



RHONDDA CYNON TAF

RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL

RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE

DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet DATE DECISION MADE: 30th October, 2014

Agenda Item 8

SUBJECT:

Proposed Realignment of Learning Support Classes for Pupils with Special Educational Needs

Cabinet Members Present County Borough Councillors:

A.Morgan (Chairman), P.Cannon, R.Bevan, A.Crimmings,
E.Hanagan, G.Hopkins, K.Montague and M.Webber

Apology for Absence County Borough Councillor:

M.Forey

Other Members in Attendance County Borough Councillors:

S.Bradwick and P.Wasley

1. DECISION MADE:

Agreed –

- To note the information contained within the report.
- To agree to the proposal as set out in paragraph 4.2 of the report in respect of the next stage of the realignment of mainstream Learning Support Classes (LSC) provision within the context of the new School Organisation Code 2013 i.e. that the four schools identified be consulted upon in year 3.

Note: With the permission of the Chairman, County Borough Councillor S.Bradwick spoke on this item and raised concern with regards to the consultation undertaken with staff at Aberdare Park Primary School.

2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:

- The need to inform Members of the progress made in relation to the realignment of mainstream Learning Support Class provision within Rhondda Cynon Taf.

3. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:

- Report to Cabinet – 18th November, 2013.
- Consultation undertaken as detailed in paragraph 3.2 of the report.

4. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:

- County Borough Councillor A.Crimmings declared a personal interest in this matter – “I am Chair of Governors at Aberdare Park Primary School”.

5. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):

N/A

6. (a) IS THE DECISION URGENT AND NOT TO BE THE SUBJECT OF ANY CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:

YES NO ✓

Note: This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the expiry of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. **Monday, 10th November, 2014** to enable it to be the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules.

6. (b) IF YES, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS URGENT:

N/A

6. (c) SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:

N/A

.....
(Mayor)

.....
(Dated)



.....
(Proper Officer)

31st October, 2014

.....
(Dated)