

#### RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL

### RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE

**DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet** DATE DECISION MADE: 24<sup>th</sup> November, 2016

Agenda Item: 7

SUBJECT: CWM TAF SAFEGUARDING BOARD ANNUAL REPORT 2015/16

# **Cabinet Members Present County Borough Councillors:**

A.Morgan (Chairman), R.Bevan, A.Crimmings, G. Hopkins, M Norris, E Hanagan, M Webber, M.Forey, J.Rosser

Apology for Absence County Borough Councillor:

Other Councillor(s) in Attendance:-

#### 1. DECISION MADE:

## Agreed -

- 1. To note the content of the Cwm Taf Safeguarding Boards Annual Reports for 2015/16.
- 2. To endorse the priorities identified for 2016/17.

#### 2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:

 The need to share with Cabinet the Annual Reports for 2015/16 for the Cwm Taf Safeguarding Children Board (CTSCB) and the Cwm Taf Safeguarding Adults Board (CTSAB).

# 3. LINKS TO CORPORATE PRIORITIES / FUTURE GENERATIONS – SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT.

Single Integrated Plan for Rhondda Cynon Taf - Delivering Change:
 Safeguarding: Children and Adults at risk are protected from harm.

#### 4. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:

 As outlined in section 7 of the report, during 2015/16 both Boards have been working collaboratively in preparation for the new Act. In January 2016, the Children and Adults Boards held their first joint Development Day to identify the achievements for 2015/16. Both Boards have had an opportunity to comment on the completed reports.

# 5. PREVIOUS CONSIDERATION BY A COMMITTEE OF THE COUNCIL

None

#### 6. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:

None

7.	DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE): N/A
8. (a)	IS THE DECISION SUBJECT TO CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:
	YES √ NO
expiry to be	This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. <b>2</b> <sup>nd</sup> <b>December, 2016</b> to enable it the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny dure Rules.
8. (b)	IF NO, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS DEEMED EXEMPT OR NON APPLICABLE:
I. II.	COUNCIL FUNCTION (CALL IN IS THEREFORE NON APPLICABLE):- Reason:
8. (c)	IF DEEMED URGENT - SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:
	N/A
	(Mayor) (Dated)
	Λ,

Contraction of the same

(Proper Officer)

24<sup>th</sup> November, 2016 (Dated)