

## RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL

## **RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE**

DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet DATE DECISION MADE: 28th September 2017

Agenda Item: 4

SUBJECT: TRANSFORMING ADULT SOCIAL CARE – DEVELOPMENT OF EXTRA CARE HOUSING

(Due to a change in the agenda running order, as agreed by the Chair, this matter was dealt as item 5 at the meeting)

# **Cabinet Members Present County Borough Councillors:**

A.Morgan (Chairman), M. Webber, R.Bevan, A.Crimmings, G.Hopkins, M.Norris, R.Lewis & C.Leyshon.

Apology for Absence County Borough Councillor: J.Rosser

Other Councillor(s) in Attendance:-J Davies, M Griffiths & E Webster

#### 1. DECISION MADE:

## Agreed -

- That prior to Cabinets consideration of the item, the Overview & Scrutiny Committee undertake Pre-scrutiny of the item at its meeting on the 2<sup>nd</sup> October, 2017.
- 2. To consider the report at a future meeting following pre-scrutiny by the Overview & Scrutiny Committee.

#### 2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:

The need to allow the Overview & Scrutiny Committee the time to scrutinise the item to further assist the Cabinet.

3. LINKS TO CORPORATE PRIORITIES / FUTURE GENERATIONS – SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT.

N/A

4. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:

The item will be considered at the Overview & Scrutiny Committee on the  $2^{\text{nd}}$  October, 2017

5. PREVIOUS CONSIDERATION BY A COMMITTEE OF THE COUNCIL

None

6. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:

None

7. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE): N/A

8. (a) IS THE DECISION SUBJECT TO CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND

	SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:
	YES NO √
8. (b)	IF NO, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS DEEMED EXEMPT OR NON APPLICABLE:
I.	COUNCIL / SCRUTINY FUNCTION (CALL IN IS THEREFORE NON APPLICABLE):- Reason: Call In is N/A as the report will be presented to the Overview & Scrutiny Committee to undertake pre-scrutiny.
8. (c)	IF DEEMED URGENT - SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:  N/A
	(Mayor) (Dated)

withing Them

(Proper Officer)

28<sup>th</sup> September, 2017 (Dated)