

Date written: 6th March 2020

Report author: Louise Blatchford

Date of meeting where the report is being considered: 19th March 2020

Appendices attached: Report on the Efficiency & Effectiveness of the Central South Consortium 2018-2019

1.0 Purpose of the report

To provide Members with an overview of the report on the Efficiency and Effectiveness of the Central South Consortium 2018-2019.

2.0 Background

2.1 The approach taken by the Central South Consortium to evaluating the impact of its work has been guided by the Research and Evaluation Board chaired by a professor from Cardiff University.

2.2 A policy logic model is used as a tool across all strands to evaluate the effectiveness of the Central South Consortium.

2.3 The framework identifies a hierarchy to the value for money strands, which also cover the headings developed by Welsh Government for their Outcomes Framework for the Education Improvement Grant.

2.4 During 2018-2019 four of the Consortium's main areas of work were selected for focused evaluation: -

- Hubs
- Peer Review & Engagement
- Closing the Gap
- Annual Survey

3.0 Overview of Performance

3.1 CSC provide an overview of the performance of key pupil groups at each key stage as well as a summary of inspection outcomes, attendance, exclusions and categorisation outcomes. Full analysis of this year's performance data is presented in Appendix A.

3.2 At the expected level, all performance measures are above the national average for 2019. All of the performance indicators in the Foundation Phase (FP) have fallen this year, which, is the same pattern as seen nationally

3.3 In all performance measures at KS2, CSC continues to perform above the national average at both the expected level and the above-expected level. This includes all

aspects of English and Welsh. There has been a decrease in the performance Core Subject Indicator (CSI) for CSC during this academic year, which is slightly less than the decrease seen nationally. There has been a decrease in performance over the latest three-year period for the region, which again is slightly smaller than the decrease seen nationally over the same period.

- 3.4 At the expected level, the region continues to exceed the national average for all performance measures, despite falls for all performance measures in the latest academic year. Improvements are evident over the latest three-year period for all performance measures except for science.
- 3.5 At the above-expected level (Level 6+), attainment for all core subjects exceeds the national average for the second consecutive year, despite falls in attainment being seen for all subjects. Over the latest three-year period, improvements have been made for English, mathematics and science, with only Cymraeg seeing a fall in performance.
- 3.6 For Level 7+, improvement is seen for science, but performance in the other core subjects has fallen in the most recent year. The regional performance continues to exceed the national data for all core subjects except for Cymraeg, which continues to be below the national average. Over the latest three-year period, improvements have been made for all core subjects.
- 3.7 ***Due to changes to the performance measures for reporting in Summer 2019, only limited information can be provided for KS4 2019 results at present.***
- 3.8 ***The first entry of a qualification will be applied to performance measures for summer 2019 reporting, which is the first time this has been included in the data for KS4 reporting. Therefore, direct comparisons to data for previous years is not possible and should be treated with caution.***
- 3.9 Interim performance measures for KS4 are based on average points scores, with each grade being allocated a point score. Further details on these scores are given in Appendix A.
- 3.10 Analysis of the new interim performance measures for KS4 shows that the region exceeds the national average for four of the five measures in 2019. Average points score for science is the only performance measure where the region is below the national average, and the gap in performance is 0.15pp.
- 3.11 Fischer Family Trust (FFT) value-added analysis shows that significantly positive contextual value-added is seen for all interim performance measures in 2018–2019. In addition, four of the five interim measures remain significantly above-expected performance when value-added is analysed. A table showing the value-added and the corresponding significances is given below for both FFT models.

- 3.12 CSC commission Alps¹ to provide an analysis on performance at Key Stage 5 – a company that measures A level progress from GCSE to A level across over 2,000 providers in England and Wales through the use of a data analysis tool with the philosophy to support teachers to unlock the potential of every pupil. Alps analyses the data for all schools and local authorities, and provides a detailed report on performance across the region. The progress grade for the region ('T' score) has been 4 for each of the four previous academic years, placing regional performance as a whole in the top 40% of learners.
- 3.13 The Level 3 Threshold for the region has increased for the second consecutive academic year, and in 2019 has reached its highest ever position. Over the latest three-year period, the region has improved by 0.6pp, with three of the four LAs in the region also increasing for this performance measure over this same period. The improvement in the region compares against a no-change in performance for this performance measure nationally over the same period. The Average Wider Points score has fallen by 4.6 points in the most recent year, but this is still above the score seen in 2017. The region continues to exceed the national score for this performance measure. Only Bridgend and The Vale of Glamorgan LAs have seen improvements for this measure in the most recent year. Since 2016, this performance measure has fallen by 80.0 points in the region and 81.9 points nationally, with all 4 LAs in the region decreasing over this period.
- 3.14 2019 results show a no-change in performance for the proportion of pupils achieving 3A*–A grades regionally, but a 0.2pp increase nationally, with the region continuing to exceed the national proportion for this measure. Both Bridgend and Cardiff LAs saw increases for this performance measure in the most recent year and their highest ever performance for this indicator. Over the latest three-year period the region has seen an improvement of 7.6 pp for this performance measure, which compares favourably against a national improvement of 6.9pp. All LAs in the region improved for this performance measure over the same period. The proportion of pupils achieving 3A*–C grades has decreased regionally and for all LAs within the region, but has increased nationally. Despite this fall in performance, the region continues to exceed the national average for this performance measure. There has been a fall in performance for this measure over the latest three-year period for the region, Wales and all LAs in the region, with only Cardiff LA seeing a smaller decrease than seen nationally.

4.0 Inspection Outcomes

- 4.1 There was a slight increase in the number of schools inspected in CSC in 2018–2019 compared to the previous academic year. (63 schools compared to 61 schools)
- 4.2 The proportion of schools in CSC judged as either excellent or good is below the national proportion for all Inspection Areas this year. CSC is the lowest performing Regional Consortia for the proportion of schools judged as either Excellent or Good

¹ <https://alps.education/>

for Inspection Areas 1, 2, 3 and 5, but is the second highest performing region for Inspection Area 4, where 90% of schools inspected in 2018–2019 are judged as either Excellent or Good

- 4.3 CSC has slightly fewer schools not placed into a follow-up activity than the national proportion. The proportion of schools placed into each follow-up activity are similar for CSC and Wales for Special Measures and Significant Improvement, but CSC has a higher proportion of schools placed into Estyn Review than seen nationally.
- 4.4 The proportion of schools identified for Excellent Practice Case Studies has nearly halved in the most recent year. While a decrease is also evident nationally, this decrease is at a much slower rate than seen for CSC.

5.0 Categorisation

- 5.1 There has been a further increase in the proportion of schools whose improvement capacity is judged to be A, with a continued reduction in the proportion of schools whose improvement capacity is B or C, but an increase in the proportion of schools where the improvement capacity is D. Over the two-year period (out of the 382 schools in the region where we have published National Categorisation Support Categories), a total of 350 schools have either remained in the same support category or moved up at least one support category, with 27 schools moving down one support category. In addition, three schools have moved down two support categories, with a further two schools moving down three support categories.

6.0 NEETs

- 6.1 The Year 11 NEET figure for the region has remained the same as that seen in 2016–2017 (1.4%), with the national percentage also staying the same as seen in the previous year. Over the latest four-year period, the proportion of Year 11 NEETs has fallen from 3.7% in 2013–2014 to 1.4% in 2017–2018. This is the third consecutive year that CSC has a lower Year 11 NEET figure than seen nationally, with the region continuing to be 0.2pp below the national average.

7.0 PISA Outcomes

- 7.1 Regional data is not available at the time of writing the report. However, Wales has seen its performance improve in international tests in reading, mathematics and science. The biggest improvement was in mathematics, while science is now close to the international average. The number of high-performing students in Wales rose from 4% to 7%. There was also no significant gender gap for the first time.

8.0 Overview of Funding

8.1 In 2018–2019, monetary benefits of regional working were achieved by Cardiff and Merthyr (compared with Bridgend and Vale of Glamorgan in 2017–2018, Merthyr, RCT and Vale of Glamorgan in 2016–2017, Merthyr and RCT in 2015–2016 and Cardiff and Merthyr in 2014–2015) specifically, but the additional benefits of working regionally can also be seen in the impact on both standards and outcomes across the region

9.0 Evidence of Impact (Section 5 page 15)

- What have we done this year?
- What evidence of impact do we have?
- Evaluation of the work identified that:
- Next Steps

10.0 Conclusions

10.1 In 2018–2019 there was a further 2% reduction in core funding from the previous year level. In addition, the region had an increase in pupil numbers of 461 which subsequently reduced the spend per pupil. However standards overall were maintained.

10.2 In 2018–2019, performance for the region exceeds the national average for the majority of performance measures across all key stages. For Foundation Phase, Key Stage 2 and Key Stage 3, the region exceeds the national averages, with the only exception being FP LCW O6+. For KS4, the region exceeds the national average for four of the five interim performance measures and is within 0.2points for the fifth interim performance measure. The region is the highest performer for the Capped 9 points score when compared to all other regions across Wales and is the second highest performing region for the remaining four interim performance measures. For KS5, the region exceeds the national average for all four performance measures, and is the highest performing region in Wales for three of these four performance measures and the second highest performing region for the remaining performance measure.

10.3 The gap in attainment for pupils eligible for free school meals and those not eligible for free school meals has widened for nearly all performance measures across FP, KS2 and KS3, which is due to the performance of eFSM pupils falling at a faster rate than non-FSM pupils. For KS4, the gap in performance for the region is narrower for Capped 9 Points Score and Welsh BaccaLaureate Skills Challenge Certificate but wider for the remaining performance measures. The gap in performance remains too high for the region.

Table 9: Comparison of Core Expenditure per pupil from 2016–2017 to 2018–2019

	2016–2017	2017–2018	2018–2019
LA contributions	£4,195,662	£3,985,879	£3,906,161
Pupil numbers	146,711	147,236	147,697
Spend per pupil	£28.60	£27.07	£26.45

- 10.4 The vision for a school-led self-improving system has meant that delegation rates to schools for grant-funded activity have increased from a rate of 94.4% in 2016–2017 to 95.6% in 2017–2018, however slightly decreased to 93.2% in 2018–2019². This has been achieved through the continuation of effective deployment of the formerly named Education Improvement Grant (EIG).
- 10.5 During 2018–2019, there continued to be some cross subsidisation between the five authorities. Monetary benefits of regional working were achieved by Cardiff and Merthyr (compared with Bridgend and Vale of Glamorgan in 2017–2018, Merthyr, RCT and Vale of Glamorgan in 2016–2017, Merthyr and RCT in 2015–2016 and Cardiff and Merthyr in 2014–2015) specifically, but the additional benefits of working regionally can also be seen in the impact on both standards and outcomes across the region.
- 10.6 A comprehensive analysis of resources provided to schools in individual local authorities identifies a cross subsidisation of core funding. Core funds are directed to schools in inverse proportion to need across the region. However, when consideration is taken of all delegated resources, local authorities receive between –1.46pp and +1.64pp when compared to the percentage of funding they contribute to the overall core budget. Schools requiring additional resource due to being categorised as requiring Red and Amber support are balanced by additional funding provided to build capacity and promote school-to-school working.
- 10.7 CSC continues to provide a regional school improvement service which combines resources allowing for economies of scale to be realised. Regional working also ensures a consistent service to schools and allows for a more flexible deployment of staff to respond to crisis as they arise. Operating regionally also encourages the recruitment of high calibre staff with the opportunities for professional development across a wider geographical region and in addition access to high-quality professional learning.

² MEAG and Gypsy Traveller allocations removed from the EIG in 2018–2019

- 10.8 CSC is able to take advantage of the additional services provided through the host authority through the establishment of SLA agreements. The over-arching principle of CSC is to build school capacity that is both sustainable and efficient.
- 10.9 A small team is held centrally to facilitate improvement and change in a sustainable way. Over time the numbers of centrally employed school improvement advisers has been reduced significantly. Differentiated support according to need (*Challenge & Support Framework*) allows for an agile workforce with the ability to work intensively where needs are identified. Increasingly, partner headteachers are deployed as school improvement advisers to ensure that support for schools is based upon recent experience, especially at this time of significant change.
- 10.10 As CSC continues to develop as a learning organisation, significant changes to the working practices are made in order to maximise the impact of school improvement activities. The initial findings of the ISOS review highlighted the need to review the Governance structure of CSC to ensure full engagement with head teachers in the school-led system.
- 10.11 During 2018–2019, a full review and change to the Hub programme and the networks used to deliver key messages and support were developed to ensure effective support and professional learning opportunities for Curriculum Reform and all other aspect of national reform. These changes will be evaluated for impact and reported in 2020–2021.
- 10.12 The process for allocating additional resources has been refined during 2018–2019 within the Resource Board. The Senior Leadership Team agree all requests and this has ensured a more clear, transparent and fair approach to enable CSC to deliver a focused, timely and bespoke support to schools in need to improvement. The challenge adviser is key to this process, monitoring the progress and impact of specific support and/or interventions. Systematic reporting on the evaluation and impact of the additional resource is discussed in local authority performance meetings as well as discussed in senior leadership meetings within CSC.
- 10.13 The centralisation of the governor support programme and the employment of a CSC governor training officer from 2017 have improved the consistency and quality of support to governing bodies. During 2018–2019, online resources have been developed for use by governors, and additional professional learning programmes (in addition to the annual conference for governors) have focused on the requirements of schools in relation to all areas of reform.
- 10.14 Key infrastructure developments have improved access to IT resources and allowed staff to work remotely both effectively and efficiently. The introduction of cloud-based services has improved collaboration across the service. There is further work to be

done, however, to integrate the full potential of cloud-based services and integration with the national resource Hwb.

