



RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL

RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE

DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet DATE DECISION MADE: 2nd November, 2016

Agenda Item : 3

**SUBJECT: REDEVELOPMENT OF THE FORMER TAFF VALE PRECINCT
SITE**

**Cabinet Members Present
County Borough Councillors:**

A.Morgan (Chairman), R.Bevan, A.Crimmings, M.Forey,
G. Hopkins, M Norris, E Hanagan, M Webber, J.Rosser

**Apology for Absence
County Borough Councillor:**

Other Councillor(s) in Attendance:-

1. DECISION MADE:

Agreed –

1. To instigate the procurement of external consultant teams for the next stages of surveys, design, project and cost management in order to proceed to the next stage of project delivery.
2. To carry out all work necessary to further develop the programme producing a detailed delivery programme with key milestones; producing a robust cost plan to set a realistic budget; to developing fully detailed design proposals to deal with all reserved matters, and procuring a suitable contractor.
3. To receive further reports as the scheme progresses, in particular the full business case that includes further details of the total funding package for the capital investment.

2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:

- The need to update Members on progress with the redevelopment of the former Taff Vale Precinct site and to seek approval for the next stages of the delivery of development on the site.

3. LINKS TO CORPORATE PRIORITIES / FUTURE GENERATIONS – SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT.

- Corporate Priorities “Economy – Building a stronger economy” and “A prosperous Wales”

4. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:

- As outlined in section 8 of the report, Consultation has been undertaken about the potential uses for the site, and further consultation on the proposed Taff Vale site redevelopment has been completed as part of the Statutory Planning process.

5. PREVIOUS CONSIDERATION BY A COMMITTEE OF THE COUNCIL

- Cabinet – 19th July 2016

6. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:

- *None*

7. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):
N/A

8. (a) IS THE DECISION SUBJECT TO CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:

YES NO

Note: This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the expiry of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. **10th November, 2016** to enable it to be the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules.

8. (b) IF NO, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS DEEMED EXEMPT OR NON APPLICABLE:

I. COUNCIL FUNCTION (CALL IN IS THEREFORE NON APPLICABLE):-
Reason:.....**N/A**.....
II. URGENT DECISION:-
Reason:.....**N/A**.....

8. (c) IF DEEMED URGENT - SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:

N/A
.....
(Mayor) **(Dated)**



.....
(Proper Officer)

2ND November, 2016
(Dated)