



RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL

RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE

DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet DATE DECISION MADE: 19th March, 2015.

Agenda Item 2

SUBJECT:

Establishment of a Cwm Taf Multi Agency Safeguarding Hub (MASH)

**Cabinet Members Present
County Borough Councillors:**

A.Morgan (Chairman), P.Cannon, R.Bevan, A.Crimmings, M.Forey,
E.Hanagan, G.Hopkins, and M.Webber

**Apology for Absence
County Borough Councillor:
K. Montague**

**Other Members in Attendance
County Borough Councillors:
P. Jarman and L. Walker**

1. DECISION MADE:

Agreed –

- To note the contents of the report and the progress made in by all agencies involved in the project since the last report in November.
- To note the areas included within the legal agreement
- To receive further reports after the full implementation of the Cwm Taf MASH Model
- To delegate authority for the Group Director of Community and Children's Services, in consultation with the Cabinet Member for Children's Social Services and Equalities, s151 Officer and Director, Legal & Democratic Services to agree and finalise the terms of the legal agreement.

N.B. With the permission of the Chairman, County Borough Councillor P Jarman spoke on this matter, and officers duly responded.

2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:

- The need to provide Cabinet Members with information in respect of the progress and developments of a Cwm Taf Multi Agency Safeguarding Hub (MASH).

3. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:

- Report to Cabinet – 28th October, 2013; 20th November 2014;
- Cwm Taf Children & Adult Safeguarding Board

4. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:

- County Borough Councillor R Bevan declared a personal "My daughter is the Project Manager for MASH and is the author of the report".

5. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):

N/A

6. (a) IS THE DECISION URGENT AND NOT TO BE THE SUBJECT OF ANY CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:

YES NO ✓

Note: This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the expiry of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. **27th March 2015** to enable it to be the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules.

6. (b) IF YES, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS URGENT:

N/A

6. (c) SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:

N/A

.....
(Mayor)

.....
(Dated)



.....
(Proper Officer)

19.03.15
(Dated)