10.15 Evaluations of ongoing work are reported to key groups within the governance structure of CSC as part of the work of the Research and Evaluation Board. This enables CSC to respond quickly to any identified concerns with service delivery, and ensures that effective self-evaluation processes are in place. The organisation would benefit from mapping all self-evaluation processes to ensure that all intelligence is used effectively to support future planning.

10.16 Through the improved self-evaluation processes and the increased capacity provided by the research and evaluation board, evidence of impact and value for money can be identified in the following areas:

- Raised standards in literacy/English/Welsh, numeracy and mathematics at almost all phases
- Overall improvement in school categorisation profiles
- Increased engagement in professional learning across career phases. During 2018–2019, at least one practitioner from 72% of schools in the region engaged with a Hub professional learning programme. In addition, nearly all practitioners reported that the actions they had undertaken as a result of the programme had impacted on standards and outcomes
- Alternative peer-engagement models have provided an effective standard, with the categorisation reports produced by the peers being approved at all moderation stages, and have provided an accurate and valuable identification of areas for improvement for both standards and capacity to improve.

10.17 The annual survey conducted in November 2018 provided evidence of the following:

- Increased engagement in action research. Three-quarters of staff state they have been involved in action research over the last 12 months, with 36% of class teachers having done so frequently (termly or more)
- 75% of staff indicated they had engaged in professional development in 2018 (an increase from 39% in 2016 & 68% in 2017)
- There is a high level of belief among staff (90%) in the positive role correlation could play in school improvement
- The implementation of the new curriculum is starting to permeate the majority of primary and secondary schools.

10.18 Finally, having identified improvements in performance across a range of indicators and the continuing improvements over a number of years, CSC is confident in the assertion that value for money can be demonstrated across a wide range of activity.

11.0 Recommendations

11.1 Review specific aspects of the Central South Wales Challenge Model (Hubs) in line with emerging priorities for professional learning and national reform. Professional learning opportunities should be accessible to all schools in line with the national approach to professional learning. CSC professional learning offer will support the new Curriculum for Wales and the development of a high-quality education profession with inspirational leaders

11.2 Support schools to improve outcomes from specific groups of pupils including More Able and Talented (MAT) and Children Looked After (CLA), as well as eFSM

- Evaluate recently introduced strategies for improving the progress of vulnerable learners, to support schools to develop as strong and inclusive with a commitment to wellbeing equity and excellence
- Evaluate the impact of the Vulnerable Learners' Project, and consideration given to taking the learning from the project wider;
- Strengthen collaboration with local authority officers to best support vulnerable learners
- Refine the Annual Survey to provide evidence for future planning
- Further develop the evaluation framework of SIGs as part of the CSWC
- Improve brokerage of professional learning opportunities within the support remit of challenge advisers, specifically in relation to school partnerships
- Review the deployment of challenge advisers
- Streamline the processes relating to grant-funding requirements in order to reduce the workload
- Include an additional priority in the 2019–2020 Business Plan to improve the effectiveness and efficiency of Central South Consortium.

11.3 In November 2018, ISOS partnership were commissioned to undertake a review of the regional delivery arrangements in the Central South region. ISOS were asked to consider:

- How well is CSC performing currently and how well does the organisation understand its own performance, strengths and challenges?
- Are there other examples elsewhere to learn from, in particular around the development of a school-led system?
- Is the current model fit for purpose for the future taking account of WG planned changes to the education system?
- Is the model affordable over the next three to five years with a likely continued period of austerity?
- What needs to change over the next three to five years, and how will these change be implemented?

- 11.4 During the review, the main messages heard included questions raised about value for money and evidence of impact. At this time, when LAs are required to deliver significant savings, questions were raised about value for money from the consortium. Concern was expressed regarding the level of detail contained in reports about the impact of different school improvement activities. CSC accepted all the recommendations and will develop and monitor an implementation plan to take forward these recommendations following the review.
- 11.5 Priority Drive Teams identified within the business plan (2018–2019) should continue to produce detailed, costed plans for funding, detailing the overall purpose of the initiative as well as identifying the risks, outcome measures and means of verification to ensure an ongoing focus upon value for money. The impact reports can then inform future strategy development.
- 11.6 Progress has been made to ensure that when additional funding / resource is provided to schools in need, expected outcomes are identified to ensure good value for money at the planning stages. This is achieved by the development of the school support plan and the systematic reporting of impact. Funding is not released to schools until the work has been undertaken and the evaluation report received. Further refinement is required, however, on the effective brokering of the support for schools from the available Central South Wales Strategy models.
- 11.7 Strand evaluations for 2019–2020 should continue for the following areas:
- Vulnerable Learners Project
 - Peer Engagement and Collaboration Models
 - Central South Wales Challenge (with focus on Hub Model)
 - Annual Survey

The Efficiency and Effectiveness of Central South Consortium (CSC)

2018–2019

**Regional School Improvement Service
DRAFT**

CONTENTS

1.0	Purpose of the report	3
2.0	Background	3
3.0	Overview of performance	4
4.0	Overview of funding	11
4.1	Core contributions	11
4.2	Additional funding – grants	12
5.0	Overview of main strands of activities	15
5.1	Hub programmes	15
5.2	Peer engagement and collaboration models	17
5.3	Closing the Gap (CTG)	20
5.4	Annual survey	22
5.5	School Improvement Groups (SIGs)	24
5.6	School partnerships	27
5.7	Challenge Adviser deployment	31
6.0	Conclusion	34
7.0	Recommendations	38

APPENDIX A	Central South Consortium – outcomes	40
APPENDIX B	Central South Consortium inspection outcomes	47
APPENDIX C	Categorisation	54
APPENDIX D	Additional performance measures	56

1.0 PURPOSE OF REPORT

This report provides an overview of the performance and funding received by Central South Consortium (CSC) in the academic year 2018–2019. The report is designed to provide Local Authorities (LAs), schools, Welsh Government (WG) and other stakeholders with a clear and comprehensive report on the efficiency and effectiveness of the work of CSC and its contribution to school improvement across the region.

The main body of the report provides an analysis of the progress made in relation to a number of key strands of work that CSC identified in partnership with Local Authorities and schools as priorities within its business plan for 2018–2019. The report provides an overview of the activities undertaken in relation to each strand, provides quantitative and qualitative information on outputs and outcomes, and comments on the impact achieved so far. Some case studies are included. More detailed information on performance measures and funding is included in the appendices.

2.0 BACKGROUND

The Central South Consortium evaluates the organisation’s performance through self-evaluation processes that form the basis for business planning and monitoring activities. LA progress meetings are held regularly with the Senior Management Team and/or Senior Challenge Adviser (CA) and LA Director/Chief Education Officer, to discuss progress at a more local level and identify any risks. Regular reports are presented to Directors and the Joint Committee on the performance of the organisation. In addition, reports are presented to WG in accordance with ministerial challenge and review events. Senior School Improvement Advisers attend each council’s Education Scrutiny Committee meetings at least once per year to report on verified outcome data, and attend other scrutiny meetings on request.

Scrutiny and challenge are undertaken by the Directors of Education, who meet on a monthly basis to challenge performance, to agree strategy and to have an opportunity to challenge findings. A treasurer’s report is a standard item on the agenda for the Joint Committee meeting.

Since 2016, the approach to evaluating the impact of school improvement activities has been partly guided by the Research and Evaluation Board, chaired by a professor from Cardiff University. The Research and Evaluation Board have developed a policy logic model which is used as a tool across all strands of work to evaluate the effectiveness of CSC. This provides a graphical representation of the logical relationships between inputs, activities, outputs and outcomes leading to the identified impact. The framework identifies a hierarchy to the Value for Money (VFM) strands, with all areas required to provide a commentary on the effectiveness of individual strategies.

Chart 1 – Value for Money hierarchy in CSC



The framework is aligned to the headings developed by WG for their outcomes framework for the Education Improvement Grant (EIG) element of the Regional Consortia School Improvement Grant (RCSIG).

During 2018–2019, four of the Consortium’s main areas of work – Hubs, Peer Review and Engagement, Closing the Gap (CTG) and the Annual Survey – were selected for focused evaluation. This report will therefore provide a detailed assessment of impact in each of these areas. In addition, it is recognised that the processes in place for evaluating other school improvement activities – School Improvement Groups (SIGs), School Partnerships (previously Pathfinders) and Challenge Adviser deployment – are deemed mature and robust enough to continue without scrutiny from the Research and Evaluation Board.

The report will begin by providing an overview of learner performance and funding in 2018–2019.

3.0 OVERVIEW OF PERFORMANCE

CSC provide an overview of the performance of key pupil groups at each key stage as well as a summary of inspection outcomes, attendance, exclusions and categorisation outcomes. Full analysis of this year’s performance data is presented in Appendix A.

Please note the following statement that prevents direct comparisons to data from previous years for trend purposes:

The WG Statistical First Release ‘Academic Achievement of Pupils Aged 4 to 14 in Core Subjects, 2019’ ‘SFR 61/2019’¹ includes the following statement in relation to the decrease in national 2019 data when compared to 2018 data:

¹ <https://gov.wales/sites/default/files/statistics-and-research/2020-01/examination-results-september-2018-august-2019-revised-477.pdf>

“Following a written statement by the Minister of Education in July 2018 and a consultation which ended in January 2018, teacher assessment data is no longer published at a school, local authority and consortia level. This is a significant move away from gathering information about young people’s performance on a school by school basis for accountability purposes.

This year’s results could be a reflection of these changes, whereby the prime purpose of teacher assessments has started to shift back to individual learners and away from holding schools to account.”

3.1 Foundation Phase (FP)

Please note that due to revisions to the Foundation Phase Areas of Learning, comparisons with previous years should be treated with caution, as they cannot be measured on a comparable basis.

At the expected level, all performance measures are above the national average for 2019. All of the performance indicators in the Foundation Phase (FP) have fallen this year, which, as stated above, is the same pattern as seen nationally. At the above-expected level, the consortium exceeds the national average for all performance measures with the exception of Personal and Social Development (PSD), where the region is slightly below.

The Foundation Phase Outcome Indicator (FPOI) has fallen to its lowest position over the last three-year period, but the decrease seen for CSC is smaller than that seen nationally for this performance measure over the same period. All five LAs in the region saw decreases for this performance measure in 2019, with both Rhondda Cynon Taff and Merthyr Tydfil LAs being below the national average.

3.2 Key Stage 2 (KS2)

In all performance measures at KS2, CSC continues to perform above the national average at both the expected level and the above-expected level. This includes all aspects of English and Welsh. There has been a decrease in the performance Core Subject Indicator (CSI) for CSC during this academic year, which is slightly less than the decrease seen nationally. There has been a decrease in performance over the latest three-year period for the region, which again is slightly smaller than the decrease seen nationally over the same period.

3.3 Key Stage 3 (KS3)

At the expected level, the region continues to exceed the national average for all performance measures, despite falls for all performance measures in the latest

academic year. Improvements are evident over the latest three-year period for all performance measures except for science.

At the above-expected level (Level 6+), attainment for all core subjects exceeds the national average for the second consecutive year, despite falls in attainment being seen for all subjects. Over the latest three-year period, improvements have been made for English, mathematics and science, with only Cymraeg seeing a fall in performance.

For Level 7+, improvement is seen for science, but performance in the other core subjects has fallen in the most recent year. The regional performance continues to exceed the national data for all core subjects except for Cymraeg, which continues to be below the national average. Over the latest three-year period, improvements have been made for all core subjects.

3.4 Key Stage 4 (KS4)

Due to changes to the performance measures for reporting in Summer 2019, only limited information can be provided for KS4 2019 results at present.

The first entry of a qualification will be applied to performance measures for summer 2019 reporting, which is the first time this has been included in the data for KS4 reporting. Therefore, direct comparisons to data for previous years is not possible and should be treated with caution.

Interim performance measures for KS4 are based on average points scores, with each grade being allocated a point score. Further details on these scores are given in Appendix A.

Analysis of the new interim performance measures for KS4 shows that the region exceeds the national average for four of the five measures in 2019. Average points score for science is the only performance measure where the region is below the national average, and the gap in performance is 0.15pp.

Fischer Family Trust (FFT) value-added analysis shows that significantly positive contextual value-added is seen for all interim performance measures in 2018–2019. In addition, four of the five interim measures remain significantly above-expected performance when value-added is analysed. A table showing the value-added and the corresponding significances is given below for both FFT models.

Table 1: Value-added analysis

	Value-added	Contextual value-added
Capped 9 Points Score	8.5 (+)	7.1 (+)
Av Pts Literacy	0.7 (+)	0.6 (+)
Av Pts Numeracy	0.3 (+)	0.4 (+)
Av Pts Science	0.1	0.3 (+)
Av Pts Welsh Baccalaureate Skills Challenge Certificate	0.7 (+)	0.5 (+)

3.5 Key Stage 5 (KS5)

CSC commission Alps² to provide an analysis on performance at Key Stage 5 – a company that measures A level progress from GCSE to A level across over 2,000 providers in England and Wales through the use of a data analysis tool with the philosophy to support teachers to unlock the potential of every pupil. Alps analyses the data for all schools and local authorities, and provides a detailed report on performance across the region. The progress grade for the region ('T' score) has been 4 for each of the four previous academic years, placing regional performance as a whole in the top 40% of learners.

