



RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL

RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE

DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet DATE DECISION MADE: 15th December, 2015.

Agenda Item 5

SUBJECT:

Health & Wellbeing – Scrutiny Recommendations.

**Cabinet Members Present
County Borough Councillors:**

A.Morgan (Chairman), R.Bevan, A.Crimmings, M.Forey,
G Hopkins, K. Montague & J. Rosser

**Apologies for Absence
County Borough Councillor(s)**

E. Hanagan & M.Webber

**Other County Borough Councillor(s)
In Attendance:-**

P Jarman, G Davies & J Watts

1. DECISION MADE:

Agreed –

- To note the work of the scrutiny committee as outlined within Appendix 1 of the report.
- In principle to each of the recommendations contained within section 4.3 of the report, subject to further consideration by the Cabinet Portfolio holder for this area, following which a detailed response is provided to the Health & WellBeing Scrutiny Committee.

N.B with the permission of the Chairman, County Borough Councillors P Jarman and G Davies spoke on this item.

2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:

- The need for Cabinet Members to consider the work of the Scrutiny Committee, looking at issues in relation to Empty Properties within the private sector in RCT.

3. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:

- Health & Wellbeing Scrutiny Committee – 2nd September, 2015.
- Empty Properties Scrutiny Working Group.

4. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:

- None.

5. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):

N/A

6. (a) IS THE DECISION URGENT AND NOT TO BE THE SUBJECT OF ANY CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:

YES NO ✓

Note: This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the expiry of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. **24th December 2015** to enable it to be the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules.

6. (b) IF YES, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS URGENT:

N/A

6. (c) SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:

N/A

.....
(Mayor)

.....
(Dated)



.....
(Proper Officer)

16.12.15
(Dated)