



RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL

RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE

DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet DATE DECISION MADE: 11th February 2016

Agenda Item 4

SUBJECT:
Maesyffynnon Residential Care Home.

**Cabinet Members Present
County Borough Councillors:**

A.Morgan (Chairman), A.Crimmings, M Forey, E. Hanagan,
K. Montague, J. Rosser & M Webber.

**Apologies for Absence
County Borough Councillors:**

R.Bevan & G Hopkins

1. DECISION MADE:

Agreed –

- To note the contents of the report and the range of options set out in paragraph 6 of the report with regards to the future of, and provision of services at, Maesyffynnon Residential Care Home.
- To initiate a six week consultation with regards to the preferred option as identified in the report, being Option 3a, namely to permanently close Maesyffynnon Residential Care Home and develop alternative extra care housing provision on the current site of the Home.
- To receive a further report detailing the results and feedback from the consultation process, including details of the results of an Equality Impact Assessment, which will be undertaken to determine whether, and if so how, it wishes to progress with the preferred option.

2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:

- The need for Cabinet to consider the potential options with regards to the future of and provision of services at Maesyffynnon Residential Care Home, following the Cabinet decision taken on the 6th October, 2015 to temporarily close the home.
- The need to initiate a six week consultation on the preferred option highlighted within the report.

3. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:

- Cabinet – 6th October, 2015.

4. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:

- None

5. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):

- None

6. (a) IS THE DECISION URGENT AND NOT TO BE THE SUBJECT OF ANY CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:

YES NO ✓

Note: This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the expiry of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. **19th February, 2016** to enable it to be the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules.

6. (b) IF YES, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS URGENT:

N/A

6. (c) SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:

N/A

.....
(Mayor)

.....
(Dated)



.....
(Proper Officer)

11.02.16
(Dated)