



RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL

RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE

DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet DATE DECISION MADE: 16th February 2017

Agenda Item : 7

**SUBJECT: WELSH GOVERNMENT'S VIBRANT & VIABLE PLACES
REGENERATION FRAMEWORK: PROPOSALS FOR POTENTIAL FUNDING
OPPORTUNITIES**

**Cabinet Members Present
County Borough Councillors:**

A Morgan(Chairman) M Webber, R Bevan,
A Crimmings, M Forey, G Hopkins,
E Hanagan, J Rosser, M Norris

**Apology for Absence
County Borough Councillor:**

Other Councillor(s) in Attendance:-

B Morgan, J Bonetto, B Stephens, S Bradwick,
I Pearce, C Davies, P Jarman, E Webster

1. DECISION MADE:

Agreed –

1. To approve and submit proposals for potential further funding opportunities to Welsh Government should further funds become available.
2. To authorise the Director of Regeneration and Planning to make revisions to the proposals provided it does not fundamentally alter their composition or impact, in consultation with the Cabinet Member for Economic Development, Tourism and Planning.

2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:

- The need to provide Members with details of project proposals for potential funding opportunities for the Pontypridd settlement area and to seek approval for the submission of proposals to Welsh Government should further funds become available.

3. LINKS TO CORPORATE PRIORITIES / FUTURE GENERATIONS – SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT.

- The Council's Corporate Plan priority – Building a Strong Economy

4. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:

- The approach has been approved by the officer VVP Programme Board.

5. PREVIOUS CONSIDERATION BY A COMMITTEE OF THE COUNCIL

- None

6. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:

- None

7. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):

- None

8. (a) IS THE DECISION SUBJECT TO CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:

YES NO

Note: This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the expiry of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. **24th February 2017** to enable it to be the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules.

8. (b) IF NO, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS DEEMED EXEMPT OR NON APPLICABLE:

- I. COUNCIL FUNCTION (CALL IN IS THEREFORE NON APPLICABLE):-
Reason:.....**N/A**.....
- II. URGENT DECISION:-
Reason:.....**N/A**.....

8. (c) IF DEEMED URGENT - SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:

N/A

.....
(Mayor)

.....
(Dated)



.....
(Proper Officer)

16th February 2017
(Dated)