



RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL

RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE

DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet DATE DECISION MADE: 9th February 2017

Agenda Item : 6

SUBJECT: THE USE OF AGENCY WORKERS

**Cabinet Members Present
County Borough Councillors:**

A Morgan(Chairman) M Webber, R Bevan,
A Crimmings, M Forey, G Hopkins,
E Hanagan, J Rosser, M Norris

**Apology for Absence
County Borough Councillor:**

Other Councillor(s) in Attendance:-

P Jarman E Webster

1. DECISION MADE:

Agreed –

1. To note the information in respect of the use of agency workers within the Council.

2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:

- The need to provide Cabinet with details of agency worker engagement across the Council.

3. LINKS TO CORPORATE PRIORITIES / FUTURE GENERATIONS – SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT.

- Council's Corporate Plan - The report seeks to demonstrate the flexibility used in addressing key Council roles, delivering short term projects and ensuring that the services the Council currently provides continue, all within its existing resources.

4. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:

- Any consultation requirement would have been incorporated as part of the NPS framework.

5. PREVIOUS CONSIDERATION BY A COMMITTEE OF THE COUNCIL

- None

6. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:

- None

7. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):

In accordance with the Code of Conduct, County Borough Councillor P Jarman stated that "On 9th December, 2016, following a request I made to the Standards Committee, I was granted a dispensation to speak on this item"

8. (a) IS THE DECISION SUBJECT TO CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:

YES NO

Note: This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the expiry of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. **17th February 2017** to enable it to be the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules.

8. (b) IF NO, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS DEEMED EXEMPT OR NON APPLICABLE:

- I. COUNCIL FUNCTION (CALL IN IS THEREFORE NON APPLICABLE):-
Reason:**N/A**.....
URGENT DECISION:-
Reason:.....**N/A**.....

8. (c) IF DEEMED URGENT - SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:

N/A

.....
(Mayor)

.....
(Dated)



.....
(Proper Officer)

9th February 2017
(Dated)