



**RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL**

**RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE**

**DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet    DATE DECISION MADE: 25<sup>th</sup> October 2016**

***Agenda Item: 3***

**SUBJECT: Scrutiny Recommendations**

**Cabinet Members Present  
County Borough Councillors:**

A. Morgan (Chair), M.Webber, R.Bevan,  
A.Crimmings,  
G.Hopkins, E.Hanagan,

**Apology for Absence  
County Borough Councillor:**  
J.Rosser, M.Forey & M.Norris.

**Other Councillor(s) in Attendance:-**

**1. DECISION MADE:**

**Agreed –**

- To note the review provided by the Council's Finance & Performance Scrutiny Committee
- To agree in principle the recommendation contained within the report, subject to further consideration by the Cabinet Portfolio holders for this area, following which a detailed response is provided to the relevant Scrutiny Committees.

**2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:**

- The need to provide Cabinet Members with the conclusions and recommendations of the Finance & Performance Scrutiny Working Group which conducted a review in respect of the Council's Office Accommodation Strategy.

**3. LINKS TO CORPORATE PRIORITIES / FUTURE GENERATIONS – SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT.**

- The Council's Corporate Plan

**4. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:**

- As outlined within Appendix 1 of the report, consultation was conducted through the reviews carried out by the Finance & Performance Scrutiny Committee.

**5. PREVIOUS CONSIDERATION BY A COMMITTEE OF THE COUNCIL**

- Finance & Performance Scrutiny Committee – 20<sup>th</sup> July 2016

**6. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:**

- None

**7. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):**

N/A

**8. (a) IS THE DECISION SUBJECT TO CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:**

YES  NO

**Note:** This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the expiry of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. **2<sup>nd</sup> November, 2016** to enable it to be the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules.

**8. (b) IF NO, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS DEEMED EXEMPT OR NON APPLICABLE:**

- I. COUNCIL FUNCTION (CALL IN IS THEREFORE NON APPLICABLE):-  
Reason:.....**N/A**.....
- II. URGENT DECISION:-  
Reason:.....**N/A**.....

**8. (c) IF DEEMED URGENT - SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:**

N/A

.....  
(Mayor)

.....  
(Dated)



.....  
(Proper Officer)

25<sup>th</sup> October, 2016  
(Dated)