The Level 3 Threshold for the region has increased for the second consecutive academic year, and in 2019 has reached its highest ever position. Over the latest three-year period, the region has improved by 0.6pp, with three of the four LAs in the region also increasing for this performance measure over this same period. The improvement in the region compares against a no-change in performance for this performance measure nationally over the same period. The Average Wider Points score has fallen by 4.6 points in the most recent year, but this is still above the score seen in 2017. The region continues to exceed the national score for this performance measure. Only Bridgend and The Vale of Glamorgan LAs have seen improvements for this measure in the most recent year. Since 2016, this performance measure has fallen by 80.0 points in the region and 81.9 points nationally, with all 4 LAs in the region decreasing over this period.

2019 results show a no-change in performance for the proportion of pupils achieving 3A*–A grades regionally, but a 0.2pp increase nationally, with the region continuing to exceed the national proportion for this measure. Both Bridgend and Cardiff LAs saw increases for this performance measure in the most recent year and their highest ever performance for this indicator. Over the latest three-year period the region has seen an improvement of 7.6 pp for this performance measure, which compares favourably against a national improvement of 6.9pp. All LAs in the region improved for this performance measures over the same period. The proportion of pupils achieving 3A*–C grades has decreased regionally and for all LAs within the region, but has increased

² <https://alps.education/>

nationally. Despite this fall in performance, the region continues to exceed the national average for this performance measure. There has been a fall in performance for this measure over the latest three-year period for the region, Wales and all LAs in the region, with only Cardiff LA seeing a smaller decrease than seen nationally.

3.6 Learners eligible for Free School Meals (eFSM)

The gap between eFSM and non-eFSM has widened for nearly all performance indicators for FP, KS2 and KS3 this year.

The performance of both eFSM and non-eFSM pupils has fallen this year for FPOI. However, the performance of eFSM pupils has fallen at a faster rate than the non-eFSM pupils, and therefore the gap has widened for this performance measure.

The gaps in performance between eFSM and non-eFSM pupils has widened for all performance measures at all outcomes for FP except for Language, Literacy and Communication – English (LCE) O6+.

For the KS2 CSI, the performance of both eFSM and non-eFSM pupils has decreased this year, with eFSM pupils falling at a faster rate than non-eFSM for all performance measures, which has resulted in a widening of the gap in performance between these two groups of pupils.

The performance of both eFSM and non-eFSM pupils has decreased for all performance measures at KS2. The gap in performance between these two groups of pupils has widened for all performance measures at both the expected level and above-expected level with the only exception being English L5+.

For the KS3 CSI, the gap in performance between eFSM and non-eFSM pupils has widened due to the performance of non-eFSM pupils falling at a slower rate than that seen for eFSM pupils this year.

KS3 performance for all measures at all levels has decreased for both eFSM and non-eFSM pupils this year. The gap in performance has widened at the expected level for all measures except for Cymraeg L5+. However, at the above-expected level, the gap has narrowed for English, Cymraeg and science and widened only for mathematics. At Level 7+ the gap in performance has widened for all performance measures except for mathematics.

No trend information is available for the gap in performance between eFSM and non-eFSM pupils for KS4 due to the introduction of new interim performance measures in 2019.

FFT contextual value-added analysis shows that for KS4 interim performance measures in 2019, the region has positive value-added for both eFSM and non-eFSM

pupils in all measures apart from eFSM pupils for average points in science, which is 0.1pts below that expected. In addition, all positive value-added measures for the contextual value-added are also statistically significant for both eFSM and non-eFSM pupils in 2019.

At KS2, FFT contextual value-added analysis shows positive pupil progress for each of the core subjects and the CSI at the expected level for both eFSM and non-eFSM pupils. The pupil progress is statistically significantly higher than expected for non-eFSM pupils in both mathematics and Cymraeg at the expected level.

3.7 Gender

For each key stage, girls continue to outperform boys at the expected level and at the above-expected level, with the only exception being seen for Mathematical Development (MDT) L6+, and mathematics KS2 L6+.

The gap in performance has widened for nearly all performance measures for FP, KS2, KS3 and KS5. However, the gap in performance is narrowing for FP Language, Literacy and Communication – Welsh (LCW) O5+ and LCE O6+, KS2 Cym L4+ and L5+, Eng L6+, mathematics L6+ and science L6+. For KS3, the gap has narrowed for Cymraeg at all three levels, science L6+ and mathematics L7+. For KS5, the gap has narrowed for Level 3 Threshold in the most recent year.

3.8 Inspection outcomes

There was a slight increase in the number of schools inspected in CSC in 2018–2019 compared to the previous academic year. (63 schools compared to 61 schools)

The proportion of schools in CSC judged as either excellent or good is below the national proportion for all Inspection Areas this year. CSC is the lowest performing Regional Consortia for the proportion of schools judged as either Excellent or Good for Inspection Areas 1, 2, 3 and 5, but is the second highest performing region for Inspection Area 4, where 90% of schools inspected in 2018–2019 are judged as either Excellent or Good

CSC has slightly fewer schools not placed into a follow-up activity than the national proportion. The proportion of schools placed into each follow-up activity are similar for CSC and Wales for Special Measures and Significant Improvement, but CSC has a higher proportion of schools placed into Estyn Review than seen nationally.

The proportion of schools identified for Excellent Practice Case Studies has nearly halved in the most recent year. While a decrease is also evident nationally, this decrease is at a much slower rate than seen for CSC.

Further details can be found in Appendix B.

3.9 Categorisation

There has been a further increase in the proportion of schools whose improvement capacity is judged to be A, with a continued reduction in the proportion of schools whose improvement capacity is B or C, but an increase in the proportion of schools where the improvement capacity is D. Over the two-year period (out of the 382 schools in the region where we have published National Categorisation Support Categories), a total of 350 schools have either remained in the same support category or moved up at least one support category, with 27 schools moving down one support category. In addition, three schools have moved down two support categories, with a further two schools moving down three support categories.

Further details can be found in Appendix C.

3.10 Not in Education Employment or Training (NEET)

The Year 11 NEET figure for the region has remained the same as that seen in 2016–2017 (1.4%), with the national percentage also staying the same as seen in the previous year. Over the latest four-year period, the proportion of Year 11 NEETs has fallen from 3.7% in 2013–2014 to 1.4% in 2017–2018. This is the third consecutive year that CSC has a lower Year 11 NEET figure than seen nationally, with the region continuing to be 0.2pp below the national average.

Further information can be found in Appendix D.

3.11 Pisa outcomes

Regional data is not available at the time of writing the report. However, Wales has seen its performance improve in international tests in reading, mathematics and science. The biggest improvement was in mathematics, while science is now close to the international average. The number of high-performing students in Wales rose from 4% to 7%. There was also no significant gender gap for the first time.

4.0 OVERVIEW OF FUNDING

The Consortium's funding is made up of two principal sources:

- Core Local Authority Funding with individual local authority contributions determined using the Indicator Based Assessment for education (IBA) and agreed by Joint Committee.
- Dedicated funding for schools and school improvement activities routed through consortia by WG. Major WG grants, such as the Regional Consortia School Improvement grant (RCSIG), are administered by consortia and delegated to schools via local authorities. Consortia are able to retain elements of the grants (amounts set in the terms and conditions of each grant) on a regional basis to support local and national school improvement priorities.

The recent trends in both these sources of income for the Consortium are detailed below.

4.1 Core contributions

The original National Model recommended £5.4m of contributions to CSC from the local authorities, but the actual contributions received in 2015–2016 were considerably less than this. The consortium has received a further 2% reduction in local authority contributions in the financial year 2018–2019 in addition to the 5% reduction experienced in 2016–2017 and 2017–2018 (£0.5M efficiency savings achieved over the last three financial years).

The following strategies have been developed to ensure increased efficiency and effectiveness:

- Efficiency savings from a rigorous approach to budget planning and oversight with a focus on value for money.
- Service redesign proposals particularly around the more traditional services provided (literacy, numeracy and Welsh in particular) where there is an opportunity to move to a school-led model by identifying lead practitioners and specialist centres with capacity to support other schools.
- Reviewing the workforce structure as a result of a better information management system. In particular, the senior team structure and the numbers of challenge adviser posts to adopt a risk-based approach to challenge and support.
- Accommodation review strategy.

The consortium received c. £3.9m contributions from the five local authorities in 2018–2019. The funding was used to support the core function of school improvement.

Below is a summary of the financial outputs for 2018–19 by individual Local Authority. The challenge adviser figures reflect where actual support is deployed, all other costs are apportioned by IBA:

Table 2: *Financial Outputs for 2018–2019 by local authority*

Cost category	Outturn 2018–19	City & County of Cardiff	Bridgend CBC	Vale of Glam CBC	Merthyr CBC	RCT CBC
		£	£	£	£	£
		36.19%	15.51%	14.70%	6.31%	27.29%
LA contributions	3,906,161	1,413,498	605,898	574,059	246,599	1,066,107
Senior Challenge Advisers	453,688	142,415	58,345	57,303	61,417	134,209
Challenge Advisers	1,634,897	591,610	253,595	240,268	103,213	446,212
Other employees	1,310,154	474,097	203,223	192,543	82,711	357,580
Premises	291,715	105,561	45,249	42,871	18,416	79,618
Transport	27,104	9,808	4,204	3,983	1,711	7,367
Supplies & services	209,773	75,909	32,539	30,829	13,243	57,253
Commissioning:						
Support services	81,622	29,536	12,661	11,995	5,153	22,277
Gross Core Expenditure*	4,008,954	1,428,936	609,814	579,793	285,864	1,104,546
	% SPEND RECEIVED	35.64%	15.21%	14.46%	7.13%	27.55%

**£3,906k of contributions were received from LAs. However, the gross expenditure incurred reached £4,008k. This was net off by £19k of income received in Ty Dysgu and £83k of grant-funding/school income.*

CSC apportioned budget for regional services according to the specific needs of schools identified through the categorisation process. In 2018–2019, expenditure in two out of the five LAs was higher than the amounts they had contributed (in line with the consortium core value to deploy resources to the areas of greatest need).

4.2 Additional funding – Grants

In addition to the core contributions from LAs, the consortium was also in receipt of grant funding from WG. In 2018–2019, the following funding was received:

Table 3: Welsh Government Grant Funding

Grant	Total £	Delegated £	Centrally retained £
Regional Consortia School Improvement Grant (RCSIG)	46,280,755	43,474,342	2,806,413
Pupil Development Grant (PDG)	31,601,321	30,789,331	811,990
Total	77,882,076	74,263,673	3,618,403

In order to manage these grant streams effectively, the Consortium adhere to strict governance arrangements:

- Initial allocations are provided by WG and apportionment proposals presented to Directors and Members of the Joint Committee for challenge and agreement;
- Director/member decisions are shared with the regional finance group, to determine operational processes;
- CSC grants team identifies project managers and budget holders (in line with schemes of delegation);
- Budget monitoring meetings provide evidence for management dashboard to the senior leadership team (SLT) and Directors;
- Consortium devise service level agreements between schools and LAs for grant-funding initiatives to hold stakeholders to account; and
- The CSC grants team works to a grant compliance framework by reviewing school improvement plans against grant terms and conditions.

Elements of the above grants were used to commission work and support packages for schools across the region. These funds were allocated according to the needs of individual schools, local authorities, the region and nationally.

Initiatives have been split out below across the four key enabling objectives included in the WG in the 'Education in Wales: Our National Mission' report:

Table 4: Expenditure of the Regional Consortia School Improvement Grant by LA in 2018–2019

Cost category	Outturn 2018–2019	City & County of Cardiff	Bridgend CBC	Vale of Glam CBC	Merthyr CBC	RCT CBC
		£	£	£	£	£
		36.19%	15.51%	14.70%	6.31%	27.29%
Curriculum & assessment	2,360,638	590,166	366,117	386,884	37,640	417,067
Developing a high-quality education profession	41,389,230	13,131,167	5,505,562	5,411,814	2,381,504	9,204,207
Leadership	330,607	66,538	29,330	22,790	24,750	70,600
Strong and inclusive schools committed to excellent, equity & wellbeing	31,601,511	12,442,588	4,587,593	3,182,663	2,102,288	8,995,817
Supporting a self- improving system	4,734,449	1,669,939	732,708	701,712	303,907	1,254,003
Total	73,679,352	27,960,397	11,221,310	9,705,863	4,850,089	19,941,693
% spend received	100.00%	37.95%	15.23%	13.17%	6.58%	27.07%

In 2018–2019, monetary benefits of regional working were achieved by Cardiff and Merthyr (compared with Bridgend and Vale of Glamorgan in 2017–2018, Merthyr, RCT and Vale of Glamorgan in 2016–2017, Merthyr and RCT in 2015–2016 and Cardiff and Merthyr in 2014–2015) specifically, but the additional benefits of working regionally can also be seen in the impact on both standards and outcomes across the region. The table below summarises the financial outputs:

Table 5: Actual total expenditure by LAs 2018–19

Cost category	Outturn 2018–2019	City & County of Cardiff	Bridgend CBC	Vale of Glam CBC	Merthyr CBC	RCT CBC
		£	£	£	£	£
		36.19%	15.51%	14.70%	6.31%	27.29%
Gross core expenditure	4,008,954	1,428,936	609,814	579,793	285,864	1,104,546
Delegated grant	74,414,656	28,205,842	11,283,930	9,980,423	4,867,249	20,077,213
Total expenditure	78,423,610	29,634,778	11,893,744	10,560,216	5,153,113	21,181,760
% spend received		37.79%	15.17%	13.47%	6.57%	27.01%

5.0 REVIEWING OUR MAIN STRANDS OF ACTIVITY

There are seven main strands of activity collectively identified as critical elements that have an impact upon school improvement across the region. We provide below an overview of the objective of each strand, what we have done this year, evidence of impact to date and next steps.

