

## RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL

# **RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE**

### DECISION MADE BY: RHONDDA CYNON TAF CHARITY FOR THE VISUALLY IMPAIRED – CABINET COMMITTEE

DATE DECISION MADE: 28<sup>th</sup> February 2017

**SUBJECT:** Agenda Item 4 - Rhondda Cynon Taf Trust Fund for Visually Impaired People.

Cabinet Members Present County Borough Councillors:

G Hopkins (Chair); M Norris & M Forey

## 1. DECISION MADE:

### Agreed –

- 1. To note and endorse the actions being taken to monitor and support existing schemes with the limited funding that remains available.
- 2. To note and endorse the success achieved in obtaining funding for new projects within RCT County Borough for blind and partially sighted people.

**N.B** – Mr K Harris, the Director of Operations, CIB was in attendance at the meeting.

### 2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:

• The need to update the Cabinet Committee Members (the Trustees) of the 'Rhondda Cynon Taf Trust Fund for Blind and Partially Sighted People in RCT' (the Trust Fund) on the service that 'Cardiff Institute for the Blind' (t/a RNIB Cymru (CIB)) is delivering within Rhondda Cynon Taff. The report also seeks to update the Trustees regarding the charity's success in obtaining alternative funding and undertaking multiple funding bids to sustain services within Rhondda Cynon Taff.

### 3. LINKS TO CORPORATE PRIORITIES / FUTURE GENERATIONS – SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT.

• Health - Helping People and Communities to help themselves.

## 4. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:

• N/A

## 5. PREVIOUS CONSIDERATION BY A COMMITTEE OF THE COUNCIL

 Rhondda Cynon Taf Charity for the Visually Impaired Cabinet Committee – 7<sup>th</sup> July, 2016

### 6. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:

None

7.	DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE): N/A
8. (a)	IS THE DECISION SUBJECT TO CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:
	YES $$ NO
expiry <b>Marc</b> h	This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. implementation date of the <b>8</b> <sup>th</sup> <b>7</b> , <b>2017</b> to enable it to be the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of verview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules.
8. (b)	IF NO, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS DEEMED EXEMPT OR NON APPLICABLE:
	N/A
8. (c)	IF DEEMED URGENT - SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:
	N/A
	(Mayor) (Dated)

Automine Margar

(Proper Officer)

28<sup>th</sup> February, 2017 (Dated)