



**RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL**

**RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE**

**DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet    DATE DECISION MADE: 22<sup>nd</sup> October, 2015.**

***Agenda Item 7***

**SUBJECT:**

Adoption of an Ethical Procurement Policy (as recommended by the Zero Hours Scrutiny Working Group).

**Cabinet Members Present  
County Borough Councillors:**

A.Morgan (Chairman), R.Bevan, A.Crimmings, M.Forey,  
E. Hanagan, G Hopkins, K. Montague, J. Rosser & M.Webber

**Other County Borough Councillor(s)  
in Attendance:-**

P Jarman, T Leyshon, L Walker, E Webster &  
P Wasley.

**1. DECISION MADE:**

**Agreed –**

- To adopt the draft Ethical Procurement Policy as set out in Appendix 2 of the report
- That a Joint Press release with the Trade Unions be taken forward.
- That the WLGA be informed of the policy for the purpose of circulating to other Welsh Councils as an example of best practice.

**N.B** With the permission of the Chairman, County Borough Councillor P Jarman spoke on this item.

**2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:**

- The need to provide Cabinet with the findings and recommendations of the Council's Scrutiny Working Group formed to consider the issue of 'Zero Hours' contracts.
- Introduction of the policy commits contractors to ethical practices and supports the ethical management of 'zero hours' contracts.

**3. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:**

- Council meeting – 4<sup>th</sup> March & 22<sup>nd</sup> July, 2015
- Scrutiny Working Group – 9<sup>th</sup> July & 2<sup>nd</sup> September, 2015.
- Joint Trade Unions – 2<sup>nd</sup> September, 2015.

**4. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:**

- None

**5. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):**

N/A

**6. (a) IS THE DECISION URGENT AND NOT TO BE THE SUBJECT OF ANY CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:**

YES      NO ✓

**Note:-** This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the expiry of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. **30<sup>th</sup> October, 2015** to enable it to be the subject to the call In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview & Scrutiny Procedure Rules.

**6. (b) IF YES, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS URGENT:**

N/A

**6. (c) SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:**

N/A

.....  
(Mayor)

.....  
(Dated)



.....  
(Proper Officer)

**22.10.15**  
(Dated)