5.1 Hub programmes

The first model of Hub schools within CSC was established in 2014 and included OLEVI³ Hubs, Hubs and Specialist Centres. The model was reset in 2016–2017 following a review, and it was identified that system changes were required in order to meet the needs of the region.

In 2018–2019, CSC Hub schools were asked to express an interest in continuing to support the regional strategy to deliver the school-led professional learning offer. The requirement for Hubs to provide additional days of support to schools categorised as Red or Amber was removed, and the funding reduced accordingly. All Hub programmes aligned to a common set of expectations, contributing to a regional offer that comprised over 200 programmes.

CSC Hub schools 2018–2019 vision and purpose were to:

- provide high-quality professional learning opportunities;
- develop school-led activity through collaboration with other Hubs and contribution to regional networks;
- contribute to the regional Hub model;
- complete reporting; and
- align the programmes to the revised 'Professional Standards for Teaching & Leadership' and 'Schools as Learning Organisations'.

What have we done this year?

- The compulsory element of Hubs facilitating an enquiry-led professional learning programme was removed and was replaced with a tailored approach with a mix of programmes: enquiry-led extended programmes, smaller programmes with a level of action research, and one-day events for collaborative development of resources and facilitating regional networks.
- A greater focus on collaborations of Hub schools was created, ensuring that other schools were integral to programme development and delivery.
- Service level agreements were strengthened, to increase the accountability of Hub schools for the delivery of professional learning programmes.

³ <https://www.olevi.com/our-programmes/the-outstanding-teacher-programme-otp/>

- School improvement plans from across the region were analysed and national priorities considered along with the four enabling objectives to ensure that all programmes aligned with national and regional needs.
- Focused visits were carried out by strategic advisers to assess the effectiveness of the individual programmes and overall offer.
- Programme reports were completed by Hub schools to assess engagement and to provide overall programme evaluation.

What evidence of impact do we have?

- Over 17% of CSC schools feature as part of the model to build capacity and provide support to others.
- 1125 practitioners attended a professional learning programme facilitated by a Hub school.
- At least one practitioner from 72% of schools in the region has engaged with a Hub professional learning programme, a continuing positive trend since the inception of the model.
- The development of the professional learning programmes has a clear focus on value for money, impact, the National Professional Learning Model, Professional Standards for Teaching & Leadership, and Schools as Learning Organisations.

Evaluation of the work identified that:

- nearly all practitioners reported that the actions they had undertaken as a result of the programme had impacted on standards and pupil outcomes;
- nearly all practitioners reported that the programme had impacted positively on their own teaching practice;
- nearly all practitioners attending leadership programmes facilitated by professional learning Hubs reported that they had impacted positively on their leadership skills; and
- approximately half of all practitioners reported having undertaken activity to build capacity within their schools.

Feedback from practitioner session evaluations welcomed the opportunity to work collaboratively to develop their practice in support of identified priorities.

Extended opportunities for networks of practitioners to develop practice over a period of time and enhance school-to-school working are strong features of the Hub model.

For example, feedback received from one Hub school:

“It has been rewarding for all of our staff involved in the Hub programme to see literacy strategies that have been developed within our own school having an impact upon standards and provision in other schools. The programme has given us an invaluable opportunity to build capacity within our own school

through the involvement of a range of staff in the activities offered to practitioners through the programme, thus developing their own continuing professional development (CPD). The feedback from practitioners attending the programme has been very positive and hopefully it will be used to continue to develop the programme in the future.”

Next steps

- Review the impact of the Hub model in line with emerging national priorities for professional learning;
- Ensure that adequate expertise in identified areas are available in the model; and
- Review the Hub model so that it can meet current and future regional needs in the current climate

5.2 Peer review and engagement collaboration models

Alongside the Welsh Government Evaluation and Improvement Arrangements (Draft), CSC, along with the other consortia, are developing Peer Review and Peer Engagement models to meet the expectation that self-evaluation by schools involves peers. These two streams of work aim to:

- develop the capacity of schools to self-evaluate effectively in order to further school improvement;
- inform the development of Peer Engagement Models to be trialled across the CSC region in 2019–2020;
- evaluate how much input and impact the schools’ CAs and peers have to establish whether the CAs and/or peers were the catalyst for change or school improvement or were the improvements enabled by the school themselves;
- continue to develop and support different models for collaboration, learning from their experiences and evaluating them for impact; and
- develop a regional approach to supporting peer engagement and evaluation for school improvement as required by WG. This could inform the formation of a National model for use across Wales from autumn 2020 onwards.

In previous years, the consortium developed a Peer Enquiry model based on both quantitative and qualitative evidence, where colleagues entered into the arrangement with honesty and commitment. Peer enquiries have had a significant impact on the collaboration of school leadership teams, on the specificity of ‘SMART’ targets aimed at school improvement and on the professional learning of all stakeholders including senior leaders aspiring to headship. Only 11 peer enquiries took place in this year; a further 18 were cancelled or postponed. This suggests that the peer enquiry model

was not mature enough to happen without a degree of funding and required much stronger and consistent brokerage and support by various consortium stakeholders. Schools can still commission peer enquiries as a separate activity to a peer review in future, and have access to the supporting documentation.

What have we done this year?

Collaboration models

The consortium has worked with the Cyfleoedd+⁴ network across five Welsh-medium secondary schools in the region to learn about the forms of leadership development and processes that will be required to develop a rigorous Peer Review model. The five schools, in partnership with CSC, developed and enacted a working protocol.

In addition, a Special Schools Network has also been established and has used the Peer Enquiry Model to aid self-evaluation.

Peer engagement

As part of the WG 'draft evaluation and improvement arrangements'⁵ announced in February 2019, a CSC Peer Engagement Working Group of eight headteachers has researched current models to develop a regional approach to peer review. The models researched include versions currently being adopted by EAS, Cyfleoedd+ (five CSC Welsh-medium secondary schools), CSC Special Schools Network and London/Bristol academies. In these models, headteachers work with each other to strengthen school self-evaluation and contribute to the sharpening (identification of support requirements) of support/actions.

What evidence of impact do we have?

- The quality-assured categorisation reports produced by the Cyfleoedd+ headteachers successfully cleared all moderation stages in 2018–2019 and provided accurate and valuable identification of areas to improve regarding both standards and capacity to improve. The host headteachers have written the first draft Categorisation Reports and these have been quality assured internally by the host headteacher. Two headteachers were assigned to each school – Headteacher 'A' visited the school to complete the categorisation.



SLA Cyfleoedd+
4 2018-19 Final.docx

⁵ <https://gov.wales/sites/default/files/publications/2019-02/draft-evaluation-and-improvement-accountability-arrangements-for-wales.pdf>

- The process also led to the brokerage of support within the group to a member Amber school that had improved standards by the end of the 2018–2019.
- Greater collaboration has been observed through the Cyfleoedd+ and associated Gyda'n Gilydd ⁶(‘with each other’) professional learning programme, with teachers and leaders at different levels working in collaboration.
- Schools have had the opportunity to find out more about and learn from each other.
- Credibility of the CA role has been enhanced as colleague headteachers have undertaken this activity.
- The model attaching two headteachers to each school has meant that headteacher colleagues have been able to share expertise and provide additional levels of challenge and support to each school.
- The strategic board (five headteachers, five governors and a link challenge adviser) has encouraged governors to be involved, providing endorsement for the model and the work undertaken. Discussion has been focused on realistic but challenging activities. It has been useful to meet with senior leadership teams in other schools to hear about the different procedures in their schools.
- An increased level of trust has been observed between the schools.
- The Cyfleoedd+ model has strengthened the partnership working already happening at Gyda'n Gilydd and SIG levels, since all Cyfleoedd+ schools are in both of these partnerships.
- The pool of five schools has allowed for headteachers to be involved with different triads.
- Seven peer engagement groups of three or four schools each have been formed. They are beginning to work together, enhancing self-evaluation procedures and practices with bespoke, co-constructed models.

Next steps

- Developing a Peer Engagement Protocol.
- Trialling bespoke peer engagement models with up 30 schools (seven groups of mostly three or four schools each with some groups slightly larger) across the region from September 2019 onwards.
- Cyfleoedd+ to move towards collective accountability.
- Training for the seven groups of peer engagement models in the use of the WG National Evaluation and Improvement Resource following 2019–2020 piloting.

5.3 Closing the Gap (CTG): background and objectives

⁶ <http://gydangilydd.pbworks.com>.

This is one of the main priorities in the CSC Business Plan. The aims are to:

- improve outcomes for vulnerable learners;
- improve school use of the Pupil Development Grant (PDG);
- enable and encourage schools to work collaboratively in order to improve outcomes for vulnerable learners;
- identify strong practice in schools;
- develop a professional learning offer for all schools across the consortia;
- develop a wellbeing vulnerable learner review process (to be piloted in 2019–2020); and
- improve the quality of teaching and learning for vulnerable learners.

What have we done this year?

Our overall approach to improving outcomes for vulnerable learners has been to ensure that all CSC activities and school improvement advisers support schools and their most vulnerable pupils.

- We have commissioned an external provider with an excellent track record of working with schools in England “Inclusion Expert” to work with 20 schools across the region. The project has been designed and agreed in collaboration with schools, with the brief to improve the progress of vulnerable learners in schools. The project involves identifying successful practice in supporting and tracking the progress of vulnerable pupils as well as identifying and evaluating other successful interventions or initiatives being undertaken.
- The project will include identifying and evaluating a range of wellbeing assessment tools.
- We facilitate a more robust monitoring of schools’ PDG plans to identify how CSC can support schools in improving outcomes for vulnerable learners and determine the professional learning requirements across the region.
- The Research and Evaluation team supported the strategic leads with writing five case studies identifying effective practice across the five authorities. These have been shared on CRONFA
- CSC have introduced the Voice 21⁷ project to improve oracy in schools across the region. 116 schools were invited to be involved. This comprised all secondary schools and one primary from each cluster, as well as six special schools.
- We improved partnership working with other officers in local authorities. For example, we held regular meetings with attendance and exclusion leads across the five local authorities. The partnerships involve identifying effective practice in

⁷ <https://voice21.org/>

schools, sharing effective protocols and working with improving outcomes for eFSM pupils.

What evidence of impact do we have?

- The newly appointed Wellbeing and Equity Lead has undertaken a thorough review of practices and processes across the region.
- Through its rigorous self-evaluation process and reporting, the consortium's robust analysis of data relating to the performance of eFSM pupils at cohort, school, local authority and regional levels is available.
- We have seen development and sharing of good practice case studies.
- We have facilitated updates and training delivered to upskill the regional workforce on all matters relating to inclusion ensuring that the progress of all learners is at the centre of all objectives.
- 100% of PDG funding received by the consortium is allocated to schools.
- Challenge advisers focus on eFSM pupils when looking at the tracking of pupil progress and target setting. Each CA visit includes questions on the progress of groups of learners.
- CSC has supported schools to develop creative and effective ways of focusing on removing barriers to learning and improving outcomes for eFSM learners.
- The business plan has a clear focus on all elements of reform and how we can support schools to implement change effectively to the benefit of all learners including all vulnerable and disadvantaged groups.

Next steps

CSC will also look at developing the following:

- Improving outcomes for all learners including disadvantaged learners. This is a thread running through all objectives.
- Improving outcomes for vulnerable learners included as a performance management objective for all school improvement advisers.
- Make the monitoring and evaluation of the Vulnerable Learner's project more robust.
- Pilot a template for planning and evaluating grant spends including PDG, to reduce bureaucracy for schools.
- All schools to submit PDG plans centrally on CRONFA. Hold a two-day evaluation of PDG spending across the region.
- Develop a Wellbeing Strategy to be included in the Teaching and Learning Strategy with a focus on attainment for **all** learners through high-quality provision.
- The professional learning offer will be structured around the learning from the projects taking place this year.

- Further develop the quality of teaching and learning, including that for vulnerable learners.

5.4 CSC annual survey

Vision and purpose

To evaluate the quality of support provided for schools in relation to developing collaborative approaches to professional learning within and between schools in the central south region.

What have we done this year?

- A comprehensive survey has been completed by 20% of schools, which has run on an annual basis since 2016. The survey focuses on pupil engagement and alienation from school, and staff professional and collaborative learning.
- Each participant school receives a report of their individual results as well as a comparison to the central south region, and a user guide to support them in utilising the data.
- Survey schools were provided with the opportunity to attend pupil voice training led by experts from Swansea University, to utilise the survey results within school-based pupil voice activities.
- Support and development of CSC school improvement staff has been provided through professional learning and training sessions on the annual survey and its results.
- A research poster was produced for the joint WG and British Educational Research Association (BERA) research conference (2018).

Evidence of impact

PUPIL AND STAFF FEEDBACK

In addition to the performance data available, CSC is also collecting qualitative feedback about the impact of key strands of activity through an annual survey completed by staff and pupils in 20% of schools in the region. The highlights from the November 2018 survey include the following.

