



## **RHONDDA CYNON TAF**

### **RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL**

#### **RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE**

**DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet    DATE DECISION MADE: 8<sup>th</sup> April, 2014**

#### ***Agenda Item 1***

#### **SUBJECT:**

Realignment of Learning Support Classes for Pupils with Special Educational Needs

#### **Cabinet Members Present County Borough Councillors:**

P.Cannon (Chairman), R.Bevan, (Mrs.)A.Davies, M.Forey,  
(Mrs.)E.Hanagan, C.Middle, A.Morgan, M.Webber and C.J.Willis

#### **Apology for Absence County Borough Councillor:**

A.Christopher

#### **Other Members in Attendance County Borough Councillor:**

M.J.Watts

**Note:** In the absence of the Chair, County Borough Councillor A.Christopher, the Vice-Chair, County Borough Councillor P.Cannon took the Chair.

**1. DECISION MADE:**

**Agreed –**

- To note that the Council has received no objections to the proposals during the statutory consultation period.
- To note the response of ESTYN within their consultation submissions and that whilst they have identified some concerns, they did not warrant any further changes to the proposals.
- To realign the implementation of the proposals to realign the Learning Support Classes with effect from 31<sup>st</sup> August, 2014.

**2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:**

- The need to advise Members of the outcome of the recent statutory notice consultation in respect of the proposal to realign mainstream Learning Support Class provision within Rhondda Cynon Taf.

**3. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:**

- Reports to Cabinet on 21<sup>st</sup> October, 2013 and 19<sup>th</sup> February, 2014.
- Statutory notices issued in respect of the proposed realignment on 3<sup>rd</sup> March, 2014 as shown in paragraph 5.1 of the report.

**4. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:**

None

**5. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):**

N/A

**6. (a) IS THE DECISION URGENT AND NOT TO BE THE SUBJECT OF ANY CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:**

YES      NO ✓

**Note:** This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the expiry of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. **Thursday, 17<sup>th</sup> April, 2014** to enable it to be the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules.

**6. (b) IF YES, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS URGENT:**

N/A

**6. (c) SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:**

N/A

.....  
(Mayor)

.....  
(Dated)

*C. Doe*

.....  
(Proper Officer)

9<sup>th</sup> April, 2014

.....  
(Dated)