



RHONDDA CYNON TAF

RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL

RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE

DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet DATE DECISION MADE: 20th November, 2014

Agenda Item 1

SUBJECT:

Medium Term Service Planning – Service Change Proposals “One4All” Centres

Cabinet Members Present County Borough Councillors:

A.Morgan (Chairman), P.Cannon, R.Bevan, A.Crimmings, M.Forey,
E.Hanagan, G.Hopkins, K.Montague and M.Webber

Other Members in Attendance County Borough Councillors:

C.Davies and E.Webster

1. DECISION MADE:

Agreed –

- To implement the service reconfiguration proposal in respect of the Council's One4All Centres; and
- To implement the proposal as soon as is practicable.

Note: With the permission of the Chairman, County Borough Councillors C.Davies and E.Webster spoke on this matter and spoke of their concerns on the reconfiguration of the Council One4all Centres, with specific reference to the outreach centre in Treorchy Library.

2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:

- The need for Cabinet to consider the service change proposal in respect of the Council's One4All face to face customer contact centres as part of the Council's Medium Term Service Planning arrangements, specifically in the context of the need to reduce spend and enable the Council to fulfil its statutory responsibility and set a balanced budget from 2015/16 onwards.

3. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:

- In accordance with the Council's statutory obligations and Managing Change policy, staff and trade unions will be fully consulted at the appropriate time.

4. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:

None

5. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):

N/A

6. (a) IS THE DECISION URGENT AND NOT TO BE THE SUBJECT OF ANY CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:

YES NO ✓

Note: This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the expiry of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. **Wednesday, 3rd December, 2014** to enable it to be the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules.

6. (b) IF YES, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS URGENT:

N/A

6. (c) SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:

N/A

.....
(Mayor)

.....
(Dated)



.....
(Proper Officer)

24th November, 2014.....
(Dated)