

RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL

COPORATE PARENTING BOARD

20TH JULY

CHILDRENS COMMISSIONER WALES REPORT – ‘THE RIGHT CARE: CHILDREN’S RIGHTS IN RESIDENTIAL CARE IN WALES’

REPORT OF THE DIRECTOR OF COMMUNITY & CHILDREN’S SERVICES

Author: Liz Pearce, Head of Service Safeguarding and Support.
Tel No: 01443 484520

1. PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

The purpose of the report is to inform Corporate Parenting Board of the Childrens Commissioner Wales report 'The Right Care: Children's Rights in Residential Care in Wales'

2. RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that Members note the contents report and accept the view of children and young people that the term LAC is not acceptable terminology and its usage will cease in RCT.

3. BACKGROUND

Childrens Commissioner for Wales, Sally Holland, published the above report on the 29th June 2016. The report sets out a wide variety of experiences, including the views of 34 young people living in children’s homes in Wales, plus those of carers and relevant professionals such as social services managers, police and health professionals.

The aims for the project were as follows:

- Enabling children and young people living in residential care to share their experiences of the care they receive; and
- Exploring the rights of children and young people in residential care and the perspectives of children and young people about how their rights are realised, Including the three Ps of the UNCRC:
- **Participation:** how children and young people are involved in decision-making and the development of the service as a whole;

- **Provision:** adequacy of provision in residential care including planning for leaving care, access to education and health services, therapy and leisure and cultural activities.
- **Protection:** how children and young people are kept safe whilst living in residential care; and

4. SUMMARY OF INSPECTION

The report provides an overview of young people's views.

It summarises the outcomes for each section:-

Participation: Young people reported difficulty in getting their views heard about some important aspects of their lives including where to live or contact with family. Involvement in decision making was most commonly available for every day decisions within the home. However it was really valued as well as decisions made in a timely manner.

Provision: Living conditions were generally good as were opportunities for activities according to young people. Education was more mixed some young people engaging well others under occupied. Pressures on local services were identified by professionals as well as the lack of good options for housing post 18.

Protection: Some positives identified in relationships with the police, a missing persons co-ordinator was a key role. However inconsistencies identified about when the police were called by homes and the type of incidents which lead to charges. Young people reported largely feeling safe. Professionals highlighted the difficulty of keeping young people safe particularly if there was little information shared with local services about young people from other areas Young people themselves shared experiences of self harm and inconsistent responses from staff

Relationships: Staff in the children's homes were amongst the most important to young people, shift turnovers could be unsettling. Relationships with family were difficult to maintain, relationships with social workers and other professionals were mixed some frustration about not hearing from social workers in a timely way. Advocacy arrangements were known to all young people

The report makes four recommendations

- Actively involving young people in decisions about the care and support they receive, as well as in developing how the services operate. Welsh Government or the WLGA should draw together good practice guidance in order to promote this objective.

- Reminding LAs of the requirements of the Part 6 Code of Practice to the Social Services and Well-Being (Wales) Act to hold pre-planning meetings before young people are placed in other areas and to notify the Health Board and CLA Education Co-ordinators. CCSIW to include within its inspection framework whether providers are accepting young people before the above has occurred.
- Formulating and agreed approach on how best to safeguard children from unnecessary criminalisation and WG to develop regulations.
- WG should explore the extension of the 'When I'm Ready' scheme to residential care, along with support to all care leavers up to age 25. LAs should have consistent approaches to the meeting the accommodation and support needs of care leavers

The full inspection report is attached at **appendix 1**

5. CONCLUSION

Members will be aware that RCT has four Childrens Homes; CPB receives regular inspection and regulation 32 reports. The homes offer placement choice for our young people; have been positively inspected and operate to a good standard. A number of the practice issues raised within the Commissioner's report are already in place, for example consultation with young people, choice about activities, introductory visits and the keyworker system. Residential services will use the report and the views of the young people within it to continue to improve the service delivered.

The report has broader implications both for the RCT children and young people placed outside the County Borough and also young people placed within RCT by other LAs, which will be considered as part of the Social Services and Well-Being Act (Wales) 2014 implementation. Recently a Statement of Intent for Children and Young People Looked After has been agreed by partners across Cwm Taf. Taking this forward will provide the opportunity to address the issues raised within this report.

The Commissioner's recommendations for Welsh Government and Care and Social Services Inspectorate Wales are positive and RCT Children Services will contribute to the work to address these as opportunities arise.



Y Gofal Cywir

Hawliau Plant mewn gofal
preswyl yng Nghymru

Diolchiadau

Fe hoffwn i ddiolch i'r holl bobl ifanc ac oedolion a gyfrannodd at yr adroddiad hwn, yn arbennig y sefydliadau hynny a fu'n hwyluso'r trafodaethau a'r cyfweiliadau gwerthfawr a gynhaliwyd. Rwy'n arbennig o ddiolchgar i Dr Dolores Davey, ymchwilydd annibynnol a arweiniodd y gwaith o lunio'r cyfweiliadau, gwneud y gwaith maes a'i ddadansoddi. Rwyf fi a'r tîm wedi gwerthfawrogi'n fawr ei harbenigedd hi wrth wneud gwaith ymchwil gyda phobl ifanc. Fy swyddog polisi, Rachel Thomas, fu'n arwain y prosiect oddi mewn i'r tîm.

Mae'r adroddiad yma ar gael mewn fformatau eraill drwy roi galwad i ni ar 01792 765600 neu drwy anfon e-bost atom ni yn post@complantcymru.org.uk

Comisiynydd Plant Cymru	4
Cyflwyniad gan Sally Holland, y Comisiynydd Plant	6
Nodyn ar y derminoleg: Heb fod yn ddiffygiol mewn unrhyw ystyr - Not 'LACKing' in anything!	11
Canfyddiadau	12
Trosolwg pobl ifanc o ofal preswyl	13
Dweud eich dweud	16
Paratoi a disgwyliadau	17
Dewis Lleoliad	17
Dewisiadau iaith	18
Gwneud penderfyniadau o ddydd i ddydd	19
Cyfarfodydd adolygu	20
Crynodeb o'r adran dweud eich dweud	21
Darpariaeth	22
Iechyd	23
Addysg	23
Symud ymlaen	25
Crynodeb o'r adran darpariaeth	27
Diogelu	28
Yr Heddlu	29
Diogelwch	31
Hunan-niweidio	32
Camfanteisio'n rhywiol ar blant	34
Crynodeb o'r adran diogelu	35
Perthnasoedd	36
Ymweliadau a chadw mewn cysylltiad â'r teulu	37
Perthnasoedd â gweithwyr cymdeithasol	37
a gweithwyr proffesiynol eraill	
Staff mewn cartrefi plant	38
Rôl y gweithiwr allweddol	30
Crynodeb o'r adran ar berthnasoedd	41
Diwedd glo	42



Comisiynydd Plant Cymru

Mae Comisiynydd Plant Cymru am i Gymru fod yn wlad lle mae plant a phobl ifanc yn cael eu parchu, eu gwerthfawrogi, yn cael gwrandawriad ac yn cael eu cefnogi i fyw bywydau diogel a hapus. Ei gweledigaeth hi yw Cymru lle mae pob plentyn a pherson ifanc yn cael cyfle cyfartal i fod y gorau gallan nhw.

Mae'r Comisiynydd yn hybu hawliau plant a phobl ifanc o dan Gonfensiwn y Cenhedloedd Unedig ar Hawliau'r Plentyn (CCUHP).

Mae fîm o bobl yn gweithio gyda Sally Holland, y Comisiynydd Plant, i'w helpu i wneud y canlynol:

- cefnogi plant a phobl ifanc i ddysgu am hawliau plant
- gwrandao ar blant a phobl ifanc i ddysgu beth sy'n bwysig iddyn nhw
- cyngori plant, pobl ifanc a'r rhai sy'n gofalu amdany'n nhw os byddan nhw'n teimlo eu bod nhw'n methu troi at neb arall gyda'u problemau
- dylanwadu ar y llywodraeth a sefydliadau eraill sy'n dweud eu bod nhw'n mynd i wneud gwahaniaeth i fywydau plant, gan wneud yn siŵr eu bod nhw'n cadw eu haddewidion i blant a phobl ifanc
- codi llais dros blant a phobl ifanc yn genedlaethol ar faterion pwysig – bod yn bencampwr i blant Cymru.

Mae gwaith y Comisiynydd Plant yn targedu materion hawliau plant ar 3 lefel.

1. Bod yn sylfaen ar gyfer polisiau a chyfreithiau hawliau plant – gweithio i ddylanwadu ar y rhain a’u newid fel eu bod yn cyflawni ymrwymiad Llywodraeth Cymru i roi hawliau plant yn y canol.

2. Gweithredu’r polisiau a’r cyfreithiau hyn yn effeithiol ledled Cymru ac i bob grŵp o blant – monitro sut mae hawliau plant yn cael eu cyflawni, hybu arfer gorau a herio’r rhai sydd ddim yn parchu hawliau.

3. Profiadau a chanlyniadau plant a phobl ifanc – gwranddo ar blant a phobl ifanc a cheisio sicrhau newidiadau gwirioneddol yn ansawdd eu bywyd pob dydd a chyfleoedd cyfartal i gyflawni eu potensial.

Lle bo angen, mae’r Comisiynydd yn defnyddio’r pwerau a roddwyd iddi yn y ddeddfwriaeth i alw’r Llywodraeth a chyrff cyhoeddus i gyfrif am sut maen nhw’n sicrhau bod plant a phobl ifanc yn derbyn eu hawliau dynol.

