



RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL

RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE

DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet DATE DECISION MADE: 26th October 2017

Agenda Item: 9

**SUBJECT:
COUNCIL CORPORATE PLAN - INVESTMENT PRIORITIES**

**Cabinet Members Present
County Borough Councillors:**

A.Morgan (Chairman), M. Webber, R.Bevan, A.Crimmings,
G.Hopkins, M.Norris, R.Lewis & C.Leyshon.

**Apology for Absence
County Borough Councillor:**

J.Rosser

**Other Councillor(s) in Attendance:-
S Bradwick, G Hughes, M Tegg & R Yeo**

1. DECISION MADE:

Agreed –

1. To propose the release of earmarked reserves and resultant Investment Priorities as detailed at Appendix A of the report to Council at its meeting on 29th November 2017.
2. To receive a further update in early 2018 on Earmarked Reserve availability as part of the normal budget strategy work being undertaken in preparation for the 2018/19 financial year.

Note: With the permission of the Leader, County Borough Councillor R Yeo spoke on this item.

2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:

The need to provide Members with an update on the position with regard to one-off resources which have become available following the completion of the audit process of the Council's 2016/17 Statement of Accounts

3. LINKS TO CORPORATE PRIORITIES / FUTURE GENERATIONS – SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT.

The use of the earmarked resources would be used to support the Council's investment priorities, as aligned to the Corporate Plan.

4. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:

None

5. PREVIOUS CONSIDERATION BY A COMMITTEE OF THE COUNCIL

None

6. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:

None

7. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):

N/A

8. (a) IS THE DECISION SUBJECT TO CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:

NO ✓

8. (b) IF NO, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS DEEMED EXEMPT OR NON APPLICABLE:

1. COUNCIL FUNCTION (CALL IN IS THEREFORE NON APPLICABLE):-
Reason: The report will be presented to Council at its meeting on the 29th November, 2017 for formal approval.

8. (c) IF DEEMED URGENT - SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:

N/A

.....
(Mayor)

.....
(Dated)



.....
(Proper Officer)

26th October, 2017
(Dated)