



**RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL**

**RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE**

**DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet    DATE DECISION MADE: 9<sup>th</sup> February 2017**

***Agenda Item : 3***

**SUBJECT: COUNCIL FEES & CHARGES POLICY 2017/18**

**Cabinet Members Present  
County Borough Councillors:**

A Morgan(Chairman) M Webber, R Bevan,  
A Crimmings, M Forey, G Hopkins,  
E Hanagan, J Rosser, M Norris

**Apology for Absence  
County Borough Councillor:**

**Other Councillor(s) in Attendance:-**

P Jarman    E Webster

**1. DECISION MADE:**

**Agreed –**

1. To consider and approve the proposed revised levels for all areas of the Council's fees and charges as set out at Appendix 1.
2. To implement a nominal £1 per adult user charge (under 16 users remain free) for the Pontypridd Lido.
3. To build the net budgetary impact (£525K for 2017/18) into budget strategy proposals for consideration by Cabinet and Council as appropriate.

**2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:**

- The need to set out proposed revisions to Council fees and charges levels for the financial year 2017/18 (all to be effective from 1<sup>st</sup> April 2017 or as soon as is practicable thereafter).

**3. LINKS TO CORPORATE PRIORITIES / FUTURE GENERATIONS – SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT.**

- Council's Corporate Plan

**4. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:**

- As outlined in Section 7 of the report, a comprehensive budget consultation exercise has been undertaken in relation to the 2017/18 budget requirements.

**5. PREVIOUS CONSIDERATION BY A COMMITTEE OF THE COUNCIL**

- None

**6. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:**

- None

**7. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):**

In accordance with the Code of Conduct, County Borough Councillor P Jarman stated that "On 9<sup>th</sup> December, 2016, following a request I made to the Standards Committee, I was granted a dispensation to speak on this item"

**8. (a) IS THE DECISION SUBJECT TO CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:**

YES  NO

**Note:** This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the expiry of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. **17<sup>th</sup> February 2017** to enable it to be the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules.

**8. (b) IF NO, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS DEEMED EXEMPT OR NON APPLICABLE:**

- I. COUNCIL FUNCTION (CALL IN IS THEREFORE NON APPLICABLE):-  
Reason:.....**N/A**.....
- II. URGENT DECISION:-  
Reason:.....**N/A**.....

**8. (c) IF DEEMED URGENT - SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:**

N/A

.....  
(Mayor)

.....  
(Dated)



.....  
(Proper Officer)

9<sup>th</sup> February 2017  
(Dated)