Gallwch ddod o hyd i gopiau o’n holl adroddiadau a’u lawrlwytho ar ein gwefan: www.complantcymru.org.uk/cyhoeddiadau

Cyflwyniad gan Sally Holland, y Comisiynydd Plant

☹ Rydw i eisiau aros nes bod yr haul yn dod allan, gobeithio, ac yn rhoi bywyd braf i fi ☺

Sylw oedd hwn a wnaed yn ystod cyfweiliad gyda Phoebe, sy'n 13 oed¹. Mae hi wedi bod yng ngofal yr awdurdod lleol ers ei bod hi'n 6 mis oed, ac mae wedi cael mwy na 25 o leoliadau gofal, gan gynnwys gofal maeth a lleoliadau preswyl. Mae ei sylw yn ein hatgoffa bod llawer o bobl ifanc sy'n byw mewn gofal preswyl wedi cael profiadau anodd mewn bywyd, a bod angen cefnogaeth a gofal arnyn nhw i brofi'r ansawdd bywyd mae pob plentyn a pherson ifanc yng Nghymru yn eu haeddu (yng ngeiriau Phoebe 'bywyd braf').

Mae CCUHP yn datgan bod gan bob plentyn hawl i dderbyn gofal ac amddiffyniad. Yn bwysig, mae'n cydnabod bod angen mesurau diogelu ychwanegol ar rai grwpiau o blant. Mae Erthygl 20 yn datgan bod gan blant sy'n methu derbyn gofal gan eu teulu hawl i dderbyn gofal arbennig, a bod rhaid gofalu'n iawn amdanynt.

Dros y blynyddoedd mae lleoliadau gofal preswyl, neu gartrefi plant, wedi dioddef oherwydd canfyddiad mai dyna'r 'dewis olaf' ar gyfer pobl ifanc sydd mewn gofal, y gallent fod yn beryglus, a'u bod yn eithriadol o ddrud. Yn fwy diweddar, yn Lloegr, mae wedi dod yn hysbys bod rhai pobl ifanc sy'n byw mewn cartrefi plant yn cael eu targedu gan gamdrinwyr o gangiau trefnedig, sydd wedi cadarnhau'r canfyddiad bod y cartrefi hyn yn amgylcheddau peryglus. Sefydlwyd fy swyddfa innau yn 2001 ar ôl i Ymchwiliad Waterhouse i gam-drin mewn cartrefi plant ddatgelu bod diffyg pencampwr annibynnol i ofalu am hawliau a buddiannau plant sy'n derbyn gofal ledled Cymru.

Yr hyn rydyn ni'n ei glywed yn llawer llai aml yw hanesion profiadau pob dydd pobl ifanc sy'n byw mewn gofal preswyl. Mae hyn yn cynnwys pobl ifanc sy'n ystyried eu cartref plant yn fan lle maen nhw eisiau bod, gyda phobl sy'n gofalu amdanynt nhw. Bydd llawer ohonyn nhw'n byw bywydau llwyddiannus yn eu cymunedau lleol,

¹ Cyfieithwyd union eiriau'r cyfranogwyr i'r Gymraeg; cofnodir eu rhyw a'u hoed, ond defnyddiwyd enwau ffug i sicrhau nad oes modd eu hadnabod.

Y Gofal Cywir

Hawliau Plant mewn gofal preswyl yng Nghymru

yn llwyddo'n addysgol, fel gwirfoddolwyr ac fel aelodau o grwpiau cymunedol. Gall eraill gael profiadau mwy cymysg, wynebu llawer o heriau yn eu bywydau pob dydd, a dymuno o bosib eu bod yn byw rywle arall.

Yn yr adroddiad hwn rwy'n cyflwyno amrywiaeth eang o brofiadau, gan gynnwys barn 34 o bobl ifanc sy'n byw mewn cartrefi plant yng Nghymru, yn ogystal ag eiddo gofalwyr a gweithwyr proffesiynol perthnasol fel rheolwyr gwasanaethau cymdeithasol, yr heddlu a gweithwyr iechyd proffesiynol. Mae'r adroddiad hwn yn digwydd ochr yn ochr â gwaith ymchwil a dadansoddi cyfredol gan Arolygiaeth Gofal a Gwasanaethau Cymdeithasol Cymru (AGGCC) a Chyngor Gofal Cymru, sy'n archwilio gwybodaeth am lwybrau gofal plant ac anghenion a phrofiadau'r gweithlu².

² Elliott, M., Staples, E. a Scourfield, J. 2016. Residential Care in Wales: The characteristics of children and young people placed in residential settings. Caerdydd. Cyngor Gofal Cymru.

Rwy'n gobeithio dangos bod gan gartrefi plant le pwysig o ran darparu'r **gofal cywir** i niferoedd bach o bobl ifanc yng Nghymru. Rwyf hefyd am amlygu rhai heriau go iawn y mae'n rhaid mynd i'r afael â nhw. Yn gyntaf, mae rhai'n adrodd nad ydynt yn cael eu hawliau llawn o ran derbyn gwybodaeth, cael gwrandawriad a rhoi sylw i'w barn pan wneir penderfyniadau pwysig am eu gofal. Mae hyn yn cynnwys penderfyniadau ynghylch ble byddan nhw'n byw a threfniadau cyswllt gyda'u teulu. Yn ail, mae diffyg paratoi gan y gwasanaethau lleol pan fydd pobl ifanc yn dod i fyw mewn cartref heb gynllunio priodol, yn aml o rywle dros y ffin yn Lloegr. Yn drydydd, mae mater troseddoli pobl ifanc am ymddygiad a fyddai'n cael ei reoli'n wahanol mewn cartref teuluol. Yn olaf, mae cyferbyniad llym rhwng lefel y gofal a'r amddiffyniad a roddir i'r bobl ifanc hyn hyd at 18 oed, a'r hyn sydd ar gael iddyn nhw fel oedolion ifanc sy'n gadael eu cartref plant.

Drwy gyflwyno eu profiadau, rwyf am atgyfnerthu trafodaeth genedlaethol ynghylch pwrpas lleoliadau gofal preswyl a'u lle yn narlun ehangach gofal awdurdodau lleol. Rwy'n cyflwyno nifer bach o argymhellion ar ddiwedd yr adroddiad, ac edrychaf ymlaen at drafod y rheiny gyda Llywodraeth Cymru a'r rhai sy'n comisiynu, yn arolygu ac yn rheoleiddio cartrefi plant yng Nghymru.



Sally Holland

Comisiynydd Plant Cymru

METHODOLEG

Y nodau canlynol oedd i'r prosiect:

1. Galluogi plant a phobl ifanc sy'n byw mewn gofal preswyl i rannu eu profiadau o'r gofal maen nhw'n ei dderbyn; ac
 2. Archwilio hawliau plant a phobl ifanc mewn gofal preswyl a safbwyntiau plant a phobl ifanc o ran sut mae eu hawliau'n cael eu gwireddu, gan gynnwys:
- **Dweud eich Dweud:** sut mae plant a phobl ifanc yn cael eu cynnwys yn y broses o wneud penderfyniadau a datblygiad y gwasanaeth yn gyffredinol;
 - **Diogelu:** sut mae plant a phobl ifanc yn cael eu cadw'n ddiogel wrth fyw mewn gofal preswyl; a
 - **Darpariaeth:** digonolrwydd y ddarpariaeth mewn gofal preswyl, gan gynnwys cynllunio ar gyfer gadael gofal, mynediad at addysg a gwasanaethau iechyd, therapi a hamdden a gweithgareddau diwylliannol.

Cyfnodau'r Prosiect

Rhannwyd y prosiect yn 3 chyfnod: rhychwantu; gwaith maes gyda phobl ifanc; a mewnbwn gweithwyr proffesiynol.

Cyfnod 1

Roedd y cyfnod rhychwantu cychwynnol yn cynnwys cyfarfodydd a sgysiau gyda sefydliadau perthnasol, gan gynnwys AGGCC, Penaethiaid Gwasanaethau Plant a Theuluoedd Awdurdodau Lleol, Darparwyr a Gofalwyr, gweithwyr lechyd ac Addysg proffesiynol a phobl ifanc oedd â phrofiad o ofal, er mwyn darganfod beth roedden nhw'n tybio oedd y materion pwysicaf iddyn nhw yng nghyswllt gofal preswyl. Wedyn defnyddiwyd yr wybodaeth honno i lywio patrwm cynnwys y cyfweiliadau oedd i'w defnyddio gyda'r bobl ifanc oedd yn byw mewn gofal preswyl.

Y meysydd allweddol a ddaeth i'r amlwg yng Nghyfnod 1 oedd:

- **pryder ynghylch dioddefwyr Camfanteisio'n Rhywiol ar Blant a pherygl dod i gysylltiad pellach â hynny mewn gofal preswyl;**
- **argaeledd gwasanaethau a chefnogaeth lechyd Meddwl, a'r cysylltiadau rhwng darparwyr iechyd, gwasanaethau cymdeithasol a darparwyr gofal; a**
- **lleoliadau y tu allan i'r sir; gan gynnwys diffyg cynllunio cyn gwneud y lleoliad, a'r effaith ar wasanaethau lleol.**

Cyfnod 2

Ail gyfnod y prosiect oedd y cyfnod mwyaf, ac roedd yn cynnwys cyfweiliadau â 34 o bobl ifanc.

