



RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL

RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE

DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet DATE DECISION MADE: 23rd June, 2016

Agenda Item 12

SUBJECT:
Recommissioning Domiciliary Home Care Services

**Cabinet Members Present
County Borough Councillors:**

A.Morgan (Chairman), R.Bevan, A.Crimmings, M.Forey,
E Hanagan, G. Hopkins, M Norris & M Webber.

**Apologies for Absence
County Borough Councillor:**

J. Rosser

**Other County Borough Councillors
in Attendance**

S Jones & T Williams

1. DECISION MADE:

Following consideration of the report of the Group Director, Community & Children's Services containing exempt information as defined in paragraph 14 of Part 4 of schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972, (as amended) namely information which relates to the financial or business affairs of any particular person (including the authority that holds that information), it was **Agreed –**

1. To note the plans and progress to date in recommissioning of independent domiciliary home care services
2. To note the decision of the Group Director, Community & Children's Services, to award contracts for the domiciliary home care services to the five providers outlined within the report, for a period of 6 years from the 1st October 2016 to the 30th September 2022, with the option to extend further for up to 4 years.

2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:

- The need to award new contracts for domiciliary home services from the 1st October, 2016 due to the natural contract end of the current contracts in place.

3. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:

- A communications strategy is to be implemented to ensure all service users, stakeholders and Council staff are aware of the new arrangements and supported through any changes.

4. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:

- None

5. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):-

- None

6. (a) IS THE DECISION URGENT AND NOT TO BE THE SUBJECT OF ANY CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:

YES NO ✓

Note: This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the expiry of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. **1st July 2016** to enable it to be the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules.

6. (b) IF YES, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS URGENT:

N/A

6. (c) SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:

N/A

.....
(Mayor)

.....
(Dated)



.....
(Proper Officer)

23.06.16
(Dated)