



RHONDDA CYNON TAF

RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL

RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE

DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet DATE DECISION MADE: 19th March, 2014

Agenda Item 9

SUBJECT:

Council Fees and Charges – Proposed Uplifts 2014/15

Cabinet Members Present County Borough Councillors:

P.Cannon (Chairman), R.Bevan, (Mrs.)A.Davies, M.Forey,
(Mrs.)E.Hanagan, C.Middle, A.Morgan, M.Webber and C.J.Willis

Apology for Absence County Borough Councillor

A.Christopher

Other Members in Attendance County Borough Councillors:

J.Bonetto, P.Jarman, M.J.Powell and C.J.Williams R.KTurner

Note: In the absence of the Chair, County Borough Councillor A.Christopher, the Vice-Chair, County Borough Councillor P.Cannon took the Chair.

1. DECISION MADE:

Agreed – To approve the proposed increases to Council fees and charges for the financial year 2014/15, as set out in Appendix 1 to the report, with effect from 1st April, 2014, or as soon as is practicable thereafter.

Note: With the permission of the Chairman, County Borough Councillors P.Jarman and M.J.Powell spoke on this item outlining their individual concerns on some of the increases listed in the Appendix to the report.

2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:

- The need for Cabinet to agree the proposed increases in order to deliver the required £0.500M of additional income included in the budget strategy and in order to put in place the necessary steps for increases to be effective as from the 1st April, 2014 (or as soon as is practicable thereafter).

3. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:

N/A.

4. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:

None

5. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):

N/A

6. (a) IS THE DECISION URGENT AND NOT TO BE THE SUBJECT OF ANY CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:

YES NO ✓

Note: This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the expiry of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. **Monday, 31st March, 2014** to enable it to be the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules.

6. (b) IF YES, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS URGENT:

N/A

6. (c) SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:

N/A

.....
(Mayor)

.....
(Dated)



.....
(Proper Officer)

21st March, 2014

.....
(Dated)