Darparodd AGGCC restr o'r holl gartrefi plant cofrestredig yng Nghymru ym mis Awst 2015. Bu Comisiynydd Plant Cymru ar ymweliad ag 11 o gartrefi plant, sef 10% o'r cyfanswm yng Nghymru. Siaradodd â 34 o bobl ifanc, sef tua 13% o'r boblogaeth gofal preswyl yng Nghymru. Fe fuon ni'n siarad â 22 o fechgyn a 12 o ferched. Mae hyn yn cyfateb i 65%/35%, sy'n adlewyrchu'r boblogaeth gofal preswyl yn gyffredinol yng Nghymru, fel y nodwyd gan waith ymchwil diweddar Elliott³. Y person ifancaf y cwrddon ni â nhw oedd Lincoln, oedd yn 10 oed, a'r hynaf oedd Andrew, oedd yn 17 oed a 10 mis. Y grŵp oedran mwyaf a gynrychiolwyd oedd pobl ifanc 15 oed (n=12).

Paratowyd cyfweiliad lled-strwythuredig o 19 cwestiwn, oedd yn trafod cefndir y bobl ifanc a'u llwybr i ofal preswyl, y pethau roedden nhw'n eu hoffi neu ddim yn eu hoffi am ofal preswyl, a oedden nhw'n teimlo'n ddiogel ac yn sicr, a oedden nhw'n teimlo bod eu hawliau'n cael eu cynnal, a beth roedden nhw'n meddwl fyddai'n gwneud gwahaniaeth cadarnhaol iddyn nhw.

Cynhaliwyd 20 o gyfweiliadau unigol, a bu'r 14 o bobl ifanc eraill yn cymryd rhan mewn grwpiau ffocws.

Eithriwyd y canlynol o gylch gorchwyl y prosiect hwn:

- **Lleoliadau seibiant**
- **Lleoliadau yn yr ystâd ddiogel**
- **Lleoliadau mewn ysgol breswyl (trefniadau addysg yn hytrach na lleoliadau gofal cymdeithasol).**

Roedd yr adolygiad hwn ond yn cynnwys lleoliadau gofal amser llawn oedd yn cynnig lleoliadau 52 wythnos y flwyddyn.

³ Elliott, M., Staples, E. a Scourfield, J. 2016. Residential Care in Wales: The characteristics of children and young people placed in residential settings. Caerdydd. Cyngor Gofal Cymru

Cynnod 3

Roedd trydydd cynnod y prosiect yn cynnwys digwyddiadau yng Ngogledd a De Cymru, er mwyn dod â gweithwyr proffesiynol oedd yn gweithio yn y sector gofal preswyl a chydag ef at ei gilydd i drafod canlyniadau'r gwaith maes gyda phobl ifanc, ac i ystyried materion perthnasol ochr yn ochr â'r canfyddiadau hynny.

Cynhaliwyd pob digwyddiad am hanner diwrnod; roedd 13 o weithwyr proffesiynol yn y digwyddiad ym Mangor, ac 16 yn Abertawe, sef cyfanswm o 29 o weithwyr proffesiynol. Roedd y cynrychiolwyr yn dod o'r Heddlu, meysydd Iechyd ac Addysg, Darparwyr Eiriolaeth, Darparwyr Gofal Preswyl ac Awdurdodau Lleol.

Y materion canlynol a nodwyd i'w trafod yn y sesiynau cyfnewid tystiolaeth:

- 1. Y berthynas rhwng yr Heddlu, awdurdodau lleol a darparwyr.**
- 2. Materion diogelu.**
- 3. Ymweld â chynnal cysylltiad.**
- 4. Symud ymlaen o Ofal Preswyl.**

Crewyd recordiadau sain o'r trafodaethau, a chyfrannodd y digwyddiadau wybodaeth gyfoethog ychwanegol i'r prosiect.

Nodyn ar y derminoleg: Heb fod yn ddiffygiol mewn unrhyw ystyr - Not 'LACKing' in anything!

Roedd gan rai o'r cyfranogwyr deimladau cryf ynghylch yr enwau a roddir i bobl ifanc a'r manau lle maen nhw'n byw. Er enghraifft, dywedwyd bod 'cartref' yn well ganddyn nhw nag 'uned'. Cyfeiriodd pobl ifanc at unedau yn eu sgysiau gyda ni oherwydd mai dyna maen nhw'n cael eu galw yn y cartref lle maen nhw, felly mae'r gair wedi dod yn rhan o'u geirfa. Nododd y darparwyr eu bod wedi'u cofrestru fel Cartrefi Plant yn hytrach na Darparwyr 'Gofal Preswyl'. Yn ystod y blynyddoedd diwethaf bu tueddiad i osgoi cyfeirio at gartrefi plant, gan fod hynny'n galw i gof y cartrefi hyn, mwy o faint, a'r problemau a oedd wedi codi yno, y clywyd llawer amdanynt. Yn yr adroddiad hwn rydym yn defnyddio'r termau gofal preswyl a chartrefi plant, ond rydym ni'n osgoi'r gair 'uned'. Yr enghraifft gliriaf o ddefnydd gwael o derminoleg, fodd bynnag yw "LAC" yn Saesneg. Nid yw pobl ifanc yn hoffi cael eu galw'n "LAC", gan nad ydyn nhw'n ddiffygiol ("lacking") mewn unrhyw ystyr.

Canfyddiadau

Mae canfyddiadau'r prosiect yn cychwyn gyda throsolwg o farn pobl ifanc ynghylch gofal preswyl.

Mae prif gorff canfyddiadau'r adolygiad wedi'i rannu'n unol â thair 'D' CCUHP: Dweud eich Dweud, Darpariaeth a Diogelu. Mae mwyafrif y cynnwys yn troi o gwmpas barn a phrofiadau'r bobl ifanc, ond rydym hefyd yn cynnwys safbwyntiau gweithwyr proffesiynol a gofalwyr o'r sesiwn cyfnewid tystiolaeth lle mae hyn yn darparu persbectif ychwanegol.

Mae'r adran olaf yn trafod perthnasoedd – y gonglfaen bwysicaf wrth ofalu am bobl ifanc.

Trosolwg pobl ifanc o ofal preswyl

Mynegodd llawer o bobl ifanc farn bositif am fyw mewn gofal preswyl, pan ofynnwyd iddyn nhw sut brofiad oedd e a sut roedd e'n cymharu â mannau lle roedden nhw wedi byw'n flaenorol.

Nododd **Jonathan**, 15,

“dyw e ddim yn gartre wrth gwrs, ond maen nhw’n wir yn ceisio gwneud e felly”.

Dyweddodd **Ben**, oedd yn 17 oed, wrthyn ni

“mae wedi bod yn wych i fod yn onest... roeddwn i mewn lleoliad maeth yn [enw'r lle] ac yna wnes i ddim bihafio'n dda iawn fanna... ac yna ces i fy symud i fan hyn a wnes i setlo lawr... gwneud fy hun yn hyderus o ran ble rwy'n byw a phethau felna’.

Bu **Sonia**, oedd yn 16 oed, yn cymharu ei phrofiadau o ofal maeth a gofal preswyl, ac roedd hi'n teimlo mai ei chartref presennol oedd fwyaf addas iddi:

“Rydw i wedi cael 10 lleoliad, tri ohonyn nhw’n rhai preswyl. Fe wnes i symud allan o bob un achos mod i’n cadw mynd ar goll ac allen nhw ddim fy nghadw i’n saff. Dydw i ddim yn hoffi gofal maeth, dydw i ddim yn hoffi'r un bobl drosodd a throsodd, mae’n mynd ar fy nerfau... rwy’n hoffi'r newid drosodd”.

Adlewyrchwyd y farn hon gan nifer o bobl ifanc, sef bod y ‘newid’ ym mhatriymau shifftiau gweithwyr allweddol yn tynnu’r pwysau oddi arnyn nhw os oedden nhw wedi cael diwrnod gwael y diwrnod blaenorol. Hefyd yn ôl **Ruth**, sy’n 16 oed,

“y peth gorau am fyw yma yw y byddan nhw’n rhoi lle i chi os gofynnwch chi iddyn nhw”.

Roedd nifer o’r bobl ifanc hyn wedi byw mewn hosteli’n flaenorol. Bu **Emily**, oedd yn 17 oed, yn cymharu’r profiadau ac yn dweud

“Rydw i’n hoffi byw yma, mae’n braf, mae gofal yma i chi, yn wahanol i sut oedd hi yn yr hostel... Mae’r staff fan hyn yn barod iawn i roi help i fi ac ati”.

Myfyriodd **Stella**, oedd yn 16 oed

“Nad oedd pethau cynddrwg ag mae pawb yn meddwl, dyw e’n ddim byd tebyg i Tracy Beaker”.

Roedd hi’n ddealladwy bod rhai o’r bobl ifanc yn meddwl na ddylen nhw fod mewn gofal preswyl. Roedd hefyd rai pobl ifanc hyn oedd yn edrych ymlaen at adael gofal preswyl, gan eu bod yno ers rhai blynyddoedd, ac yn teimlo’n barod i gael rhagor o annibyniaeth. Roedd rhai’n ansicr ble bydden nhw’n mynd ar ôl ymadael.

Dyweddodd **Marc**, oedd yn 15,

“y byddai’n well dod o hyd i ddewis yn lle gofal maeth”

gan ei fod am symud ymlaen o ofal preswyl, ond yn teimlo nad oedd e’n barod i fyw’n annibynnol.

