



RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL

RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE

DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet DATE DECISION MADE: 27th AUGUST, 2015.

Agenda Item 3

SUBJECT:

RCT Together Process: Community Asset & Service Transfer

**Cabinet Members Present
County Borough Councillors:**

A.Morgan (Chairman), R.Bevan, A.Crimmings, M.Forey, G Hopkins
K. Montague, J Rosser and M.Webber

**Apology for Absence
County Borough Councillor:**

E.Hanagan,

Other Councillor(s) in Attendance:-

A L Davies MBE, R Lewis, S Jones & E Webster

1. DECISION MADE:

Agreed –

- To endorse the Voluntary Sector Liaison Steering Group's recommendation to provide a '30 Day Window of Opportunity' for 'Promotion of Assets' that have been identified by the Group
- To endorse those Expressions of Interest already identified by the Voluntary Sector Liaison Steering Group (as identified in Appendix A of the report) to allow for further consideration as a Community Asset and Service Transfer.

2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:

- The need to promote the RCT Together: 'Community Asset and Service Transfer Expressions of Interest' within the public domain, to assist in establishing an open, equitable and transparent process for service and asset transfers
- The need to progress the identified Expressions of Interest for further consideration.

3. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:

- Voluntary Sector Liaison Steering Group meetings

4. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:

- County Borough Councillor K Montague declared the following personal and non prejudicial interest "Previously I have worked closely with Maerdy Kidz R Us, but I have not been involved in their Expression Of Interest".

5. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):

N/A

6. (a) IS THE DECISION URGENT AND NOT TO BE THE SUBJECT OF ANY CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:

YES NO ✓

Note: This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the expiry of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. **7th September 2015** to enable it to be the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules.

6. (b) IF YES, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS URGENT:

N/A

6. (c) SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:

N/A

.....
(Mayor)

.....
(Dated)



.....
(Proper Officer)

27.08.15
(Dated)