



RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL

RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE

DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet DATE DECISION MADE: 27th AUGUST, 2015.

Agenda Item 4

SUBJECT:

Service Change Proposals:-Options for reducing streetlighting energy costs by converting existing lighting units to light emitting diode (LED) units.

**Cabinet Members Present
County Borough Councillors:**

A.Morgan (Chairman), R.Bevan, A.Crimmings, M.Forey, G Hopkins
K. Montague, J Rosser and M.Webber

**Apology for Absence
County Borough Councillor:
E.Hanagan,**

**Other Councillor(s) in Attendance:-
A L Davies MBE, R Lewis, S Jones & E Webster**

1. DECISION MADE:

Agreed –

- To implement the package of energy saving measures identified in options 1&3 of the report, delivering net annual savings of £170k (full year)
- That in light of the ongoing potential to reduce energy and carbon costs by investing in further packages of LED replacement lighting, that a further report be brought forward during 2015/16 setting out options for potentially further investment during 2016/17.

2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:

- The need for Cabinet to consider and implement service change options for reducing revenue costs for street lighting by converting existing lighting units to more efficient light emitting diode (LED) energy savings units .

3. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:

- Cabinet Report – 14th May, 2014.

4. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:

- None

5. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):

N/A

6. (a) IS THE DECISION URGENT AND NOT TO BE THE SUBJECT OF ANY CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:

YES NO ✓

Note: This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the expiry of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. **7th September 2015** to enable it to be the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules.

6. (b) IF YES, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS URGENT:

N/A

6. (c) SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:

N/A

.....
(Mayor)

.....
(Dated)



.....
(Proper Officer)

27.08.15
(Dated)