Yn aml credir bob pobl ifanc yn mynd i ofal preswyl pan fydd pob opsiwn arall wedi methu o bosibl. Er na wnaethon ni holi'r bobl ifanc yn fanwl am eu cefndir, soniodd rhai pobl ifanc yn agored am nifer y gwahanol fannau lle roedden nhw wedi byw. Yn achos **Phoebe**, 13 oed, roedd mwy na 25 ohonyn nhw ers pan oedd hi'n 6 mis oed, ac roedd **Noah**, 15 oed, wedi cael 27 o leoliadau yn ystod y ddwy flynedd ddiwethaf, wedi i'w fabwysiad fethu. Rhoddodd **Noah** hanes moel yr amgylchiadau a arweiniodd ato'n mynd i ofal preswyl:

"Roeddwn i'n 2 pan ddes i mewn i ofal... yna ces i fy mabwysiadu ac yna des i nôl i ofal... ryw 2 flynedd yn ôl, felly rydw i wedi cael 27 o leoliadau yn ystod y 2 flynedd yna... Fel fan hyn, roeddwn i'n poeni am y lle yma, ond rwy'n sylweddoli y byddai'n lle da".

Soniodd llawer o bobl ifanc yn gadarnhaol am y gwahanol brofiadau roedden nhw wedi'u cael ers bod mewn gofal preswyl.

Dyweddodd **Jamie**, oedd bellach yn 13 oed, ei fod yn ei gartref plant ers pan oedd e'n 9, a dywedodd ei fod

"fel teulu i fi achos wi di bod yma ers oesoedd".

"Mae'n llawer gwell na bod ble roeddwn i o'r blaen, mwy o hw b i'm hyder"
Phoebe, 13.

Roedd y cymariaethau â bod mewn gofal maeth yn amrywio. Roedd nifer o'r bobl ifanc heb gael profiad da mewn gofal maeth, felly fe ddwedson nhw fod y lleoliad gofal yma'n well ganddyn nhw. Sonion nhw am gael eu disgyblu'n llym, peidio â theimlo eu bod nhw'n cael gofal, ac nad oedden nhw'n wir yn rhan o'r teulu.

"Dydw i ddim wir yn gwybod sut beth yw gofal maeth go iawn, achos fwy na thebyg wnaethon nhw ddim fy nhrin i fel mae gofalwyr maeth eraill yn trin eu plant".
Carl, 17 oed.

Teimlai **Andrew**, oedd yn 17 oed, ei fod wedi gwneud rhai newidiadau cadarnhaol i'w fywyd ers iddo fod yn byw mewn gofal preswyl am 4 blynedd, ond roedd yn cael y sefyllfa fyw yn rhwystredig oherwydd bod y cartref yn

“derbyn llawer o blant bach”

ac roedd bob amser llawer o bobl yn yr ardaloedd cymunedol, oedd yn achosi ‘tryblith’ iddo. Mewn cartref arall, roedd **David**, oedd yn 16, yn teimlo rhwystredigaeth oherwydd bod aelod o staff yn ei hebrwng ar hyd yr amser (dyma'r model rheoli risg oedd yn cael ei ddefnyddio yn y cartref dan sylw).

Dweud eich dweud

Roedd amrywiaeth eang o brofiadau ymhlith y bobl ifanc ynghylch y penderfyniad iddyn nhw ddod i leoliad gofal preswyl, ond yn fwyaf cyffredin, roedden nhw'n tueddu i deimlo nad oedd ganddyn nhw fawr o ddewis o ran y penderfyniad. Ar y cyfan, yn y cartrefi plant eu hunain, roedd pobl ifanc yn ymwneud cryn dipyn â phenderfyniadau ynghylch eu hamgylchedd pob dydd, ond yn achos rhai ohonynt roedd llawer llai o ymdeimlad o reolaeth ar ffactorau allanol, megis cysylltiad â theulu a ffrindiau.

Paratoi a disgwyliadau

Roedd barn glir gan y bobl ifanc bod cael cyfle i ymweld â chartref cyn symud mewn yn brofiad cadarnhaol iawn, pan oedd hynny wedi digwydd. Soniodd Phoebe, 13 oed, am wybod sut roedd ei hystafell wely yn edrych a gallu esbonio wrth deulu a ffrindiau sut le oedd y cartref. Mewn un cyfweiliad grŵp, bu'r bechgyn yn myfyrion bod ymweliadau'n help ar lefel personol, ond hefyd yn helpu unrhyw berson newydd i bontio'n llyfnach i'r cartref, gan eu bod eisoes wedi cwrdd â'r bobl ifanc eraill y bydden nhw'n byw gyda nhw, a bod pawb yn cael cyfle i ddysgu rhywfaint am ei gilydd. Doedd rhai o'r bobl ifanc y cwrddon ni â nhw erioed wedi clywed am ofal preswyl, ac roedd eu disgwyliadau wedi amrywio o gampws coleg tebyg i'r hyn roedden nhw wedi'i weld mewn ffilmiau, i fod yn fwy tebyg i garchar.

"Doedd gen i ddim gwybodaeth cyn dod yma, ches i ddim byd"

"Roeddwn i'n meddwl bydde fe fel bod yn y ddalfa, ond gydag en-suite..."

"Dyw e ddim byd tebyg i beth oeddwn i'n disgwyl, mewn ffordd dda"

Jonathan, 15 oed.

Dewis Lleoliad

Roedd profiadau pobl ifanc o'r penderfyniad y dylent fynd i ofal yn amrywio'n sylweddol. Er bod rhai pobl ifanc wedi gallu ymweld ymlaen llaw ar sawl achlysur a chynllunio ar gyfer cyrraedd yno, roedd eraill heb gael dewis o gwbl.

Gall fod canfyddiad bod gofal preswyl yn cael ei weld fel cosb, yn arbennig os yw lleoliadau blaenorol wedi methu neu os yw person ifanc yn cael ei symud yn bell o'r ardal gartref. Mae hyn yn ddealladwy o bersectif person ifanc, yn enwedig os nad yw wedi cael gwybodaeth cyn symud. Roedd hyn yn rhywbeth y bu Karen yn myfyrion arno pan gwrddon ni â hi.

Ar ôl newid lleoliad 10 gwaith, teimlai **Sonia**, oedd yn 16 oed, ei bod hi'n annheg bod rhaid iddi newid cartrefi gofal am resymau ariannol:

"Fe ddwedson nhw y byddwn i yno nes mod i'n 18, ond achos bod hi mor ddrud i roi addysg i fi a phopeth, achos bod yr ysgol ar y safle, roedd rhaid iddyn nhw symud fi nôl lawr... fe arhoson nhw nes i mi gael fy arholiadau TGAU, roeddwn i'n meddwl bod hynny'n deg, ond doeddwn i ddim yn hoffi'r ffaith bod penderfyniad am fy nyfodol wedi'i seilio ar arian, gyda mai nhw oedd wedi fy rhoi i yno yn y lle cyntaf"

Mae'n werth ystyried, os dywedir wrth bobl ifanc fod lleoliad yn rhy ddrud i'w gynnal, y gallai hynny wneud iddyn nhw deimlo'n euog am fod yno, hyd yn oed os yw asesiad wedi nodi mai dyna'r lle iawn iddyn nhw ac maen nhw'n gwneud yn dda yno.

Teimlai **Karen**, 15 oed, fod y penderfyniadau am eu lleoliad allan o'u rheolaeth:

“Dydw i ddim yn rhan o benderfyniadau, maen nhw’n gwneud nhw, ac mae rhaid i mi wneud beth maen nhw’n dweud. Byddwn i’n hoffi symud nôl i ofal maeth... rydw i mewn gofal preswyl achos mod i wedi gwneud llanast o gynifer o leoliadau”.

Dyweddodd **Noah**, 15 oed, ei fod heb chwarae rhan mewn penderfyniadau cyn cyrraedd ei leoliad.

“Rydw i wedi gwneud rhai penderfyniadau ers bod yma, ond cyn hynny does neb erioed wedi dweud wrtha i beth sy’n digwydd yn fy mywyd, beth sy’n mynd ymlaen... Felly, mae’n eitha anodd i fi”.

Cododd gweithwyr proffesiynol nifer o anawsterau ychwanegol yng nghyswllt plant o Loegr yn cael eu lleoli yng Nghymru. Mae hyn yn cynnwys y ffaith nad yw'r awdurdod lleol sy'n derbyn y plentyn bob amser yn cael gwybod bod lleoliad ar waith, ac mae ymweliadau neu wybodaeth ymlaen llaw hefyd yn anghyffredin. Arweiniodd hyn at lawer o anawsterau o ran sicrhau bod modd i wasanaethau iechyd, addysg a chyfiawnder lleol ddiwallu anghenion y bobl ifanc dan sylw. Codwyd y mater hwn droeon gyda ni fel pryder gan staff gofal a gweithwyr proffesiynol o faes iechyd a'r heddlu.

Dewisiadau iaith

Roedd un plentyn 11 oed yn siarad Cymraeg fel iaith gyntaf, ond nid oedd yn siarad Cymraeg yn y cartref presennol. Roedd wedi cael ei symud i gartref preswyl yn Lloegr cyn y lleoliad hwn, felly dyna pam nad oedd yn defnyddio Cymraeg bellach. Mewn trafodaethau gyda gweithwyr proffesiynol daeth i'r amlwg fod y plentyn wedi cael ei atal rhag siarad Cymraeg mewn cartref plant yn Lloegr pan fyddai perthnasau ar ymweliad, oherwydd bod y ffaith na allai'r goruchwyliwr cyswllt gadarnhau beth oedd yn cael ei ddweud yn golygu eu bod yn barnu bod hwn yn fater diogelu. Nid yw'n hysbys a wnaed ymdrechion i gael hyd i oruchwyliwr cyswllt oedd yn siarad Cymraeg, ond roedd yn destun pryder clywed bod dewisiadau iaith heb gael eu hystyried yn llawn wrth wneud lleoliadau.

