

RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL

RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE

DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet **DATE DECISION MADE:** 19th July, 2016

Agenda Item : 11

SUBJECT: Corporate Asset Management Plan 2013/18 Interim Update.

**Cabinet Members Present
County Borough Councillors:**

A.Morgan (Chairman), R.Bevan, A.Crimmings, M.Forey,
& G. Hopkins.

**Apology for Absence
County Borough Councillors:**

E Hanagan, M Norris, J Rosser & M Webber

Other Councillor(s) in Attendance:-

C Davies, T Leyshon & J Ward

1. DECISION MADE:

Following consideration of the report of the Group Director, Corporate & Frontline Services containing exempt information as defined in Paragraph 14 of Part 4 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972 (as amended) namely, information relating to the financial affairs of any particular person (including the authority holding that information), it was **Agreed –**

1. To note the Progress of the implementation of the work plan.

2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:

- The need to brief Members regarding the progress with implementation of the work plan of the Corporate Asset Management Plan for Property Assets 2013/18.

3. LINKS TO CORPORATE PRIORITIES / FUTURE GENERATIONS – SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT.

- Living within our means

4. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:

- None

5. PREVIOUS CONSIDERATION BY A COMMITTEE OF THE COUNCIL

- Cabinet – 23rd July, 2015 and June 2013

6. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:

- None

7. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):
N/A

8. (a) IS THE DECISION SUBJECT TO CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:

YES √ NO

Note: This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the expiry of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. Implementation date of the **27th July, 2016** to enable it to be the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules.

8. (b) IF NO, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS DEEMED EXEMPT OR NON APPLICABLE:

I. COUNCIL/SCRUTINY FUNCTION (CALL IN IS THEREFORE NON APPLICABLE):-

Reason:.....**N/A**.....

II. URGENT DECISION:-

Reason:.....**N/A**.....

8. (c) IF DEEMED URGENT - SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:

N/A

.....
(Mayor)

.....
(Dated)



.....
(Proper Officer)

19th July, 2016
(Dated)