Staff survey

- Some 60% of classroom teachers, up from 50% last year, indicated a greater willingness to lead professional learning.
- Some 76% of staff felt that working collaboratively with other schools had improved pupil learning and attainment, and 80% of staff felt that working collaboratively with other schools had enhanced classroom practice.
- Approximately two-thirds of primary staff and just over two-thirds of secondary staff who had worked on cross-school projects felt the cross-school working had improved

their overall leadership skills, had helped them lead learning, and had provided them with support.

- Two-thirds of all staff stated they had involvement in action research.
- The use of research evidence was reported by three-quarters of all staff.

Pupil survey

- Pupils were generally positive about school, with over 80% indicating that ‘for some’ or ‘most of the time’ they felt positive about learning and their relationships with teachers and classmates.
- Of the three aspects covered in the survey (teachers, learning and peers), compared with pupils indicated the highest level of alienation from learning.
- There was a positive correlation between social wellbeing and pupils’ level of alienation. Pupils’ who stated they rarely or never felt alienated from learning were more likely to value school in terms of it providing them with social status and approval.
- If pupils valued school in terms of their physical wellbeing (that is, as a friendly and welcoming place), they were more likely to be comfortable in taking risks, not worry when they made a mistake and to persevere when work was difficult.
- Overall, primary school pupils were more positive than secondary school pupils about their teachers’ ability to support their learning, in respect of finding out what they already know.
- The vast majority of pupils agreed or strongly agreed that they kept on working until they finished even when they found their work to be difficult.
- Students who agreed or strongly agreed that they have a say in what they learn about in lessons and how they are taught in school were less likely to report that they found it hard to concentrate or were easily distracted. As school years progress, pupils feel they have increasingly less influence over what and how they are taught.

Priority areas for CA work have been identified through the annual survey using the pupil- and teacher-response data, to identify areas for challenge adviser work with schools.

Next steps

Engage with a Higher Education Institute (HEI) to conduct a review of the CSC annual staff and pupil survey resulting in a written report with recommendations. CSC will use the recommendations from the report to review the annual survey model and fully utilise its findings to gather useful data which will then be used to inform CSC business planning and MER activity.

5.5 School Improvement Groups (SIGS)

Background and objectives

All schools have been placed in cross-regional school improvement groups. Each SIG is composed of schools from different local authorities, in different places on their learning journey and with different socio-economic intakes. A headteacher in each group acts as the convenor. The role of the convenor is to facilitate collaborative working and to coordinate work across schools in the SIG.

The SIGs have a number of aims but are essentially intended to support schools to work collaboratively in order to focus on and secure improvement in key aspects of school improvement. In addition to deepen and engage in joint practice development between schools in the group. SIGS are provided with minimal levels of seed funding (£500 per school per primary SIG and £1500 per school per secondary SIG) to facilitate collaborative working.

What have we done this year?

Convenors are asked to submit a plan, mid-year evaluation and final evaluation on behalf of the group. Plans are monitored to ensure that they remain focused on local, regional or national priorities. Each SIG provides an evaluation of its work based on the SIG improvement targets set. SIG plans have a keen focus on relevant school improvement priorities and the opportunities for schools to share expertise across LAs.

In terms of **sustainability**, headteachers who act as convenors to coordinate the work of SIGs on behalf of the region are funded £1,500 per year. In order to access the funding, convenors agree to:

- regularly attend and contribute to (or send replacements on their behalf) SIG development sessions provided by CSC;
- contribute to and collate an ongoing evaluation of one priority area of their SIG working; and
- provide a summary case study and prepare to share the successful working of their SIG across the region.

What evidence of impact do we have?

Through SIG working, nearly all schools in the region are able to engage with collaborative school improvement, and SIGs allow all schools equity of access to school improvement opportunities. All SIGs are able to use the capacity available in their SIG rather than in just their school to bring about improvement. In the convenor

survey of work from 2018–2019, approximately 94% of convenors believed that their SIG has the collective capacity to meet the priorities of the group.

SIG sharing events allow convenors to view the work of others in their group and to share barriers and enablers to specific types of work. Thematic analysis of convenor evaluations from 2017–2018 and 2018–2019 show positive qualitative feedback about the impact of SIG work. These thematic evaluations are carried out in addition to the survey and including the following:

- Teacher involvement in SIG work has reduced to around 75%. This is in line with an increased focus on senior leader and headteacher strategic work to support the new curriculum.
- The involvement of middle leaders in SIG work has increased from 63% in 2017–2018 to 75% in 2018–2019.
- 50% of SIGs have included joint-practice development as an aspect of their SIG work.
- Enquiry is a new improvement strategy for SIG working – 28% of SIGs have identified the use of enquiry in their work.
- Over 70% of SIGs have developed teacher working groups.

22 convenors were surveyed in 2017–2018 and 17 in 2018–2019; the group was not the same sample.

- When asked if SIG work had an impact on pupil standards, 88% agreed or strongly agreed, which is an increase from the previous year's 72%.
- Over 94% of SIG convenors agreed or strongly agreed that SIG work has a positive impact on teaching and learning. This is an increase of over 10% from 2017–2018.
- There has been a 25% increase in the number of SIGs that strongly agree they involve pupils in their SIG work.
- There has been an increase of around 10% of SIG convenors who agree or strongly agree that their SIG allows teachers to work across schools, from 86% in 2017–2018 to 94% in 2018–2019.

Based on **effectiveness** data submitted by SIGs to date, there is strong evidence of their impact on school improvement.

- 56% of primary SIGs are able to show positive, measurable impact on standards from their collaborative work. Of these, around 34% show a direct impact of their work.
- Approximately 22% of SIGs show a more indirect impact of their work.
- Approximately 43% of SIGs show proxy indicators of impact. That is, the impact of work during 2017–2018 cannot yet be evidenced but is anticipated in the future.

- Around 70% of SIGs report impact on their provision as a result of collaborative SIG work.

One SIG has provided feedback of the impact of their work:

“Provision: The Hwb Network has been very effective in terms of sharing resources and ongoing communication, and needs to be continued. The group are putting together a catalogue of ‘Ymadrodd yr wythnos’ videos. ‘Welsh Wednesdays’ are successful in the schools that have implemented this – others have said this is something they intend to do. The schools successfully promoted the use of Welsh on the playground as a result of using the videos provided by another school, and have fed back through using Skype or email. Improved communication and promotion of Welsh language with parents as a number of the schools have been sending mascots home to promote Welsh language and have been adding ‘Ymadrodd yr wythnos’ to newsletters for parents. Improved provision of Helpwr Heddiw with some schools asking staff to plan these sessions more formally. The Urdd Residential that learners from one primary school attended proved very successful and will be offered again to pupils during the next academic year.

Leadership: The ‘Healthy Helpers’ (a pupil voice group) at a primary school received ‘Chwarae yn y Gymraeg’ training from the Urdd and now lead the running of Welsh games at break times. The work of ‘The Criw Cymraeg’ at each school has been developed and plays a more significant role. Welsh subject leaders will continue to use the Hwb Network to share good practice. The subject leaders will continue to drive this at their schools, as they carry on the work towards the Cymraeg campus Bronze award. A number of schools have presented to governors on the work that has taken place.

Standards: All schools continue to make good progress against the Cymraeg Campus targets. However, the work towards achieving the Bronze award will continue into the next academic year. Monitoring undertaken, in the form of listening to learners and work scrutiny, shows improved standards. As stated in the mid-term evaluation, all schools felt that nearly all pupils’ oracy skills were improving as a result of promoting Cymraeg campus and the increased opportunities being provided to develop pupils’ skills, and nearly all pupils had more positive attitudes towards Welsh and a greater knowledge of the culture and history of Wales. One primary school has won a Welsh Heritage Award as a result of the history project undertaken. Staff within each school have more confidence in using incidental Welsh and, as a result, are providing pupils with more effective opportunities to use Welsh.”

The **quality** of the professional learning undertaken in SIG work has been supported by the use of the Kirkpatrick model⁸ to ascertain the depth of learning and its impact on practitioners and, in turn, pupils. Based on work completed in 2018–2019,

- only one SIG remained at the lowest Kirkpatrick level of reaction with a focus on visits by headteachers, discussion and sharing;

⁸ [Kirkpatrick](#)

- around a third are working at the next level of learning, where they are evaluating the impact of different or improved approaches to teaching and learning and revising policies to seek to improve practice,
- around a third are operating at the next level of behaviour, where they disseminate information back to their home school on different approaches to teaching and learning and have made evidence-informed changes to practice; and
- around a third of SIGs are operating at the outermost level and deepest form of professional learning: results. At this level, they are able to show improved pupil knowledge, understanding and skills as evidenced through ongoing summative assessments, national test results and end of phase/stage assessments.

Table 6: Progress against SIG priorities identified

Deepen the joint working for SIGs where schools are only working at outer levels of engagement to date.	SIG convenor training has been provided around the Kirkpatrick model and evaluation of the impact and efficacy of professional learning
Reset SIGs where collaboration is underdeveloped.	Secondary SIGs were invited to reset. Three of the secondary SIGs have been completed and monitoring of work continues.
Ensure all SIGs have an effective convenor who will be a professional learning lead. They will be responsible for working across schools to facilitate joint-practice development activities and enquiry	Convenor training provided to all SIGs. Thematic analysis shows increase in the amount of joint-practice development opportunities being undertaken.
Ensure that all schools are clear about the respective roles of SIGs and clusters by developing clear communication around their roles in the Central South Wales Challenge.	Communications developed and shared with all schools.

Our priorities for the next academic year for SIGs therefore are to:

- Consolidate the planning requirements of the CSWC
- Further develop the evaluation framework of SIGs as part of the CSWC.
- Provide training in enquiry as a tool for school improvement

5.6 School partnerships

Background and objectives

In previous years, individual budget holders approved requests for funding to support schools/ It was felt that a clearer, formal and transparent process was needed, and so,

during 2017–2018, a resource board was established to approve all requests for additional funding in vulnerable schools.

A support plan was agreed by the SLT which would provide a detailed overview of all the support for the individual school and would also include any additional support requiring funding by CSC. Once approved by the senior challenge adviser, a support request form would be completed and submitted for consideration by SLT.

The resource board would only consider requests of support from schools categorised as either Red or Amber and in relation to the following:

- Senior Leaders of Education (SLE)
- Consultant Leaders
- Consultant Governors
- Vulnerable Schools; and
- Pathfinders.

School-to-school support was provided by the Hub schools, with all Hubs committed to providing 10 days of support to Red and Amber schools, to be brokered by challenge adviser working with the strategic team. However, evaluation through LA performance reports and strand evaluations highlighted the flaws in this process and the underuse of this support.

Following self-evaluation, the funding for Hub schools was reduced to create an intervention budget that would be managed centrally through the resource board. There is one budget heading for intervention, with different detail codes for all the different types of support, such as consultant governors

What have we done this year?

The types of support requested have been widened to reflect the curriculum support previously provided by the Hub schools. The overall budget for intervention in 2018–2019 was £642,000.

The different types of support are included within the revised support template. Pathfinder relationships are still available but costed according to need, with the detail included within the support plan.

The grants officer provides a cumulative summary of all requests historically approved and approved requests are presented to SLT on a monthly basis for information only. Urgent items are tabled at SLT meetings and are considered under AOB.

Success measures

- Increased accountability for public funds;
- Clearer audit process; and
- Improved identification of expected outcomes of additional funding with clear evidence of progress/impact.

The table below provides an overview of the requests made to the resource board broken down by each local authority:

Table 7: Resource Board Requests by local authorities in 2018–19

LA	IBA %	Spend £	% Split	No. of schools supported	No. of schools supporting	Total no. of requests
Bridgend CBC		£29,700	11%	9	8	12
Cardiff C		£102,300	39%	20	16	20
RCT CBC		£69,061	26%	20	11	12
Vale CBC		£34,200	13%	12	7	10
Merthyr CBC		£28,800	11%	7	5	13
Total	100%	£264,061	100%	68	47	67

Table 8: Type of spend per Resource Board Requests by local authorities in 2018–19

LA	Curriculum	Leadership	Teaching & learning	Total
Bridgend CBC	£14,450	£9,250	£6,000	£29,700
Cardiff C	£23,700	£62,150	£16,450	£102,300
Merthyr CBC	£7,000	£13,200	£8,600	£28,800
RCT CBC	£34,450	£30,611	£4,000	£69,061
Vale of Glam CBC	£16,900	£17,300	£0	£34,200
Total	96,500	132,511	35,050	£264,061

What evidence of impact do we have?

Many resource board funded projects show impact. For example, a Cardiff primary school received funding to work alongside strategic advisers to raise standards in literacy, Welsh and mathematics. The funding allowed the teachers to work directly with strategic advisers, resulting in the teachers having the skills to improve pupils' learning.

- Improved performance in literacy and mathematics in the FP since 2017–2018.
- Improved performance in literacy L4 in KS2, with the Cardiff school outlined above exceeding its 2019 target;
- Improved performance in Welsh L4 83% L5 20% from L4 71% and L5 10%; and

- Strong eFSM progress in L4 and O5 data. In O5 data, the eFSM pupils attained a greater percentage in the higher than expected progress column than for all pupils for each subject area (33% LLC, cf. 32%, 50% MD, cf 44% and 67% Personal and Social Development (PSD), cf 44%) and at L4 in mathematics. There were equal percentages at the higher than expected progress column (30%) but the eFSM pupils had a higher number in the expected progress column (70% cf 63%) and a lower percentage in the below expected progress column (0% cf 7%).