Gwneud penderfyniadau o ddydd i ddydd

Teimlai pobl ifanc eu bod yn chwarae mwy o ran yn y penderfyniadau o ddydd i ddydd yn eu cartref hyd yn oed os nad oeddent yn ymwneud â'r penderfyniadau cynllunio gofal ehangach. Roedd mwyafrif y cartrefi'n cynnal cyfarfodydd tŷ lled-ffurfiol. Dywedodd rhai wrthym ni eu bod yn cynnal cyfarfodydd bob wythnos, faint bynnag o bobl ifanc oedd yn byw yno, tra bod eraill yn gadael i'r bobl ifanc benderfynu oedden nhw am gael cyfarfod. Teimlid bod cysylltu cyfarfodydd ag amserau prydau a rennid yn ddefnyddiol er mwyn dod â phawb at ei gilydd.

Roedd y gweithwyr allweddol hefyd yn cefnogi'r broses o wneud penderfyniadau, a hynny yn ystod cyfarfodydd ac ar lefel unigol. Fel y dywedodd **Ruth**, 16 oed,

"Rydw i'n gweithio gyda fy ngweithiwr allweddol i wneud penderfyniadau a'r grŵp gwrando".

Soniodd mwyafrif y bobl ifanc am rai newidiadau cadarnhaol i reolau'r tŷ o ganlyniad i gyfarfodydd tŷ; roedd hyn yn cynnwys newid amser gwely, neu allu gwylio dewis o ffilmiau (yn arbennig ar y penwythnos), gwisgo capiau pêl fas y tu mewn (heblaw am adeg prydau bwyd), gweithredu cynlluniau gwobrwyo ac arian poced, ychwanegu at y cyfleusterau, gan gynnwys trampolinau a chwaraewyr DVD, a chyfrannu at y dewis o weithgareddau.

Bu nifer o bobl hefyd yn myfyrio ynghylch sut byddai cyfarfodydd tŷ yn helpu i glirio'r aer os oedd rhywbeth wedi digwydd, neu eu bod yn lle diogel i fynegi pryder heb i hynny droi'n ddadl, oherwydd ei fod yn digwydd mewn modd ystyrlon, adeiladol.

Roedd y bobl ifanc yn llai cadarnhaol am gyfarfodydd tŷ pan oeddent yn teimlo eu bod wedi cael eu diystyru; roedden nhw'n teimlo bod dim pwynt mewn cynnal cyfarfodydd os oedden nhw'n dal i ofyn am yr un pethau oedd 'byth yn mynd i ddigwydd'. Roedd y bobl ifanc yn barod i gyfaddawdu, ac fe rhoddon nhw nifer o enghreifftiau o sut roedd hynny wedi digwydd. Dau bwynt a gododd yn gyson oedd bod y bobl ifanc yn aml yn cael gwybod bod rhywbeth yn rhy ddrud, neu y byddai asesiad risg yn rhy hir a chymhleth, a doedd y bobl ifanc ddim bob amser yn teimlo bod y rhain yn rhesymau boddhaol dros wrthod eu ceisiadau.

Roedd y bobl ifanc hefyd yn cynnal eu hasesiadau risg eu hunain o deithiau, er mwyn gallu ymweld â ffrindiau; weithiau byddai hynny'n cymryd diwrnod cyfan iddyn nhw, ond hyd yn oed wedyn roedd y prosesau a'r ffurflenni wedi'u hatal rhag mynd i weld ffrindiau. Roedd y bobl ifanc yn derbyn yr angen cyffredinol am asesiadau risg ac yn sylweddoli na allen nhw wneud pethau'n fyrbwyll neu weithgareddau peryglus iawn;

"mae rhaid i chi roi rhybudd ymlaen llaw er mwyn iddyn nhw fedru cynnal asesiad risg, sy'n ddigon teg. Na yw'r ateb yn achos rhai pethau wrth gwrs".

Jonathan, 15.

Roedd barn ranedig ynghylch larymau neu gloean ar ddrysau ystafelloedd gwely, heb gonsensws clir nac argymhelliad yn dod i'r amlwg. Dywedodd rhai pobl ifanc eu bod yn teimlo'n ddiogel o ganlyniad i'r mesurau hyn, a buon nhw'n sôn am leoliadau blaenorol lle roedd eiddo wedi cael ei golli o'u hystafell, neu lle roedden nhw wedi dod ar draws pobl ifanc eraill yn eu hystafell, rhywbeth nad oedden nhw'n ei hoffi. Roedd y bobl ifanc yn gosod gwerth

mawr ar ofod personol a phreifatrwydd, ac roedd hynny'n arbennig o wir yn achos y rhai sydd yng nghwmni gweithiwr allweddol drwy'r dydd, ble bynnag maen nhw'n mynd. Fodd bynnag, roedd eraill yn gweld y larymau'n debyg i fod o dan oruchwyliaeth neu mewn llety diogel, a doedden nhw ddim yn teimlo eu bod yn angenrheidiol nac yn gymesur. Roedd hwn yn arbennig yn fater lle roedd cymharu'n digwydd â gofal maeth. At ei gilydd roedd gofal maeth yn cael ei ddisgrifio fel sefyllfa oedd yn llai llym oherwydd nad oedd larymau ar y drws, a bod llai o gyfyngiadau o ran pethau fel defnyddio Wi-Fi neu amser gwely penodol.

Nid oedd y bobl ifanc yn gyffredinol yn anfodlon bod gan gartrefi reolau a therfynau, ac roedd llawer yn eu croesawu oherwydd eu bod wedyn yn gwybod ble roedden nhw'n sefyll. Roedd amser gwely graddedig neu gyrfiw ar sail oed hefyd yn cael eu derbyn yn gyffredinol gan y bobl ifanc, ond os nad oedden nhw'n meddwl bod y rheolau'n cael eu cymhwyso'n deg, roedd y bobl ifanc yn amlwg yn sylwi ar hynny.

Cyfarfodydd adolygu

Roedd llawer o bobl ifanc wedi bod yn rhan o'u cyfarfodydd adolygu Plant sy'n Derbyn Gofal (PDG). Cyfarfodydd amlasiantaeth rheolaidd yw'r rhain ar gyfer plant sydd yng ngofal yr awdurdod lleol, a'u bwriad yw ystyried a yw eu hanghenion yn cael eu diwallu'n llawn, ac os nad ydynt, cytuno ar gamau gweithredu er mwyn gwella'u canlyniadau yn ystod eu cyfnod mewn gofal. Roedd gan rai brofiadau cadarnhaol o'r rhain, er nad oedd hynny'n wir am bawb. Cyfeiriodd rhai at gael pobl yn siarad â nhw fel petaen nhw'n oedolion yn y cyfarfodydd hyn, ac ar y cyfan roedden nhw'n hoffi hynny, ond ar adegau roedd yr iaith

oedd yn cael ei defnyddio'n peri dryswch, ac aeth **James**, 15 oed, mor bell â'i alw'n "**gibberish**".

Dywedodd Ryan, oedd yn 15, fod ei adolygiadau PDG wedi cael eu canslo sawl tro, a hyd yn oed pan oedden nhw i fod i gael eu cynnal, doedd neb o'r gwasanaethau cymdeithasol wedi troi i fyny. Roedd hynny'n peri siom fawr iddo, gan ei fod wedi disgwyl cyfle i drafod estyn ei drefniadau cyswllt teulu, ac roedd hynny'n cael ei ohirio dro ar ôl tro. Nododd James, oedd yn 15 oed, fod rhywbeth tebyg wedi digwydd iddo fe hefyd, a'i fod

"wedi siomi'n fawr iawn, ac yn teimlo'n rhwystredig ar yr un pryd".

Roedd Chris, oedd yn 13 oed, wedi dewis peidio â mynd i'w adolygiad PDG, ond wedyn doedd e ddim wedi cael gwybod bod ei gyswllt gyda'i chwiorydd wedi newid i bob mis yn lle pob pythefnos, felly roedd e'n disgwyl eu gweld nhw yr wythnos ganlynol. Roedd wedi arfer â'r cyswllt yn cael ei drefnu

"fwy neu lai ar y funud ola"

gan fod ei chwiorydd mewn lleoliadau preswyl eraill, ond roedd peidio â gwybod beth oedd yn digwydd wedi bod yn anodd iddo fe.

**Crynodeb o'r adran dweud
eich dweud**

Mae Erthygl 12 o CCUHP yn rhoi hawl i bob plentyn gael mynegi barn, teimladau a dymuniadau ym mhob mater sy'n effeithio arnyn nhw, a chael eu barn wedi'i hystyried a'i chymryd o ddifri. Yn yr adran hon rydyn ni wedi gweld bod pobl ifanc yn aml yn adrodd, yng nghyswllt rhai agweddau pwysig ar eu bywydau, fel ble bydden nhw'n byw a chyswllt â'u teuluoedd, eu bod wedi cael trafferth sicrhau bod eu barn yn cael ei chlywed. Roedd Erthygl 12 ar gael yn fwy cyffredinol yn achos penderfyniadau pob dydd o fewn y cartref.

Roedd bod yn rhan o brosesau gwneud penderfyniadau yn cael ei werthfawrogi'n fawr, yn ogystal â bod penderfyniadau'n cael eu gwneud yn brydlon; mae'r dyfyniad yma'n crynhoi'r cyfan:

**"pethau bach yw'r rhain i chi, ond
dyma fy mywyd i".**

Andrew, 17.

Darpariaeth

Mae llawer o Erthyglau yn CCUHP yn ymwneud â darpariaeth. Mae hyn yn cynnwys yr hawl i gael gofal arbennig os ydych chi'n byw oddi cartref, a hawliau i gael cysgod, bwyd digonol, addysg, gofal iechyd a chwarae a hamdden.

