



RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL

RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE

DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet DATE DECISION MADE: 19th July, 2016

Agenda Item : 5

SUBJECT: Redevelopment of the Former Taff Vale Precinct Site.

**Cabinet Members Present
County Borough Councillors:**

A.Morgan (Chairman), R.Bevan, A.Crimmings, M.Forey,
& G. Hopkins.

**Apology for Absence
County Borough Councillor:**

E Hanagan, M Norris, J Rosser & M Webber

Other Councillor(s) in Attendance:-

C Davies, T Leyshon & J Ward

1. DECISION MADE:

Agreed –

1. To note the progress made since the Council acquired the long leasehold interest on the site in March 2015.
2. To authorise the demolition of the remaining site structure to allow the site to be redeveloped without current restrictions, including the submission of consents as necessary.
3. To authorise £1.5million spend from the Council's Investment Priorities budget for site demolition and associated costs, and the use of external funding opportunities.
4. To authorise the submission of an outline planning application for the proposed redevelopment of the site, and subsequent applications, subject to outline approval.
5. To further development work being undertaken to progress the design options for the provision of a link bridge connecting the town with Ynysangharad Park and Lido and to maximise the number of parking spaces available in the adjacent Gas Road car park.

2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:

- The need to provide Cabinet with an update on the progress made to date in respect of the redevelopment of the Former Taff Vale Precinct Site.
- To need for Cabinet Member approval in respect of further redevelopment of the site and the adjacent area.

3. LINKS TO CORPORATE PRIORITIES / FUTURE GENERATIONS – SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT.

- Building a Strong Economy
- Improving our Communities

4. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:

- As outlined within 6.2 of the report a Consultation exercise was undertaken in January 2016. Further consultation will be undertaken as part of the Statutory Planning process.

5. PREVIOUS CONSIDERATION BY A COMMITTEE OF THE COUNCIL

- None

6. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:

None

7. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):

N/A

8. (a) IS THE DECISION SUBJECT TO CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:

YES NO

Note: This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the expiry of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. Date of Implementation is the **27th July, 2016** to enable it to be the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules.

8. (b) IF NO, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS DEEMED EXEMPT OR NON APPLICABLE:

I. COUNCIL /SCRUTINY FUNCTION (CALL IN IS THEREFORE NON APPLICABLE):-

Reason:.....**N/A**.....

II. URGENT DECISION:-

Reason:.....**N/A**.....

8. (c) IF DEEMED URGENT - SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:

N/A

.....
(Mayor)

.....
(Dated)



.....
(Proper Officer)

19th July, 2016
(Dated)