



RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL

RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE

DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet DATE DECISION MADE: 2nd November, 2016

Agenda Item : 8

**SUBJECT: COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AS AN INDIVIDUAL PUBLIC BODY TO
THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE WELL-BEING OF FUTURE GENERATIONS
ACT 2015**

**Cabinet Members Present
County Borough Councillors:**

A.Morgan (Chairman), R.Bevan, A.Crimmings, M.Forey,
G. Hopkins, M Norris, E Hanagan, M Webber, J.Rosser

**Apology for Absence
County Borough Councillor:**

Other Councillor(s) in Attendance:-

1. DECISION MADE:

Agreed –

- To consider the content of the Policy Statement in respect of the Well-being of Future Generations Act 2015.
- The approach to the implementation of the Well-being of Future Generations Act.
- Review and challenge the Council's self assessment of the Corporate Plan – '*The Way Ahead*' against the requirements of the Well-being of Future Generations Act 2015.
- To update the Corporate Plan, for any Well-being of Future Generations Act 2015 requirements as part of the annual review of the Plan in April 2017.

2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:

- The need to update Cabinet on the steps taken by the Council as an individual public body, to address its responsibilities under the Well-being of Future Generation Act 2015.

3. LINKS TO CORPORATE PRIORITIES / FUTURE GENERATIONS – SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT.

- Well being of Future Generations Act.

4. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:

- None

5. PREVIOUS CONSIDERATION BY A COMMITTEE OF THE COUNCIL

- None

6. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:

- None

7. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):
N/A

8. (a) IS THE DECISION SUBJECT TO CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:

YES NO

Note: This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the expiry of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. **10th November, 2016** to enable it to be the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules.

8. (b) IF NO, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS DEEMED EXEMPT OR NON APPLICABLE:

- I. COUNCIL FUNCTION (CALL IN IS THEREFORE NON APPLICABLE):-
Reason:.....**N/A**.....
- II. URGENT DECISION:-
Reason:.....**N/A**.....

8. (c) IF DEEMED URGENT - SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:

N/A

.....
(Mayor)

.....
(Dated)



.....
(Proper Officer)

2ND November, 2016
(Dated)