Ymddangosai fod y cartrefi y buon ni'n ymweld â nhw yn ystod yr adolygiad hwn wedi'u haddurno'n dda ac yn gartrefol, ac ar y cyfan roedd y bobl ifanc yn rhydd i ddefnyddio'r cyfleusterau.

Mae maint cartrefi wedi bod yn lleihau'n ddramatig yn ystod y blynyddoedd diwethaf, a bu gostyngiad hefyd yng nghyfanswm nifer y lleoedd gofal preswyl sy'n cael eu darparu. Soniodd rhai pobl ifanc fod y trefniadau byw yn fwy "hectig" neu "anhrefnus" mewn cartrefi mwy o faint (mwy na 4 ystafell wely), a bod hynny'n gallu arwain at ddadleuon. Buon nhw'n myfyrio pa mor bwysig yw hi cael cyfuniad o bobl ifanc sy'n gweithio'n dda gyda'i gilydd mewn cartrefi mwy.

Rhai gwahaniaethau allweddol a nododd y bobl ifanc am fod mewn gofal preswyl oedd y cyfleoedd a'r gweithgareddau y gallen nhw eu cyrchu, oedd yn cael eu gweld fel ffactor cadarnhaol go iawn. Dywedodd y bobl ifanc wrthyn ni am fynd ar go-certi, i fowlio, ac ar daith i Lundain a pharciau thema, yn ogystal â theithiau rheolaidd i'r sinema, diwrnodau allan a gwyliu. Mae'r bobl ifanc yn cael eu hannog i fod yn berchnogion ar y broses hon i ryw raddau, gan gynnwys casglu gwybodaeth am weithgareddau lleol a faint bydden nhw'n ei gostio.

Mae cymhellion i ennill arian poded neu arian gwobrwyo yn boblogaidd hefyd. Mae'r bobl ifanc yn rhan o rotâu coginio, siopa bwyd ar-lein, glanhau ac ailgylchu. Roedd siopa am fwyd yn boblogaidd gan fod y bobl ifanc yn gallu dewis eu bwyd a chynllunio bwydlen yr wythnos. Dywedodd rhai pobl ifanc eu bod yn mwynhau gwneud tasgau o gwmpas y cartref gan fod hynny'n eu hatal rhag diflasu, ac ochr yn ochr â hynny mae'r bobl ifanc yn dysgu sgiliau ymarferol fydd yn amhrisiadwy iddyn nhw wrth symud ymlaen o ofal preswyl.

Mae nifer fawr o'r bobl ifanc y buon ni'n siarad â nhw hefyd yn cymryd rhan mewn gweithgareddau allanol yn y gymuned, gan gynnwys clwb ieuenctid, pêl-droed, clwb drama, nofio a Geidiau. Soniodd rhai aelodau o staff am drefnu pethau cyn iddyn nhw gyrraedd neu cyn gynted â phosib wedyn, yn arbennig os oedd person ifanc eisoes wedi bod yn rhan o glwb yn y man diwethaf lle roedden nhw'n byw. Ymddengys fod y bobl ifanc yn edrych ymlaen at y gweithgareddau hyn, nid dim ond oherwydd eu bod yn mwynhau'r gweithgaredd y maen nhw wedi'i ddewis, ond hefyd oherwydd ei fod yn gyfle i gymdeithasu gyda phobl o'r tu allan i'w cartref. Gall hyn fod yn arbennig o bwysig ar gyfer y rhai sy'n mynychu ysgol ar y safle ac yn treulio'u holl ddyddiau gyda'r un bobl.

Iechyd

Mae gan bob plentyn hawl i'r iechyd gorau posib, o dan Erthygl 24 o CCUHP. Roedd yn galondid felly cael gwybod bod mynediad cyffredinol y bobl ifanc y buon ni'n eu cyfweld i iechyd i'w weld yn dda. Roedd y bobl ifanc yn cael eu cofrestru'n gynnar ar ôl cyrraedd gyda Meddyg Teulu a deintydd, ond hefyd gydag optegwyr ac orthodontyddion yn ôl y galw. Adroddodd rhai fod mynediad i CAMHS yn gyflymach

nag i'r boblogaeth yn gyffredinol (hyd at ryw 6 wythnos o aros i gael apwyntiad, ond mynediad bron ar unwaith mewn achosion brys). Ni chafwyd cwynion gan y bobl ifanc ynghylch bod ag angen cael mynediad i wasanaeth a methu â gwneud hynny. Ar ben hynny, roedd rhai pobl ifanc newydd ddychwelyd o apwyntiadau iechyd neu ar eu ffordd iddynt tuag adeg ein hymweliadau. Soniodd **Jonathan**, oedd yn 15, yn falch am y ffaith ei fod wedi cael profi ei lygaid y diwrnod hwnnw, a'i fod yn gallu gweld cystal â "pheilot rhyfel".

Serch hynny, soniodd gweithwyr proffesiynol yn ein sesiynau cyfnewid tystiolaeth am y straen ar wasanaethau iechyd meddwl a chorfforol mewn rhai ardaloedd lle mae crynodiad o gartrefi plant preifat a phobl ifanc yn cyrraedd yn aml gyda llawer o anghenion iechyd, o ardaloedd byrddau iechyd eraill ac o Loegr.

Addysg

Roedd mynediad i addysg yn anghyson ymhlith y bobl ifanc y buon ni'n siarad â nhw. Yn ystod un ymweliad bore roedd **Emma**, 14 oed, gartref; roedd dadlau'n parhau rhwng ei hysgol flaenorol a'r gwasanaethau cymdeithasol ynghylch y dewis gorau o ran addysg iddi; ar y pryd roedd hi'n cael cynnig rhai oriau o wersi mewn neuadd gymuned leol, ond doedd hi ddim eisiau mynd yno oherwydd ei bod hi'n oer, a doedd dim pobl ifanc eraill yno, felly roedd hi'n methu cymdeithasu. O ganlyniad, doedd hi ddim yn cael mynediad i unrhyw ddarpariaeth addysg. Dywedwyd wrth y cyfwelwyr nad oedd Emma wedi cael ei gwahardd yn ffurfiol o gofrestr ei hysgol uwchradd, ond nad oedd yr ysgol yn ei hannog i fynd yno oherwydd eu bod yn pryderu am effaith ei hymddygiad ar bobl ifanc eraill. Mae hwn yn fater sydd wedi dod i sylw swyddfa'r Comisiynydd ar achlysuron blaenorol, ac nid

yw wedi'i gyfyngu i bobl ifanc mewn gofal preswyl.

Doedd **Megan**, 13 oed, ddim yn yr ysgol chwaeth, gan nad oedd hynny'n cael ei ystyried yn bosib tra bod trefniadau ar gyfer ei lleoliad gofal yn cael eu cadarnhau.

“Dydw i ddim yn mynd i'r ysgol ar y foment... yn amlwg mae popeth lan yn yr awyr ar hyn o bryd, felly dydw i ddim yn mynd i'r ysgol”.

Roedd **Anthony**, 15 oed, yn byw mewn cartref oedd ag ysgol ar y safle, ond dim ond 2 awr o addysg y dydd yr oedd e'n eu cael, gan fod ei diwtor yn gadael y safle wedyn i ddysgu disgybl arall yn y gymuned. Roedd Anthony'n anfodlon ar ei ddarpariaeth addysg oherwydd ei fod yn methu dewis pryd roedd yn cychwyn. Yn wreiddiol, roedd wedi cael 2 awr yn y bore, a gweddill y diwrnod yn rhydd, ond roedd yr amser wedi cael ei newid i gychwyn am 13.30 ac fe soniodd am wastraffu'r bore yn aros i fynd i'r ysgol. Er bod 2 awr y dydd yn fwy nag oedd Anthony wedi bod yn ei fynychu yn ei gymuned leol cyn dod i ofal, doedd e ddim wedi ymgysylltu â'i ddarpariaeth addysg er ei bod ar y safle gan nad oedd yn addas ar gyfer ei anghenion.

Mewn cyferbyniad, yn achos rhai pobl ifanc roedd cael addysg ar y safle wedi cynyddu'r amser roedden nhw'n ei dreulio yn yr ysgol, gan y bydden nhw wedi cael eu hanfon adref o addysg brif ffrwd petaen nhw'n amharu ar y dosbarth. Fel y soniodd **Sienna**, 15 oed, doedd

“dim opsiwn o ran hynny yma”

o gymharu ag o'r blaen, pryd roedd hi'n dweud ei bod hi byth yn mynd i'r ysgol mewn gwirionedd.

Dyweddodd **Gemma**, 14 oed,

“rhoddodd yr aelwyd yma hyder i fi, felly rydw i'n mynd nôl i'r ysgol nawr. Roeddwn i'n arfer dadlau ac ymladd yn yr ysgol... Cyn bo hir byddai i'n mynd i'r ysgol yn amser llawn, mae addysg yn bwysig”.

Teimlai **Suzanne**, 13 oed, ei bod hi wedi gwneud cynnydd go iawn gyda'i haddysg ers bod mewn gofal preswyl oedd yn cynnwys ysgol, gan nad oedd hi wedi bod yn mynychu'r ysgol yn ei chartref blaenorol.

“Roedd fy mathemateg fel plentyn 5 oed a'm darllen yn 2 oed, ond nawr mae fy narllen fel rhywun 12 oed a'm mathemateg yn 15 oed. Rydw i mor falch mod i wedi cael y dewis yna... Does dim geiriau i ddisgrifio...”

