



RHONDDA CYNON TAF

RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL

RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE

DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet DATE DECISION MADE: 10th October, 2014

Agenda Item 1

SUBJECT:

School Admission Arrangements – Funding for Provision of Nursery Education

Cabinet Members Present County Borough Councillors:

A.Morgan (Chairman), A.Crimmings, M.Forey, E.Hanagan,
G.Hopkins, K.Montague and M.Webber

Apologies for Absence County Borough Councillors:

R.Bevan and P.Cannon

Other Members in Attendance County Borough Councillors:

T.Bates, J.Bonetto, S.Bradwick, S.Carter, C.Davies, M.Griffiths,
P.Griffiths, P.Jarman, C.Leyshon, I. Pearce, M.J.Powell, S.Rees-
Owen, L.Walker, P.Wasley and M.Weaver

1. DECISION MADE:

Agreed –

- To note the outcome of the judicial review of the Cabinet's decision of the 8th January, 2014 to amend school admission arrangements.
- To initiate a further consultation process in respect of the school admission arrangements service change proposal, as detailed in paragraph 5 and Appendix 1 to the report.

Note: With the permission of the Chairman County Borough Councillors P.Jarman, M.J.Powell, C.Davies and P.Wasley spoke on this item when it was reported by the Group Director, Corporate Services that statements made would form part of the consultation response.

2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:

- The need to update Members regarding the outcome of the judicial review of the phase 1 service change in respect of School Admission Arrangements and for Cabinet to consider the options as to way forward following the High Court's judgment that the decision of the Cabinet on the 8th January, 2014 in relation to this service change was deemed to be unlawful in some respects.

3. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:

- Report to Cabinet – 21st October, 2013.
- Consultation exercise undertaken following the Cabinet meeting on the 21st October, 2013.
- Report to Cabinet – 8th January, 2014.

4. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:

In accordance with the Members' Code of Conduct, the following Members declared personal interests:

- County Borough Councillor E.Hanagan – “Grandmother with children who will be affected.”
- County Borough Councillor M.Forey – “My grandchildren will be affected directly by implementation of the proposals.”.
- County Borough Councillor M.Webber - “I have grandchildren that will be affected”

5. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):

N/A

6. (a) IS THE DECISION URGENT AND NOT TO BE THE SUBJECT OF ANY CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:

YES NO ✓

Note: This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the expiry of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. **Monday, 20th October, 2014** to enable it to be the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules.

6. (b) IF YES, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS URGENT:

N/A

6. (c) SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:

N/A

.....
(Mayor)

.....
(Dated)



.....
(Proper Officer)

10th October, 2014

.....
(Dated)