



RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL

RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE

DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet DATE DECISION MADE: 24th November, 2015.

Agenda Item 9

SUBJECT:

Council Investment Priorities – Mid Year Review – Supplementary Capital Programme For Highways, Transportation and Strategic Projects.

**Cabinet Members Present
County Borough Councillors:**

A.Morgan (Chairman), R.Bevan, A.Crimmings,
E. Hanagan, G Hopkins, K. Montague, J. Rosser & M.Webber

**Apologies for attendance
County Borough Councillors:**

M.Forey

Other County Borough Councillor(s)

In Attendance:-

C Davies, G Davies, P Jarman,
& E Webster

1. DECISION MADE:

Agreed –

- To note and approve the supplementary Capital Programme detailing Investment Priorities for Roads and Structures and Transportation Infrastructure as detailed within the report.

N.B with the permission of the Chairman County Borough Councillors G Davies, P Jarman and E Webster spoke on this item.

2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:

- To advise Members of the detailed capital programme for the Highways and Streetcare Services in accordance with the Investment Priorities under Roads and Structures and Transportation Infrastructure.
- To allow continued investment in the Councils Highway and Transportation infrastructure.

3. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:

- N/A

4. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:

- None

5. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):
N/A

6. (a) IS THE DECISION URGENT AND NOT TO BE THE SUBJECT OF ANY CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:

YES NO ✓

Note: This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the expiry of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. **2nd December 2015** to enable it to be the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules.

6. (b) IF YES, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS URGENT:

N/A

6. (c) SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:

N/A

.....
(Mayor)

.....
(Dated)



.....
(Proper Officer)

24.11.15
(Dated)