Soniodd hi fod y

“staff addysgu'n dda iawn, ac yn deall os ydych chi'n methu sillafu, byddan nhw'n rhannu fe'n adrannau a phethau felna”.

Mae gan bob plentyn hawl i gyrchu addysg o dan Erthygl 28 o CCUHP, ac mae Erthygl 29 yn cynnwys darpariaeth sy'n nodi y dylai addysg ddatblygu personoliaeth, doniau a galluoedd pob plentyn yn llawn. Roedd yn gadarnhaol nodi bod hyd yn oed yr ysgolion llai, ar y safle, yn ceisio cynnig amrywiaeth o bynciau fel bod y bobl ifanc yn gallu dewis y rhai oedd o ddiddordeb iddyn nhw, ac os oedden nhw wedi bod yn astudio pwnc penodol wrth fyw yn rhywle arall, bod ymdrechion yn cael eu gwneud i barhau â hynny, er enghraifft sicrhau bod offerynnau cerdd ar gael i ymarfer neu gael hyd i diwtoriaid i gynnig pynciau penodol.

Roedd gan un o'r ysgolion oedd ar y safle gyngor ysgol gweithredol, a gwelodd y cyfwelwyr gofnodion ac agendâu ar hysbysfwrdd yn yr ysgol. Roedd **Dylan**, 16 oed, hefyd yn gweithredu fel gofalwr i'r

ysgol, felly fe fyddai'n dadgloir adeilad a'r ystafelloedd dosbarth bob dydd ac yn helpu gyda'r gwaith cynnal cyffredinol. Soniodd Dylan yn falch am ei rôl a'i gyfrifoldebau.

Roedd rhai o'r bobl ifanc hyn yn cymryd rhan mewn rhaglenni hyfforddi gyda chyrrff trydydd sector. Soniodd y bobl ifanc yn gadarnhaol am y cyfleoedd hyn; ochr yn ochr â'r rhaglen hyfforddi, roedd tuedd bod ganddyn nhw weithiwr cefnogi oedd yn eu helpu i gyrchu'r cwrs, ond hefyd yn eu helpu gyda materion ehangach megis hawliadau budd-dal. Fodd bynnag, yn aml dim ond unwaith yr wythnos yr oedd y rhaglenni hyfforddi hyn yn cael eu cynnal, a phan ofynnon ni i'r bobl ifanc beth arall roedden nhw'n ei wneud â'u hamser, fe ddwedson nhw eu bod yn segur lawer o'r amser.

Symud ymlaen

Gan fod bron dau draean o'r bobl ifanc y buon ni'n eu cyfworld yn 15 oed neu'n iau, doedd materion cysylltiedig â chynllunio i ymadael a phontio o ofal preswyl ddim bob amser ar eu radar. Profiadau'r gweithwyr proffesiynol, fodd bynnag, oedd bod "pontio yn gallu bod yn drawmatig" a bod gadael gofal yn gallu "ystumio sefyllfa" person ifanc; mae hyn yn adlewyrchu profiad y rhai sy'n gadael gofal yn gyffredinol, fel yr adroddwyd i'm swyddfa yn ystod ymgynghoriad **Beth Nesa' I What Next?** yn 2015⁵.

Byddai **Andrew**, ein cyfranogwr hynaf, yn cael ei ben-blwydd yn 18 oed ymhen deufis, a dywedodd ei fod wedi gweld ei weithiwr cymdeithasol y diwrnod blaenorol "am y tro cyntaf ers misoedd". Roedd hi yno i gynnal asesiad tai ar gyfer pan fyddai e'n

18 oed, ond doedd e ddim yn gwybod beth fyddai'r cynllun. Roedd **Andrew** wedi cael gwybod na fyddai'n gallu aros lle roedd e, ond doedd e ddim yn gwybod beth oedd yn cael ei ystyried yn lle hynny, ac roedd hynny'n ei wneud yn nerfus. Roedd wedi cael anhawster gyda chamddefnyddio sylweddau yn flaenorol, ac roedd wedi llwyddo i roi'r gorau i ddefnyddio sylweddau anterth cyfreithlon ers byw yn y lleoliad hwn, gyda help ei weithiwr allweddol. Roedd yn pryderu y byddai'n llithro'n ôl i ddefnyddio sylweddau anterth cyfreithlon, yn dibynnu ar ble byddai'n byw a phwy fyddai o'i gwmpas.

Mater arbennig oedd yn codi oedd y gall fod yn fwyfwy anodd i bobl ifanc sy'n cael eu lleoli "y tu allan i'r sir" gynnal cysylltiadau cefnogi ac ailgartrefu yn eu cymuned gartref ar ôl gadael gofal preswyl yn 18 oed. Roedd y gweithwyr proffesiynol o'r farn bod "popeth yn anoddach i'w wneud pan fydd lleoliad y tu allan i'r sir". Serch hynny, mae 'mynd adre' yn gyffredin ymhlith y rhai sy'n gadael gofal preswyl. Canfu ymchwil ddiweddar Elliott yng Nghymru fod 181 o gyfanswm o 402 (45%) o'r rhai oedd yn gadael cartref plant yn dychwelyd adref at eu teuluoedd geni. Dywedodd gweithwyr proffesiynol, os yw person ifanc wedi mynegi dymuniad yn gyson i dychwelyd adref ar ôl gadael gofal, y dylid "gafael" yn y mater yn gynharach, fel bod modd i'r symudiad hwnnw ddigwydd gyda chefnogaeth. Nododd gweithwyr proffesiynol fod peth eironi yn y lefelau o amddiffyniad a roddir weithiau i bobl ifanc o dan 18 oed yng nghyswllt eu teulu geni, ond eu bod yn dychwelyd atynt, heb unrhyw fesurau diogelu, ar ôl cael eu pen-blwydd yn 18.

Un mater mae fy swyddfa eisoes wedi'i godi gyda Llywodraethu Cymru yw'r ffaith nad yw'r trefniadau byw ôl-18 "Pan fydda i'n Barod" yn berthnasol i bobl ifanc mewn gofal preswyl, ac roedd y gweithwyr proffesiynol yn bendant yn cytuno â'm safbwynt yn hyn o beth, gan ei fod yn anghydraddoldeb

⁵ www.childcomwales.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2016/04/Overview-Welsh-FINAL-compressed.pdf

syfhaenol i'r bobl ifanc fwyaf agored i niwedd sy'n gadael gofal.

Mae rhai cartrefi'n gallu cynnig fflatiau hyfforddi neu ddarpariaeth cam i lawr i baratoi ar gyfer pontio, ac roedd ambell un y buon ni'n siarad â nhw wedi bod yn ymchwilio i sut gallen nhw ddatblygu cyfleuster oedd yn gysylltiedig â'u cartref presennol. Roedd darpariaeth o'r fath yn cael ei gweld fel ffordd gadarnhaol a diogel o helpu pobl ifanc i ddatblygu eu sgiliau. Fel y nodwyd yn ein gwaith maes, roedd pobl ifanc yn datblygu sgiliau byw'n annibynnol trwy gynlluniau gwobrwyo yn y rhan fwyaf o gartrefi, ac o'u cymharu â llawer o'u cyfoedion mewn gofal maeth, roedd yn debygol eu bod yn derbyn mwy o gyfrifoldeb am y tasgau hynny. Fodd bynnag, i bobl ifanc sy'n byw mewn gofal preswyl, sydd wedi arfer â chael cefnogaeth 24/7 a dulliau gweithredu therapiwtig, gall symud allan yn 18 oed deimlo fel petaen nhw'n cael eu hamddifadu o bopeth. Mae'n gymaint o gyferbyniad gorfod rheoli eu harian, eu cludiant a'u bwyd eu hunain. Disgrifiad y gweithwyr proffesiynol o hynny oedd colli'r "rhwyl ddiogelwch" gan mai ychydig iawn o gefnogaeth sy'n parhau yn aml.

Lle roedd opsiynau tai â chymorth a phrosiectau symud ymlaen fel hostelau a llety â chymorth Di Gartref ar Ynys Môn yn cael eu cynnig, roedd y rhain yn cael eu gweld fel rhywbeth buddiol iawn i bobl ifanc, gan eu bod yn cynnig gwahanol lefelau o gefnogaeth, yn dibynnu ar anghenion y person ifanc, y gwasanaethau di-fwlch, a'r cymorth i ymsefydlu o'r newydd yn y gymuned leol. Teimlai gweithwyr proffesiynol fod pobl ifanc oedd yn cyrchu'r gwasanaethau hyn yn hapusach ac yn

llai ynysig. Gall byw â chymorth gyda chefnogaeth **'ar alwad'** ddarparu dilyniant ar gyfer pobl ifanc ac mae'n eu galluogi i wneud camgymeriadau mewn amgylchedd diogel.

Roedd datblygu sgiliau cymdeithasol a'r gallu i reoli perthnasoedd yn briodol yn cael eu hystyried yr un mor bwysig â sgiliau ymarferol fel coginio neu gyllidebu; **"dyna fydd yn eich cynnal chi trwy bopeth"**.

Gall fod angen sgiliau ar bobl ifanc hefyd i 'reoli' rhyngweithio ag aelodau'r teulu, os yw'r cyswllt blaenorol wedi cael ei gyfyngu a'i oruchwyllo. Mae rhai pobl ifanc yn dechrau pryderu am hyn wrth nesáu at eu pen-blwydd yn 18. Roedd mynychu clybiau allanol neu ddarpariaeth addysg fel coleg chweched dosbarth yn cael ei ystyried yn ychwanegiad cadarnhaol.

