



RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL

RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE

DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet DATE DECISION MADE: 19th May, 2016

Agenda Item 5

SUBJECT:

Regulation of Investigatory Powers Act 2000 (RIPA) – Use of RIPA in 2015-16
by Rhonda Cynon Taf County Borough Council.

**Cabinet Members Present
County Borough Councillors:**

A.Morgan (Chairman), R.Bevan, M.Forey, G. Hopkins, J. Rosser
& M Webber.

**Apologies for Absence
County Borough Councillors:**

A.Crimmings, E. Hanagan & K. Montague

1. DECISION MADE:

Agreed –

1. To note the contents of the report.
2. To acknowledge RIPA has been used in an appropriate manner that is consistent with the Council's RIPA policies during the period 1st April 2015 – 31st March 2016.
3. To acknowledge that the current Corporate RIPA policy and the current Corporate Policy on the Acquisitions of Communications Data under RIPA remain fit and therefore continue to apply.

2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:

- The need to appraise Members of how RIPA has been used during the period 1st April 2015 – 31st March 2016.
- The need to set the Corporate Policies for the Council for the continued use of RIPA.

3. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:

- The report has been prepared in consultation with the Council's Trading Standards Manager who is responsible for operational oversight of RIPA matters.

4. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:

- None

5. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):-

- None

6. (a) IS THE DECISION URGENT AND NOT TO BE THE SUBJECT OF ANY CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:

YES NO ✓

Note: This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the expiry of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. 27th May 2016 to enable it to be the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules.

6. (b) IF YES, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS URGENT:

N/A

6. (c) SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:

N/A

.....
(Mayor)

.....
(Dated)


.....
(Proper Officer)

19.05.16
(Dated)