



## **RHONDDA CYNON TAF**

### **RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL**

#### **RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE**

**DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet    DATE DECISION MADE: 31<sup>st</sup> July, 2014**

#### ***Agenda Item 1***

**SUBJECT:**  
Adult Education Funding Update

#### **Cabinet Members Present County Borough Councillors:**

A.Morgan (Chairman), R.Bevan, A.Crimmings, M.Forey, E.Hanagan,  
K.Montague and M.Webber

#### **Apologies for Absence County Borough Councillors:**

P.Cannon and G.Hopkins

#### **Other Members in Attendance County Borough Councillors:**

S.Bradwick, W.J.David, (Mrs.)A.Davies, P.Jarman, (Mrs.)C.Leyshon,  
S.Rees-Owen, G.Stacey, L.Walker and C.J.Williams

**1. DECISION MADE:**

**Agreed** – To note the contents of the report.

**Note:** With the permission of the Chairman, County Borough Councillor P.Jarman spoke on this item and reported on the work which was undertaken by a Scrutiny Working Group set up by the Education and Lifelong Learning Scrutiny Committee in 2010, whereby it was recommended at that time that the Welsh Government should consider this service to be a statutory function. A copy of the report of the Scrutiny Working Group was also forwarded to the Commissioner for Older People as well as the Cabinet. She also raised concerns on the impact that the lack of funding to the service would have on staff resulting in potential redundancies.

**2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:**

- The need to update Members on adult education funding and the potential impact on provision of accredited courses and informal learning across Rhondda Cynon Taf.

**3. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:**

- Appropriate Staff as outlined in paragraph 5.

**4. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:**

- In accordance with the Code of Conduct, County Borough Councillor A.Crimmings declared a personal and prejudicial interest on this matter as she works for Colleg y Cymoedd. She left the meeting during the discussion and vote being taken on this item.

**5. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):**

N/A

**6. (a) IS THE DECISION URGENT AND NOT TO BE THE SUBJECT OF ANY CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:**

YES      NO ✓

**Note:** This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the expiry of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. **Wednesday, 13<sup>th</sup> August, 2014** to enable it to be the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules.

**6. (b) IF YES, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS URGENT:**

N/A

**6. (c) SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:**

N/A

.....  
(Mayor)

.....  
(Dated)



.....  
(Proper Officer)

4<sup>th</sup> August, 2014

.....  
(Dated)