

RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL

RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE

DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet DATE DECISION MADE: 25th June, 2015.

Agenda Item 6

SUBJECT:

Intermediate Care Fund Allocation for Cwm Taf: 2015-2016

Cabinet Members Present County Borough Councillors:

A.Morgan (Chairman), R.Bevan, A.Crimmings, M.Forey, E. Hanagan, K. Montague, J Rosser and M.Webber

Apology for Absence County Borough Councillor: G Hopkins

Other Councillors in Attendance: P Griffiths & R Smith.

1. DECISION MADE:

Agreed –

- To note the allocation of the Intermediate Care Fund for 2015/16 as endorsed by the Cwm Taf Social Services and Wellbeing Partnership Board.
- To note that further guidance is awaited on an indicative allocation of £243k for innovations
- To note that a comprehensive evaluation framework will be developed and monitored through the Cwm Taf Social Services and Wellbeing Partnership Governance arrangements to meet Welsh Government requirements.
- To note the allocation of the ICF Grant in Cwm Taf for 2015/16 as agreed by the Cwm Taf Social Services and Wellbeing Board on the 14th of May, 2015.

2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:

• The need for Cabinet to be provided with an update on the Intermediate Care Fund and its allocations within Cwm Taf for 2015/16.

3. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:

• N/A

4. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:

None

5. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE): N/A

6. (a) IS THE DECISION URGENT AND NOT TO BE THE SUBJECT OF ANY CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:

YES NO $\sqrt{}$

Note: This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the expiry of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e.**3rd July 2015** to enable it to be the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules.

6. (b) IF YES, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECIS DECISION IS URGENT:	SION-MAKER TH
N/A	

6. (c) SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:

(Mayor)	(Dated)
N/A	

.....

(Proper Officer)

25.06.15 (Dated)