

### RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL

## **RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE**

**DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet** DATE DECISION MADE: 15<sup>th</sup> December 2016

Agenda Item: 18

SUBJECT: CORPORATE ASSET MANAGEMENT PLAN 2013/18: INTERIM

# **Cabinet Members Present County Borough Councillors:**

A.Morgan (Chairman), R.Bevan, A.Crimmings, M.Forey, G. Hopkins, M Norris, E Hanagan, M Webber, J.Rosser

#### 1. DECISION MADE:

Following the consideration of the report of the Group Director, Corporate and Frontline Services containing exempt information as defined in Paragraph 14 of Part 4 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act, 1972 (as amended), namely information relating to the financial affairs of any particular person (including the authority holding that information), it was **Agreed** –

To note the content of the report

### 2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:

The need to brief Members regarding progress with implementation of the work plan of the Corporate Asset Management Plan for Property Assets 2013/2018 (AMP). The position set out is as at the end of September 2016.

- 3. LINKS TO CORPORATE PRIORITIES / FUTURE GENERATIONS SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT.
  - Council's ambitions around provision of 21<sup>st</sup> Century Schools and contributes
    positively toward the Council being able to live within its means and sustain
    valued front line services.
- 4. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:
  - None.
- 5. PREVIOUS CONSIDERATION BY A COMMITTEE OF THE COUNCIL
  - None
- 6. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:
  - None
- 7. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):

  N/A

8. (a)	IS THE DECISION SUBJECT TO CALL-IN SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:	BY	THE	OVERVIEW	/ AND
YES√ NO  Note: This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the expiry of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. 23 <sup>rd</sup> December, 2016 to enable it to be the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules.					
8. (b)	. (b) IF NO, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS DEEMED EXEMPT OR NON APPLICABLE:				
1.	COUNCIL FUNCTION (CALL IN IS THEREFORE Reason:		APPL 	.ICABLE):-	
II.	URGENT DECISION:- Reason:				
8. (c)	IF DEEMED URGENT - SIGNATURE OF MAY HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF U WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCE	AGF ALL T URGEN	REEM THE ( NCY,	IENT THAT CIRCUMSTA IN ACCORE	THE ANCES
	(Mayor)		(	(Dated)	
Josephia Sterry					

(Proper Officer)

15<sup>th</sup> December, 2016 (Dated)