



RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL

RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE

DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet DATE DECISION MADE: 28th September 2017

Agenda Item: 2

SUBJECT: TAFF VALE REDEVELOPMENT

(Due to a change in the agenda running order, as agreed by the Chair, this matter was dealt as item 3 at the meeting)

**Cabinet Members Present
County Borough Councillors:**

A.Morgan (Chairman), M. Webber, R.Bevan, A.Crimmings,
G.Hopkins, M.Norris, R.Lewis & C.Leyshon.

**Apology for Absence
County Borough Councillor:**

J.Rosser

Other Councillor(s) in Attendance:-

J Davies, M Griffiths & E Webster

1. DECISION MADE:

Agreed –

1. To note the progress to date and endorse the next stages of the redevelopment as outlined within the report.

2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:

The need to advise Members of the significant progress made in respect of the Taff Vale Redevelopment with a number of further milestones achieved since Cabinet last considered the item in March 2017.

3. LINKS TO CORPORATE PRIORITIES / FUTURE GENERATIONS – SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT.

The development opportunities outlined in this report will make a positive contribution towards the Corporate Priorities “Economy – Building a Stronger Economy” and “A Prosperous Wales”.

4. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:

Consultation about the potential uses of the site was undertaken in January 2016 and a public exhibition held in March 2017. Further consultation has also been undertaken in accordance with the pre-application consultation process during May/June 2017 and as part of the Statutory Planning process in 2016 and 2017.

5. PREVIOUS CONSIDERATION BY A COMMITTEE OF THE COUNCIL

Cabinet Committee –19th July 2016; 2nd November 2016 & 9th March 2017.

6. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:

None

7. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):

N/A

8. (a) IS THE DECISION SUBJECT TO CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:

YES NO

Note: This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the expiry of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. **6th October, 2017** to enable it to be the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules.

8. (b) IF NO, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS DEEMED EXEMPT OR NON APPLICABLE:

- I. COUNCIL FUNCTION (CALL IN IS THEREFORE NON APPLICABLE):-
Reason:.....**N/A**.....
- II. URGENT DECISION:-
Reason:.....**N/A**.....

8. (c) IF DEEMED URGENT - SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:

N/A

.....
(Mayor)

.....
(Dated)



.....
(Proper Officer)

28th September, 2017
(Dated)