking permit holders (approximately 2000 residents).

4. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:	
	None
5. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE): N/A
6. (a) IS THE DECISION URGENT A IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND S	AND NOT TO BE THE SUBJECT OF ANY CALL- SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:
Y	YES NO √
expiry of 5 clear working days afters	nto force and may note be implemented until the sits publication i.e. Friday, 28th February, 2014 to all-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and
6. (b) IF YES, REASONS WHY IN T DECISION IS URGENT:	THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE
6. (c) SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:	
N/A	ı.
 (Ma	ayor) (Dated)
	20 th February, 2014
(Proper Officer)	(Dated)