



RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL

RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE

DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet DATE DECISION MADE: 23rd July, 2015

Agenda Item 4

SUBJECT:

Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) – Regulations 123 List (Scrutiny Recommendations)

**Cabinet Members Present
County Borough Councillors:**

A.Morgan (Chairman), R.Bevan, A.Crimmings, M.Forey, E.Hanagan,
G.Hopkins, K. Montague and M.Webber

**Apology for Absence
County Borough Councillor:
J Rosser**

**Other Members in Attendance
County Borough Councillor(s):
P Jarman, P Wasley & R Smith**

1. DECISION MADE:

Agreed –

- To approve the proposed process for formulating, monitoring and revising the Regulation 123 list as set out in paragraph 3.4 of the report.

2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:

- The need for Cabinet to consider the recommendations of the Finance and Performance Scrutiny Committee following its meeting held on the 15th June, 2015.

3. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:

- Report to Cabinet – 30th October, 2014
- Corporate Services Scrutiny Committee – 18th November, 2014
- Corporate Services Scrutiny Committee – 9th April, 2015
- Cabinet – 14th May, 2015
- Finance and Performance Scrutiny Committee – 15th June, 2015

4. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:

- County Borough Councillor G Hopkins declared a personal interest “I am a Member of Llanharan Community Council”.

5. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):

N/A

6. (a) IS THE DECISION URGENT AND NOT TO BE THE SUBJECT OF ANY CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:

YES NO ✓

Note: This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the expiry of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. **31st July, 2015** to enable it to be the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny

Procedure Rules.

6. (b) IF YES, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS URGENT:

N/A

6. (c) SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:

N/A

.....
(Mayor)

.....
(Dated)



.....
(Proper Officer)

23.07.15
(Dated)