



**RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL**

**RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE**

**DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet    DATE DECISION MADE: 24<sup>th</sup> November, 2015.**

***Agenda Item 11***

**SUBJECT:**

Compliance Notice – Section 44 Welsh Language (Wales) Measure 2011.

**Cabinet Members Present  
County Borough Councillors:**

A.Morgan (Chairman), R.Bevan, A.Crimmings,  
E. Hanagan, G Hopkins, K. Montague, J. Rosser & M.Webber

**Apologies for attendance  
County Borough Councillors:**

M.Forey

**Other County Borough Councillor(s)**

**In Attendance:-**

C Davies, G Davies, P Jarman,  
& E Webster

**1. DECISION MADE:**

**Agreed –**

- To note the content of the report and the Compliance Notice received from the Welsh Language Commissioner.
- To authorise the Chief Executive to implement the service standards set out in the Compliance Notice in accordance with the set timescales
- To authorise the Welsh Language Cabinet Steering Group in discussion with the Welsh Local Government Association to challenge the Welsh Language Commissioner on any standards that are considered to be unreasonable or disproportionate as defined by the standard of reasonableness and proportionality in administrative law.
- That regular reports are to be provided to the Welsh Language Cabinet Steering Group to allow Members to monitor and challenge the implementation of the service standards.

**2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:**

- To implement the service standards set out in the Compliance Notice in accordance with the set timescales and to allow the Welsh Language Cabinet Steering Group to challenge those standards which are considered to be unreasonable or disproportionate.

**3. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:**

- Welsh Language Cabinet Steering Group – 22<sup>nd</sup> July & 10<sup>th</sup> November, 2015.
- Cabinet Committee – 23<sup>rd</sup> July, 2015.

**4. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:**

- None

**5. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):**  
N/A

**6. (a) IS THE DECISION URGENT AND NOT TO BE THE SUBJECT OF ANY CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:**

YES      NO

**Note:** This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the expiry of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. **2<sup>nd</sup> December 2015** to enable it to be the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules.

**6. (b) IF YES, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS URGENT:**

N/A

**6. (c) SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:**

N/A

.....  
(Mayor)

.....  
(Dated)



.....  
(Proper Officer)

**24.11.15**  
(Dated)