Three RCT secondary schools received funding to develop a triad working group, to improve strategies for attendance. The funding has allowed release for leads to work in partnership with a successful Cardiff secondary school and two out of the three schools improved attendance in the last academic year. One of these schools has recently had an Estyn visit, where the inspection team noted:

“The school has employed a range of worthwhile strategies to raise awareness of the importance of regular attendance with pupils and parents. These include using social media, assemblies, circle time and first-day absence calls. Leaders have also reinvigorated successfully the reward system to maintain pupils’ engagement. Close monitoring of attendance in lessons and follow-up from staff has led to a significant reduction in internal truancy. The school has recently adopted a range of beneficial systems for collecting useful data on attendance and behaviour. Leaders evaluate this data rigorously and act upon it swiftly. Since January 2019, school data indicates that there have been improvements in attendance patterns for many pupils.”

A Cardiff secondary school received funding to allow release of senior and middle leaders to work alongside their counterparts from a neighbouring school. The release allowed opportunities for working collaboratively on several areas including:

- Enhancing the capacity of the SLT during the time of transition to the new school buildings;
- Support the induction of the new AHT with responsibility for wellbeing;
- Supporting the development of temporary acting assistant headteachers with responsibilities for behaviour for learning and for inclusion; and
- Newly appointed Head of Sixth Form needs development in use of Alps for target setting, tracking and quality assurance to ensure teachers (and leaders) are able to share practice around managing change effectively in response to the new curriculum.

The Cardiff school has been able to ensure that a greater proportion of lessons are judged ‘good’ or ‘better’, including a ‘good’ judgement for behaviour for learning. The school has continued to develop practices to improve whole-school levels of

attendance and punctuality, and further reduce exclusions as a result of this collaboration.

Next steps

- Improve systems for brokerage to ensure that the most appropriate professional learning activity is used to support a partnership; and
- Improve systems to evaluate impact more accurately.

5.7 Challenge Adviser (CA) deployment

Background and objectives

Our shared objective, developed in partnership with local authorities and schools in the region, is to continue to improve educational outcomes through excellent leadership and teaching and through reducing the impact of poverty on educational outcomes.

We are doing this by building the capacity of schools to be self-improving. We are developing a culture that embraces innovation and enables teachers and leaders to work together to improve practice in ways that are informed by research and have a positive impact on pupils' achievement and progress.

Our vision is to enable schools to lead this work themselves by increasingly delegating the responsibility and resources, backed by a robust system of accountability. We believe that this is vital in order to secure sustainable long-term improvement in the achievement of all children and young people in the region.

Following a review of the working practices for CAs last year, we are now entering the second year of schools receiving a greater number of CA visits. Where possible, CAs continue to be deployed in geographical clusters, but the current allocation model restricts this. We have increased to 15 partner headteachers working in the service, which ensures that our practice is current and relevant and ensures that current school knowledge and practice is built into our service delivery. Also, partner headteachers are building capacity within the system, delivering the model and practice to schools and headteacher within their own school cluster. The over-arching principle of CSC is to build in-school capacity which is both sustainable and efficient.

Challenge advisers provide challenge and support to each school in the region (with more time allocated to the schools most in need) and provide data analyses to support schools' self-evaluation and improvement planning.

What have we done this year?

Challenge advisers have been required to challenge and support schools across the region either to sustain high outcomes or to improve outcomes for all their learners. Consequently, this has been the driving objective underpinning all visits to all school regardless of categorisation colour. A range of school improvement tools has been used by challenge advisers to monitor and evaluate performance of all learners, namely:

- scrutiny of school’s self-evaluation and school development planning processes in identifying the improvement priorities;
- book looks;
- listening to learners;
- learning walks; and
- meetings with school staff including senior leaders and governors.

CAs play a key role in helping schools improve outcomes for learners and in building capacity within a self-improving school system. CAs work mainly in one local authority and are assigned to a number of schools, but work in partnership with colleagues in a cluster.

The imminent large-scale reform in the education system has required CAs to provide additional and extra support to school preparing for elements of change.

The amount of time a CA spends at each school is determined by the school’s support category. The CA facilitates the categorisation process for each school to which he/she is attached, and is responsible for writing the national categorisation report.

CAs support governors in the performance management of headteachers and in the recruitment of senior posts. Support for schools is provided largely by other schools, and the challenge advisers play a key role in brokering the school-to-school support. In summary, CAs engagement with schools includes:

- review, challenge and support meeting with the headteacher and governors to identify category;
- authenticate priorities for improvement;
- review a headteacher’s performance objectives and agree objectives for 2018–2019;
- broker additional support and implement as part of school improvement plan;
- monitor progress against agreed priorities in school improvement plan;
- review progress against headteacher’s performance objectives;
- review progress of all learners;
- agree pupil-level targets; and
- agree plans for grant spend.

What evidence of impact do we have?

- Overall more schools are being removed from Estyn follow-up categories within timescales: 16 schools in 2018–2019 compared to 11 schools in 2017–2018;
- Increase in green category schools
- Early identification of schools requiring support shown in change in categories.

One primary school was placed in a category of in need of significant improvement in February 2018. With support from the CA, the headteacher, the deputy headteacher and the SLT moved at pace to create a post-inspection action plan that appropriately focused on rapidly improving the quality of teaching and learning, standards in literacy and ICT, curriculum coverage and the quality of monitoring and review.

The Post Inspection Action Plan (PIAP) was approved by Estyn in June 2018.

In September 2018, a new challenge adviser began working with the school. Support was brokered, via funding from the CSC resource board, for a foundation phase alliance school to work alongside staff to improve the pedagogy and practice in the foundation phase and also improve outdoor provision. Teachers from both schools worked together to support the school in need, developing and sharing good practice for the benefit of learners.

Other support was provided by the CSC strategic teams for literacy and ICT. This involved staff training, curriculum development and work with school leaders to improve their roles. The leadership of the school, supported by the challenge adviser, established high expectations for the quality of teaching, learning and feedback, with training and coaching designed to meet the needs of individual teachers.

At the same time, CSC funded a consultative governor to work with the governing body to ensure it was operating in the way a governing body should in challenging and supporting the school. The development of the governing body was also supported by the challenge adviser.

The challenge adviser worked closely with the headteacher and SLT, developing their roles in evaluating the progress being made and planning a way forward. In addition, their improved role in challenging and supporting underperformance was crucial in ensuring the rapid progress being made.

By the end of the spring term 2019, emerging progress was clear and evident. The improvement in the quality of teaching was impacting on the progress being made by pupils, and classroom activities were engaging and enthusing learners. The practice and pedagogy in the foundation phase was developing well, with pupils working more independently. The schools' leadership was better equipped to provide honest self-

evaluation, and the governing body was more appropriately challenging and working more effectively.

The school was removed from the Significant Improvement (SI) category following an Estyn monitoring visit in July 2019. The inspection team recognised and praised the value of the training and support the school had received and was impressed by the speed and efficiency of improvement in many areas. The school is now on positive improvement journey and has been categorised as in need of Yellow support for 2019–2020, thanks to the close, collaborative working between all partners including the school, the local authority and the consortium.

Next steps

- Ensure a greater emphasis on the ‘support’ element of the CA role to strengthen brokering and signposting schools to appropriate professional development support.
- Work towards allocating challenge advisers to clusters of schools and facilitate working between secondary and primary CAs.
- Review quality assurance processes and performance management processes to ensure consistency across the organisation, resulting in school improvement staff being accountable for the support they provide to schools.
- Improve the quality and consistency of school improvement advice, support and challenge to schools through effective line management and appropriate effective professional learning.
- Review the deployment of school improvement advisers.

6.0 CONCLUSION

In 2018–2019 there was a further 2% reduction in core funding from the previous year level. In addition, the region had an increase in pupil numbers of 461 which subsequently reduced the spend per pupil. However standards overall were maintained.

In 2018–2019, performance for the region exceeds the national average for the majority of performance measures across all key stages. For Foundation Phase, Key Stage 2 and Key Stage 3, the region exceeds the national averages, with the only exception being FP LCW O6+. For KS4, the region exceeds the national average for four of the five interim performance measures and is within 0.2points for the fifth interim performance measure. The region is the highest performer for the Capped 9 points score when compared to all other regions across Wales and is the second highest performing region for the remaining four interim performance measures. For KS5, the region exceeds the national average for all four performance measures, and is the highest performing region in Wales for three of these four performance measures and the second highest performing region for the remaining performance measure.

The gap in attainment for pupils eligible for free school meals and those not eligible for free school meals has widened for nearly all performance measures across FP, KS2 and KS3, which is due to the performance of eFSM pupils falling at a faster rate than non-FSM pupils. For KS4, the gap in performance for the region is narrower for Capped 9 Points Score and Welsh BaccaLaureate Skills Challenge Certificate but wider for the remaining performance measures. The gap in performance remains too high for the region.

Table 9: Comparison of Core Expenditure per pupil from 2016–2017 to 2018–2019

	2016–2017	2017–2018	2018–2019
LA contributions	£4,195,662	£3,985,879	£3,906,161
Pupil numbers	146,711	147,236	147,697
Spend per pupil	£28.60	£27.07	£26.45

The vision for a school-led self-improving system has meant that delegation rates to schools for grant-funded activity have increased from a rate of 94.4% in 2016–2017 to 95.6% in 2017–2018, however slightly decreased to 93.2% in 2018–2019⁹. This has been achieved through the continuation of effective deployment of the formerly named Education Improvement Grant (EIG).

During 2018–2019, there continued to be some cross subsidisation between the five authorities. Monetary benefits of regional working were achieved by Cardiff and Merthyr (compared with Bridgend and Vale of Glamorgan in 2017–2018, Merthyr, RCT and Vale of Glamorgan in 2016–2017, Merthyr and RCT in 2015–2016 and Cardiff and Merthyr in 2014–2015) specifically, but the additional benefits of working regionally can also be seen in the impact on both standards and outcomes across the region.

A comprehensive analysis of resources provided to schools in individual local authorities identifies a cross subsidisation of core funding. Core funds are directed to schools in inverse proportion to need across the region. However, when consideration

⁹ MEAG and Gypsy Traveller allocations removed from the EIG in 2018–2019

is taken of all delegated resources, local authorities receive between –1.46pp and +1.64pp when compared to the percentage of funding they contribute to the overall core budget. Schools requiring additional resource due to being categorised as requiring Red and Amber support are balanced by additional funding provided to build capacity and promote school-to-school working.

CSC continues to provide a regional school improvement service which combines resources allowing for economies of scale to be realised. Regional working also ensures a consistent service to schools and allows for a more flexible deployment of staff to respond to crisis as they arise. Operating regionally also encourages the recruitment of high calibre staff with the opportunities for professional development across a wider geographical region and in addition access to high-quality professional learning.

CSC is able to take advantage of the additional services provided through the host authority through the establishment of SLA agreements. The over-arching principle of CSC is to build school capacity that is both sustainable and efficient.

A small team is held centrally to facilitate improvement and change in a sustainable way. Over time the numbers of centrally employed school improvement advisers has been reduced significantly. Differentiated support according to need (*Challenge & Support Framework*) allows for an agile workforce with the ability to work intensively where needs are identified. Increasingly, partner headteachers are deployed as school improvement advisers to ensure that support for schools is based upon recent experience, especially at this time of significant change.

As CSC continues to develop as a learning organisation, significant changes to the working practices are made in order to maximise the impact of school improvement activities. The initial findings of the ISOS review highlighted the need to review the Governance structure of CSC to ensure full engagement with head teachers in the school-led system.

During 2018–2019, a full review and change to the Hub programme and the networks used to deliver key messages and support were developed to ensure effective support and professional learning opportunities for Curriculum Reform and all other aspect of national reform. These changes will be evaluated for impact and reported in 2020–2021.

The process for allocating additional resources has been refined during 2018–2019 within the Resource Board. The Senior Leadership Team agree all requests and this has ensured a more clear, transparent and fair approach to enable CSC to deliver a focused, timely and bespoke support to schools in need to improvement. The

challenge adviser is key to this process, monitoring the progress and impact of specific support and/or interventions. Systematic reporting on the evaluation and impact of the additional resource is discussed in local authority performance meetings as well as discussed in senior leadership meetings within CSC.

The centralisation of the governor support programme and the employment of a CSC governor training officer from 2017 have improved the consistency and quality of support to governing bodies. During 2018–2019, online resources have been developed for use by governors, and additional professional learning programmes (in addition to the annual conference for governors) have focused on the requirements of schools in relation to all areas of reform.

Key infrastructure developments have improved access to IT resources and allowed staff to work remotely both effectively and efficiently. The introduction of cloud-based services has improved collaboration across the service. There is further work to be done, however, to integrate the full potential of cloud-based services and integration with the national resource Hwb.

Evaluations of ongoing work are reported to key groups within the governance structure of CSC as part of the work of the Research and Evaluation Board. This enables CSC to respond quickly to any identified concerns with service delivery, and ensures that effective self-evaluation processes are in place. The organisation would benefit from mapping all self-evaluation processes to ensure that all intelligence is used effectively to support future planning.

Through the improved self-evaluation processes and the increased capacity provided by the research and evaluation board, evidence of impact and value for money can be identified in the following areas:

- Raised standards in literacy/English/Welsh, numeracy and mathematics at almost all phases
- Overall improvement in school categorisation profiles
- Increased engagement in professional learning across career phases. During 2018–2019, at least one practitioner from 72% of schools in the region engaged with a Hub professional learning programme. In addition, nearly all practitioners reported that the actions they had undertaken as a result of the programme had impacted on standards and outcomes
- Alternative peer-engagement models have provided an effective standard, with the categorisation reports produced by the peers being approved at all moderation stages, and have provided an accurate and valuable identification of areas for improvement for both standards and capacity to improve.

The annual survey conducted in November 2018 provided evidence of the following:

- Increased engagement in action research. Three-quarters of staff state they have been involved in action research over the last 12 months, with 36% of class teachers having done so frequently (termly or more)
- 75% of staff indicated they had engaged in professional development in 2018 (an increase from 39% in 2016 & 68% in 2017)
- There is a high level of belief among staff (90%) in the positive role correlation could play in school improvement
- The implementation of the new curriculum is starting to permeate the majority of primary and secondary schools.

Finally, having identified improvements in performance across a range of indicators and the continuing improvements over a number of years, CSC is confident in the assertion that value for money can be demonstrated across a wide range of activity.

7.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

Central South Consortium commit to taking the following next steps:

- Review specific aspects of the Central South Wales Challenge Model (Hubs) in line with emerging priorities for professional learning and national reform. Professional learning opportunities should be accessible to all schools in line with the national approach to professional learning. CSC professional learning offer will support the new Curriculum for Wales and the development of a high-quality education profession with inspirational leaders
- Support schools to improve outcomes from specific groups of pupils including More Able and Talented (MAT) and Children Looked After (CLA), as well as eFSM
- Evaluate recently introduced strategies for improving the progress of vulnerable learners, to support schools to develop as strong and inclusive with a commitment to wellbeing equity and excellence
- Evaluate the impact of the Vulnerable Learners' Project, and consideration given to taking the learning from the project wider;
- Strengthen collaboration with local authority officers to best support vulnerable learners
- Refine the Annual Survey to provide evidence for future planning
- Further develop the evaluation framework of SIGs as part of the CSWC
- Improve brokerage of professional learning opportunities within the support remit of challenge advisers, specifically in relation to school partnerships
- Review the deployment of challenge advisers
- Streamline the processes relating to grant-funding requirements in order to reduce the workload
- Include an additional priority in the 2019–2020 Business Plan to improve the effectiveness and efficiency of Central South Consortium.

In November 2018, ISOS partnership were commissioned to undertake a review of the regional delivery arrangements in the Central South region. ISOS were asked to consider:

- How well is CSC performing currently and how well does the organisation understand its own performance, strengths and challenges?
- Are there other examples elsewhere to learn from, in particular around the development of a school-led system?
- Is the current model fit for purpose for the future taking account of WG planned changes to the education system?
- Is the model affordable over the next three to five years with a likely continued period of austerity?
- What needs to change over the next three to five years, and how will these change be implemented?

During the review, the main messages heard included questions raised about value for money and evidence of impact. At this time, when LAs are required to deliver significant savings, questions were raised about value for money from the consortium. Concern was expressed regarding the level of detail contained in reports about the impact of different school improvement activities. CSC accepted all the recommendations and will develop and monitor an implementation plan to take forward these recommendations following the review.

Priority Drive Teams identified within the business plan (2018–2019) should continue to produce detailed, costed plans for funding, detailing the overall purpose of the initiative as well as identifying the risks, outcome measures and means of verification to ensure an ongoing focus upon value for money. The impact reports can then inform future strategy development.

Progress has been made to ensure that when additional funding / resource is provided to schools in need, expected outcomes are identified to ensure good value for money at the planning stages. This is achieved by the development of the school support plan and the systematic reporting of impact. Funding is not released to schools until the work has been undertaken and the evaluation report received. Further refinement is required, however, on the effective brokering of the support for schools from the available Central South Wales Strategy models.

Strand evaluations for 2019–2020 should continue for the following areas:

- Vulnerable Learners Project
- Peer Engagement and Collaboration Models
- Central South Wales Challenge (with focus on Hub Model)
- Annual Survey

APPENDIX A

1.0 CENTRAL SOUTH CONSORTIUM – OUTCOMES

In order to ascertain where CSC provided value for money in 2017–2018, an analysis of standards across the regions as well as by local authorities within CSC has been produced.

Analysis will include all key stages (including key stage 5) as well as comparisons between eFSM and non-eFSM.

The WG Statistical First Release ‘Academic Achievement of Pupils Aged 4 to 14 in Core Subjects, 2019’ ‘SFR 61/2019’ includes the following statement in relation to the decrease in national 2019 data when compared to 2018 data:

‘Following a written statement by the Minister of Education in July 2018 and a consultation which ended in January 2018, teacher assessment data is no longer published at a school, local authority and consortia level. This is a significant move away from gathering information about young people’s performance on a school by school basis for accountability purposes.

This year’s results could be a reflection of these changes, whereby the prime purpose of teacher assessments has started to shift back to individual learners and away from holding schools to account.’

In addition, the eFSM/nFSM is from CSC matched data sources and may differ from that produced historically due to lower matching rates attainable for the region.

Please note that due to revisions to the Foundation Phase Areas of Learning (AOL) for LLC and MDT in October 2014, which aligned them against the LNF and also made them more demanding, comparisons with previous years should be treated with caution, as they are not measured on a comparable basis.

1.1 Foundation Phase outcomes

- Regional performance remains above the national average at all levels in the most recent year with the only exception being LCW O6+. However, performance has declined for nearly all performance measures in the most recent year for the region.
- Larger falls in performance are seen regionally for LCE O5+, LCE O6+ and MDT O6+ than are seen nationally in the most recent year.
- However, the performance measures of MDT O5+, MDT O6+, PSD O6+, LCW O5+ and LCW O6+ all saw a smaller decrease regionally than that seen nationally in the most recent year, with the regional performance of LCW O6+ increasing in the most recent year.
- Over the latest three-year period the fall in performance seen regionally is smaller than that seen nationally for the Foundation Phase Outcome Indicator.

1.2 Key Stage 2

- The region continues to exceed the national average for all performance measures at the expected level.
- However, at the expected level performance has fallen in the most recent year for all performance measures except for Cymraeg.
- A decrease in results can be seen over the latest three-year period for all performance measures at the expected level.
- Regional performance at the above-expected level continues to exceed the national average for all performance measures this year.
- However, at the above-expected level performance has fallen for all performance levels this year.
- Improvements can be seen over the latest three-year period for all performance measures at the above-expected level.
- At the expected level, Cymraeg is the highest performing core subject in 2019 for the region, followed by mathematics, science and English.
- At the above-expected level, the highest performing core subject is mathematics, with Cymraeg being the lowest performing core subject at this level.
- Writing, for both English and Cymraeg, continues to be the weakest element for language at a regional level in 2019 at both the expected and above-expected levels.

1.3 Key Stage 3

- The region continues to exceed the national average for all performance measures at the expected level.
- However, at the expected level performance has fallen in the most recent year for all performance measures.
- A decrease in results can be seen over the latest three-year period for most performance measures at the expected level, with the exceptions being science and Core Subject Indicator.

- Regional performance at the above-expected level continues to exceed the national average for all performance measures this year.
- However, performance has fallen for all performance levels in the most recent year at the above-expected level.
- Improvements can be seen over the latest three-year period for all performance measures at the above-expected level except for Cymraeg.
- At the expected level +2, performance has decreased for all performance measures except science this year.
- Regional performance at Level 7+ is above the national average for all subjects except Cymraeg.
- Performance over the latest three-year period at Level 7+ is positive for three of the four core subjects.
- At the expected level, Cymraeg is the highest performing core subject in 2019 for the region, followed by science, mathematics and English.
- At Level 6+, the highest performing core subject is science with Cymraeg being the lowest performing core subject at this level.
- At Level 7+, the highest performing core subject is mathematics with Cymraeg being the lowest performing core subject at this level.
- Writing, for both English and Cymraeg, continues to be the weakest element for language at a regional level in 2019 at Level 5+, Level 6+ and Level 7+.

1.4 Key Stage 4

The WG, alongside several partners and experts, has undertaken a review of the accountability system for schools in Wales. Findings highlighted that the existing system and its use of performance measures has many negative unintended consequences, such as:

- a narrowing curriculum choice;
- a disproportionate focus on particular groups of learners;
- the way in which benchmarking is used, driving competition between schools rather than encouraging collaboration;
- an increased and unnecessary workload for teachers and others in the system, without the necessary impact or benefit for learners; and
- an aggregation of data for accountability purposes where it was designed for improvement purposes.

As a result, schools have heard conflicting messages from the various parts of the system about what matters. This has often diverted effort from learning and teaching and moved us towards a culture of compliance and bureaucracy. A joint communication from WG, the WLGA and Estyn to Chairs of Scrutiny, Cabinet Members, Directors of Education, Chief Executive Officers, and Managing Directors of Regional Education Consortia, published on 16 July 2019, stated that:

‘It is counter-productive for schools to be placed under disproportionate pressure on the basis of individual measures. It is not in the interest of school improvement, and risks undermining the ongoing change in culture that we are working together to achieve. We expect local authorities and regional consortia to support schools to make appropriate decisions about their curriculum, to avoid narrowing choice for learners.

Collectively, we have agreed that this is the right approach to take and strongly advise you to use a broad range of unaggregated data and information to enable you to discharge your duties when reporting on school performance. Evaluating the performance of individual schools rather than generating aggregated data at local authority level will be more helpful to supporting and challenging individual schools with their improvement.’

Due to the changes to the performance measures for reporting in Summer 2019, only limited information can be provided for KS4 2019 results within this report.

New interim KS4 measures have been introduced for 2019 as part of the significant education reform programme in Wales. National data capture for individual schools will be based on first entry results. The data provided regionally for individual school and LAs will also be based on first entry results. JCQ/WJEC have published their data and press release based on the ‘best outcome’ obtained by 16-year-olds across both the November and summer series. There will be differences between first entry and best outcome data. As a result, across several indicators, it will not be possible to compare 2019 figures with previous performance. The table below shows the new interim measures and the methodology used for calculating. It also demonstrates the key differences with previous years.

Table 1: Methodology of KS4 interim performance measures

Interim measure	How it is calculated	Differences from previous years, and why comparisons cannot be made
Capped 9	<p>The Capped 9 Points Score is a performance measure calculating the average of the scores for the best awards for all individual pupils in the cohort, capped at a specified volume of GCSEs or equivalent qualifications.</p> <p>Three of the nine slots require the awards of specific subjects and qualifications in order to contribute any points towards the measure. These slots are each one GCSE in size, specifying requirements in literacy, numeracy and science GCSEs only.</p> <p>The best grade from any of the literature or first language Welsh or English GCSEs can contribute towards the literacy slot.</p>	<p>Only a pupil’s first entry will count</p> <p>WJEC science GCSE only</p>

	<p>The best grade from either of the mathematics or mathematics – numeracy GCSEs can contribute towards the numeracy slot.</p> <p>The best grade from a science GCSE can contribute towards the science slot. (Currently, this is limited to awards in the WJEC suite of science GCSE qualifications available to learners: biology, chemistry, physics, science (double award) applied science (double award) and applied science (single award).)</p> <p>The remaining six qualifications will include the pupil's best performance in either GCSE and/or vocational equivalent.</p>	
Literacy measure	Calculating the average of the scores for all individual pupils in the cohort, taking the best grade from any of the literature or first language Welsh or English GCSEs awarded to a pupil.	New 2019 measure, first entry only will count, with Literature also accepted within this measure
Numeracy measure	Calculating the average of the scores for all individual pupils in the cohort, taking the best grade from either of the mathematics or mathematics – numeracy GCSEs awarded to a pupil	New 2019 measure, first entry only will count
Science measure	Calculating the average of the scores for all individual pupils in the cohort, taking the best grade from a science GCSE awarded to a learner. (Currently, this is limited to awards in the WJEC suite of science GCSE qualifications available to learners: biology, chemistry, physics, science (double award) applied science (double award) and applied science (single award) – these are identified as being able to contribute towards science measures.)	New 2019 measure, first entry only will count
The Welsh Baccalaureate Skills Challenge Certificate measure	Calculates the average of the scores for the Welsh Baccalaureate Skills Challenge Certificate awards for all individual learners in the cohort, whether it is the Foundation (Level 1) or the National (Level 2) award.	Reported separately as a main indicator for the first time in 2019

The first entry of a qualification will be applied to performance measures for summer 2019 reporting, which is the first time that this has been included in the data for KS4 reporting. Therefore, direct comparisons to data for previous years is not possible and should be treated with caution.

Links have been included below to analysis produced by WG, which provides a commentary on the performance of the region:

<https://gov.wales/examination-results-september-2018-august-2019>
<https://gov.wales/sites/default/files/statistics-and-research/2019-12/examination-results-september-2018-august-2019-080.pdf>

Interim performance measures 2019

- CSC exceeds the national average for four of the five interim performance measures for KS4.
- The only performance measures where CSC is below the Wales average is Average Points Science, where the average points seen for the region is 0.15 points below the Wales figure (36.7 compared to 36.8).
- The points score equivalent for each GCSE grade:
A*=58, A=52, B=46, C=40, D=34, E=28, F=22, G=16, U=0.

1.5 Key Stage 5

- Level 3 Threshold has increased again in the most recent year and has achieved its highest ever position.
- Performance in the other three main performance measures has reduced in the most recent year, but all measures continue to exceed the national average.
- The Level 3 Threshold for the region has increased for the second consecutive academic year, and in 2019 reached its highest ever position.
- Over the latest three-year period, the region has improved by 0.6pp, with three of the four LAs in the region also increasing for this performance measure over this same period. The improvement in the region compares against a maintaining of position nationally for this performance measure over the same period.

1.6 eFSM performance

1.6.1 *Foundation Phase*

- The gap in performance between eFSM and nFSM pupils has widened for all performance measures at both expected and above-expected levels (except for LCE O6+) in the most recent year.
- Performance of eFSM pupils has fallen for all performance measures at both the expected and above-expected levels between 2018 and 2019.
- A similar pattern is evident for nFSM pupils, except for LCW O6+ where the performance of nFSM pupils has increased.

1.6.2 *Key Stage 2*

- At the expected level the gap in performance between eFSM and nFSM pupils has widened for all performance measures in the most recent year.

- The widening of the gap is due to the performance of eFSM pupils falling at a faster rate than the fall in performance for nFSM pupils.
- At the above-expected level, the gap in performance between eFSM and nFSM pupils has narrowed for English but has increased for all other performance measures.
- The narrowing of the gap for English L5+ is due to the performance of nFSM pupils falling at a faster rate than eFSM pupils.

1.6.3 *Key Stage 3*

- Performance for both eFSM and nFSM has decreased for all performance measures for all levels in the most recent year with the following exceptions: eFSM: English L6+ Cymraeg L5+ and L6+, Science L7+, nFSM: Science L7+
- At the expected level the gap in performance between eFSM and nFSM pupils has widened for all performance measures in the most recent year except for Cymraeg.
- The widening of the gap is due to the performance of eFSM pupils falling at a faster rate than the fall in performance for nFSM pupils.
- At Level 6+, the gap in performance between eFSM and nFSM pupils has narrowed for English, Cymraeg and Science but has increased for all mathematics.
- The narrowing of the gap for English L5+ is due to the performance of nFSM pupils falling at a faster rate than eFSM pupils.
- At Level 7+, the gap in performance between eFSM and nFSM pupils has narrowed for mathematics but has widened for all other core subjects.

1.6.4 *Key Stage 4: interim performance measures*

- The performance of nFSM pupils in the region exceeds that seen nationally for all five interim performance measures.
- However, for eFSM pupils performance is below the national performance for both the two measures of the Average Points in Literacy and Science.
- The gap in performance between eFSM and nFSM pupils is lower for CSC for the Capped 9 Points Score and the Average Points for the Welsh Baccaulaureate Skills Challenge Certificate but is above the national difference for the average points score for the core subjects.

APPENDIX B

1.0 CENTRAL SOUTH CONSORTIUM – INSPECTION OUTCOMES

In order to ascertain where CSC provided value for money in 2018–2019, an analysis of inspection outcomes across the region (2017–2019) has been produced.

A new inspection framework was introduced in September 2017 for all schools, independent specials colleges, pupil referral units and work-based learning providers. As part of these changes, the areas inspected changed and schools are now judged on the following five inspection areas:

- Standards
- Wellbeing and attitudes to learning
- Teacher and Learning experiences
- Care, support and guidance
- Leadership and management

Schools continue to be judged on a four-point scale, and these are slightly revised to be:

- **Excellent** – Very strong, sustained performance and practice
- **Good** – Strong features, although minor aspects may require improvement
- **Adequate and needs improvement** – Strengths outweigh weaknesses, but important aspects require improvement
- **Unsatisfactory and needs urgent improvement** – Important weaknesses outweigh strengths

The inspection team will, during the inspection, consider whether the school requires any follow-up activity, and the three types of follow-up activities are:

- **Estyn Review (formerly Estyn Monitoring)**
- **Significant Improvement**
- **Special Measures**

The inspection team may also judge that a school/provider has excellent practice in a particular area of its work. If this is the case, the inspection team will invite the school/provider to write a case study that may be published on the Estyn website.

2.0 LOCAL AUTHORITY – INSPECTION OUTCOMES

The number of schools inspected differs every year. The table below shows the number of schools that have been inspected in CSC over the last five academic years.


Table 1: CSC number of Inspections

LA	2013–2014	2014–2015	2015–2016	2016–2017	2017–2018	2018–2019
Bridgend	11	9	7	11	10	7
The Vale of Glamorgan	12	9	6	9	8	10
Rhondda Cynon Taff	23	24	19	16	20	18
Merthyr Tydfil	7	3	5	3	4	7
Cardiff	16	21	18	17	19	21
CSC	69	66	55	56	61	63

As the inspection areas have changed for inspections since 2017, only trend information since 2018 will be provided for these inspections.

2.1 Inspection areas 1–5

Chart 1: Across regional consortia in Wales




- The proportion of schools in CSC judged as either Excellent or Good is below the national proportion for all five Inspection Areas in the most recent year.
- CSC is the lowest region for the proportion of schools judged as either Excellent or Good for Inspection Areas 1, 2, 3 and 5, but is the second highest region for Inspection Area 4 (Care, Support and Guidance) for the proportion of schools judged as either Excellent or Good.
- The highest performing Inspection Area for the region is Inspection Area 4, where just under 90% of schools inspected are judged as either Excellent or Good.

- The lowest performing Inspection Area within the region is Inspection Area 3 (Teaching and Learning Experiences), where only two-thirds of the school inspected are judged as either Excellent or Good.

2.2 Across local authorities within CSC

Chart 2: Inspection outcomes 2017–18 and 2018–19



- In Merthyr Tydfil LA, 100% of schools were graded as either Excellent or Good for Inspection Area 2 (Wellbeing and Attitudes to Learning) in the most recent year. This is the second consecutive year that the LA has achieved 100% for this Inspection Area.
- The Vale of Glamorgan LA has the highest proportion of schools in the region judged as either Excellent or Good for both IA1 (Standards) and IA4 (Care, Support and Guidance) in the most recent year.
- Rhondda Cynon Taff LA has the highest proportion of schools in the region judged as either Excellent or Good for Inspection Area 3 (Teaching and Learning Experiences) in the most recent year, with Cardiff LA having the highest proportion of schools in the region judged as either Excellent or Good for Inspection Area 5 (Leadership and Management).

The inspection data analysis included in this report has been sourced using the information available from www.data.estyn.gov.uk.

2.3 Inspection follow-up

Chart 3: Inspection follow-up activities across regions





Chart 4: Inspection follow-up activities across local authorities within CSC



- CSC has proportionally fewer schools not placed into a follow-up activity than the national proportion. The proportion of schools placed into each follow-up activity are similar for CSC and Wales for Special Measures and Significant Improvement, but CSC has a higher proportion of schools placed into Estyn Review than seen nationally.

- In all five LAs in the region, the majority of schools are not placed into any follow-up activity following their inspection during the most recent academic year.
- In four of the five LAs (Bridgend, The Vale of Glamorgan, Rhondda Cynon Taff and Merthyr Tydfil), the majority of schools are not placed into any follow-up category following their inspections. The remaining schools in these LAs are placed into the follow-up category of Estyn Review (~30%).
- In Cardiff LA, again the majority of schools are not placed into any follow-up category, but approximately 15% of schools are placed into Estyn Review follow-up, with approximately 5% of schools being placed into both Significant Improvement and Special Measures follow-up categories.
- CSC has the lowest proportion of schools not placed into any follow-up activity when comparisons are made across the Regional Consortia.
- When compared against the other Regional Consortia, CSC has the highest proportion of schools placed into Estyn Review follow-up category.
- Around one in four schools in the region inspected in the most recent year were placed into the follow-up category of Estyn Review.
- The proportion of schools in CSC placed into the follow-up category of Special Measures is the lowest when compared against other Regional Consortia.

2.5 Excellent practice case study

Chart 5: Excellent practice case studies across regions





Chart 6: Excellent practice case studies across local authorities within CSC



- The proportion of schools invited to create Excellent Practice Case Study has nearly halved between 2017–2018 and 2018–2019 for the region.
- There has been a national decrease in the proportion of schools identified for Excellent Practice Case Studies but at a much slower rate than seen for the region.
- In the most recent year, CSC has the lowest proportion of schools identified for Excellent Practice Case Studies when compared to the other three regions.
- The Vale of Glamorgan LA has the highest proportion of schools identified for Excellent Practice Case Studies in 2018–2019 of 30%, but this is a significant drop from that seen in the previous year of 75%.
- Bridgend LA has the lowest proportion of schools identified for Excellent Practice Case Studies within the region of 14.3% for 2018–2019. This is half the proportion seen of 2017–2018.
- Cardiff LA has also seen their proportion of schools fall by just over 50%, with Merthyr Tydfil seeing a similar proportional decrease from last year to this year.
- Rhondda Cynon Taff LA has remained fairly constant with their proportion of schools identified for Excellent Practice Case Studies, with less than one in five schools identified within the LA in 2018–2019.

APPENDIX C

1.0 CATEGORISATION


In order to ascertain where CSC provided value for money in 2018–2019, an analysis of categorisation of schools across the region (2018–2019 only) has been produced.

382 school in the region have National Categorisation Support Categories for both 2017–2018 and 2018–2019. Of these schools:

- 27 schools moved down one support group (either from Green to Yellow, from Yellow to Amber or from Amber to Red).
- 3 schools moved down two support groups (2 schools moved from Green to Amber and the remaining school moved from Yellow to Red).
- Two schools moved down three support groups from Green to Red.
- 298 schools remained in the same support category.
- 52 schools moved up one support category (from Yellow to Green, from Amber to Yellow or from Red to Amber).


The following charts show the changes in National Categorisation Support Category for schools in CSC between 2017–2018 and 2018–2019.

Chart 1: Categorisation support category changes 2017–2018 and 2018–2019



The overall trend in National Categorisation Support Categories from 2014–2015 to 2018–2019 can be seen in the summary chart provided below:

Chart 2: National categorisation support categories since 2014–2015



The proportion of schools receiving Green support has more than doubled over this period (21.3% pts compared to 48.6% pts), with the proportion of schools receiving Yellow support falling slightly. The proportion of schools receiving Amber support has reduced from 28.4% pts to 8.3% pts, with the proportion of schools receiving Red support also reducing from 7.8% pts to only 3.1% pts.

APPENDIX D

ADDITIONAL PERFORMANCE MEASURES

1.0 ATTAINMENT BY GENDER

1.1 Foundation Phase

- The gender gap in performance has increased for nearly all indicators in the most recent year and is wider than the gap seen nationally for nearly all measures.
- The widening of this gap is due to the performance of boys falling at a faster rate than the corresponding fall seen for girls.
- For LCW O6+ performance for boys and girls has increased but girls' performance has increased at a faster rate than boys' performance resulting in a widening of the gap for this measure also.

1.2 Key Stage 2

- At the expected level, that gap in performance between boys and girls has widened for all performance measures. In most cases this widening of the gap is due to boys' performance falling at a faster rate than that seen for girls.
- At the above-expected level, the gap in performance between boys and girls has widened for all performance measures except for Cymraeg, which has narrowed due to improvements being made by boys against a fall in performance for girls.

1.3 Key Stage 3

- Performance for boys has decreased for all performance measures at all levels in the most recent year. A similar picture is evident for the performance of girls with the only increases in 2019 being seen for mathematics L6+ and science Level 7+.
- At the expected level, the gap in performance between boys and girls has widened for all performance measures except Cymraeg. In most cases this widening of the gap is due to boys' performance falling at a faster rate than that seen for girls.
- At the above-expected level, the gap in performance between boys and girls has widened for English and mathematics but narrowed for Cymraeg and science.
- At Level 7+, the gap in performance has widened for English and science but narrowed for mathematics and Cymraeg.

1.4 Key Stage 4

- Girls outperform boys for each of the five interim performance measures for KS4, which is the same pattern seen nationally.
- The gap in performance between boys and girls for CSC is narrower than that seen nationally for all five main measures.

- The performance of boys in CSC either exceeds or equals that for Wales for all five performance measures, with the performance of girls in CSC also exceeding the performance of girls nationally except for Average Points Science, where the region is 0.3 points below the national figure.

1.5 Key Stage 5

- Girls outperform boys for all four performance measures at Key Stage 5.
- The gap in performance has narrowed for Level 3 Threshold but has widened for all other measures.

2.0 **NEETs (b)**

The Year 11 NEET figure for the region has remained the same as seen in 2016–2017 (1.4%), with the national percentage also staying the same as seen in the previous year. The regional figure remains the lowest percentage seen since 2009, and over the last four-year period the proportion has fallen from 3.7% (in 2013–2014) to 1.4% in 2017–20/18. Nationally, the figure in 2013–2014 was 3.1%, which has reduced to 1.6% in 2017–2018. This is the third consecutive year that the region has a lower NEET figure than seen nationally, with the region continuing to be 0.2pp below the national figure.

The Year 12 NEETs figure has remained the same as that seen for 2016–2017 (0.8%). Nationally, the proportion has fallen from 1.0% to 0.8% in the most recent year, which has resulted in the region exceeding the national figure by 0.1%pts in the most recent year. Decreases were seen for three of the five LAs in the region, with only Rhondda Cynon Taff showing an increase for this measure in the most recent year (0.8% in 2016–2017 compared to 1.2% in 2017–2018).

The Year 13 NEETs figures has again decreased regionally in the most recent year, with a 0.2pp fall in the regional figure. The national decrease in the most recent year is 0.1pp., which has resulted in the regional figure being the same as the national figure for the first time since at least 2009.

(b) Please note that the 2017–2018 NEETs data is the latest information available with information for 2018–2019 due to be released during Spring Term 2020.