Persbectif diddorol a godwyd yn ystod un o'r sesiynau cyfnewid tystiolaeth broffesiynol oedd agweddau cyferbyniol cymdeithas at y rhai sy'n gadael gofal a myfyrwyr. Mae bron yn ddisgwylidig na fydd myfyrwyr yn gallu gofalu amdanynt eu hunain yn llawn, bwyta'n iach a thrafod arian yn gall bob amser, a gwelir hynny fel rhan o'r 'profiad prifysgol', ond mae mwy o stigma ynghlwm wrth y rhai sy'n gadael gofal am yr un rhesymau.

Roedd gweithwyr proffesiynol hefyd yn pryderu am bobl ifanc yn mynd i'r carchar, ac y gallai rhai hyd yn oed weld hynny fel opsiwn cadarnhaol, gan y byddai ganddyn nhw rywle diogel i fynd, ac y bydden nhw'n cael bwyd, cysgod, trefn ddyddiol ac addysg. Mynegwyd pryder hefyd am ferched yn gadael gofal preswyl oherwydd beichiogrwydd, gan fod hynny'n aml yn gallu arwain at eu baban yn mynd i ofal ac yn cael ei fabwysiadu. Mae hwn yn fater sydd wedi cael ei godi gyda'm swyddfa'n flaenorol, nid yng nghyswllt gofal preswyl yn unig, ond rhai sy'n gadael gofal yn gyffredinol. Mae'r

⁶ Mae Adran 108 o Ddeddf Gwasanaethau Cymdeithasol a Llesiant (Cymru) 2014 yn caniatáu i bobl ifanc barhau i fyw gyda gofaluwr maeth nes eu bod yn 21 oed, neu 25 oed os ydyn nhw mewn addysg neu hyfforddiant. Yr enw ar hyn yw "Pan fydda i'n Barod", sy'n cydnabod nad yw llawer o bobl ifanc yn barod i adael eu gofaluwr maeth yn 18 oed.

bobl ifanc hyn yn teimlo eu bod yn wynebu rhwystrau ychwanegol a bod rhaid iddyn nhw “brofi” y gallan nhw fod yn rhieni da er mwyn cadw eu plentyn gartre gyda nhw.

Codwyd mater trefniadau cytbwys rhwng awdurdodau cymdogol hefyd, gan y bydd rhai pobl ifanc am aros yn yr ardal lle maen nhw’n byw mewn gofal, yn hytrach na dychwelyd i’w hawdurdod lleol cartref, lle gallai eu rhwydweithiau cefnogi, eu cysylltiadau a’u gwybodaeth leol fod yn gyfyngedig. Roedd Deddf Gwasanaethau Cymdeithasol a Llesiant (Cymru) 2014 yn cael ei gweld fel cyfle posib i ddianc rhag dadleuon ynghylch cyllid a darpariaeth rhwng awdurdodau lleol a darparwyr gwasanaeth.

Mae nifer o wahanol ddulliau gweithredu wedi cael eu hystyried o ran cynnig cymorth parhaus i bobl ifanc ar ôl iddyn nhw adael gofal preswyl (boed hynny’n 18 oed neu’n gynharach). Cydnabwyd bod perthyn a hunaniaeth yn bwysig, ac y gall person ifanc fod o dan anfantais os na all gynnal perthnasoedd ar ôl ymadael. Ymhlith yr enghreifftiau a roddwyd i gynnal y cysylltiad roedd pobl ifanc yn gallu galw heibio’u cartref plant blaenorol i gael paned a sgwrs, dod i’r cartref i gael cinio dydd Sul, neu ffonio i gael cyngor. Mae rhai cartrefi hefyd wedi cychwyn tudalennau ‘caeedig’ ar Facebook i gadw mewn cysylltiad â’r rhai a fu’n byw yno.

Un gair a gododd yn ystod y trafodaethau oedd “dibyniaeth”. Er bod pawb am i bobl ifanc ddatblygu eu hannibyniaeth, mae’r broses honno’n gallu cymryd amser. Nododd un o’r darparwyr preifat y gallai fod yn well i bobl ifanc gadw mewn cysylltiad â’u cartref blaenorol, oherwydd bydd y gweithwyr yn eu hadnabod nhw’n dda iawn, ac **“o leia byddwn ni’n ymwybodol o unrhyw ddibyniaeth neu elfennau bregus”.**

Roedd cynllunio cynnar a pharatoi yn thema allweddol ar hyd y trafodaethau; ni ddylai asiantaethau gael eu synnu bod person ifanc yn cyrraedd 18 oed. Ystyriaeth gyffredinol oedd mai **“mater yw hyn o gael cynllun da, sydd wedi cael ei ystyried yn sensitif a’i roi ar waith yn amserol”.** Fodd bynnag, y teimlad ymhlith y gweithwyr proffesiynol a fu’n cymryd rhan yn ein digwyddiadau cyfnewid tystiolaeth oedd bod y ddarpariaeth i bobl ifanc sy’n gadael gofal preswyl yn rhy aml yn annigonol, a bod hwn yn fater y mae’n rhaid mynd i’r afael ag ef ar frys.

Crynodeb o’r adran darpariaeth

Yn gyffredinol roedd y cyfleusterau o ddydd i ddydd yn y cartrefi y buon ni’n ymweld â nhw yn dda iawn, ac roedd y bobl ifanc yn mynegi bodlonrwydd o ran yr amodau byw a’r cyfleoedd i gymryd rhan mewn gweithgareddau. Roedd yr addysg yn gymysg, gyda rhai pobl ifanc yn ymwneud ag addysg mewn ffordd gadarnhaol am y tro cyntaf ers blynyddoedd, tra bod eraill fel petaent yn rhy segur. Roedd y gweithwyr proffesiynol yn pryderu am y pwysau ar wasanaethau lleol mewn rhai ardaloedd, yn arbennig pan fyddai pobl ifanc ag anghenion lefel uchel yn cael eu lleoli o’r tu allan i’r ardal leol heb fawr ddim cynllunio ymlaen llaw. Mynegwyd pryderon yn arbennig ynghylch y diffyg tai da ac opsiynau cefnogi ar gyfer y rhai oedd yn cyrraedd 18 oed.

Diogelu

Mae camdriniaeth neu esgeuluso yn rhesymau cyffredin pam mae plant yn dechrau derbyn gofal gan awdurdod lleol. Mae Erthygl 19 o CCUHP yn datgan y dylai plant gael eu hamddiffyn rhag pob math ar drais a chamdriniaeth, ac mae Erthygl 34 yn rhoi'r hawl i amddiffyniad rhag camfanteisio rhywiol. Mae Erthygl 39 yn rhoi hawl iddyn nhw ymadfer wedi camdriniaeth ac esgeuluso a chael eu hymgorffori o'r newydd i'r gymdeithas. Mae barn gynyddol bod plant mewn perygl o ddatblygu cofnodion troseddol mewn gofal preswyl pan gânt eu cyhuddo am droseddau a fyddai fel arfer yn derbyn sylw anffurfiol mewn cartref teuluol⁷.

⁷ howardleague.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/02/Criminal-Care.pdf
www.prisonreformtrust.org.uk/Portals/0/Documents/In%20care%20out%20of%20trouble%20summary.pdf

Yr Heddlu

Gall swyddogion yr Heddlu ymwneud â chartrefi plant lleol mewn tair ffordd. Yn gyntaf, fe ddaethon ni ar draws enghreifftiau o swyddogion heddlu yn meithrin perthynas gadarnhaol gyda phobl ifanc, er mwyn cael perthynas gyda nhw cyn i argyfwng godi. Yn ail, mae'r heddlu fel arfer yn ymwneud â'r sefyllfa os bydd pobl ifanc yn mynd ar goll. Yn drydydd, gellir galw ar yr Heddlu i roi gwybod am drosedd gan berson ifanc. Er bod y ddau gyntaf yn gadarnhaol, cafwyd adroddiadau am rai achosion o droseddoli pobl ifanc yn ddiangen.

Profiad yr holl bobl ifanc a staff y buon ni'n siarad â nhw oedd y byddai'r Heddlu'n cael eu galw petai unrhyw un yn mynd ar goll; ddim ar unwaith o reidrwydd, gan y byddai'n ddigonol weithiau i'r staff chwilio'r tiroedd neu yrru i fyny'r hewl. Soniodd pobl ifanc wrthyn ni am fynd ar goll er mwyn profi'r ffiniau, ond eu bod yn cuddio gerllaw, neu'n ailystyried ac yn dod nôl, ond os oedd rhywun ar goll mewn gwirionedd, roedden nhw'n cytuno ei bod hi'n briodol galw'r Heddlu ac roedden nhw'n deall bod cyfrifoldebau ar y cartrefi i gadw pawb yn ddiogel. Roedd y bobl ifanc hefyd yn ddiolchgar ar brydiau i'r Heddlu am eu dychwelyd adref yn ddiogel.

Dywedodd **Kyle**, 13 oed, wrthyn ni ei fod wedi mynd ar goll gyda 3 bachgen arall, oedd wedi rhedeg i ffwrdd a chuddio rhag y staff. Fe ddywedodd e

"os byddwch chi'n torri'r amodau goruchwyliaeth ac mae'r staff yn methu â'ch gweld chi, mater o'ch diogelwch chi yw e" (bod yr Heddlu'n cael eu galw).

Soniodd am sut roedd wedi byw mewn cartref preswyl arall yn flaenorol, a bod yr Heddlu **"byth"** yn cael eu galw yno. Os oedd pobl yn difrodi eiddo,

"dyna pryd dylai'r Heddlu gael eu galw, achos byddai rhieni'n eu galw nhw bryd hynny hefyd, felly mae'n debyg".

Nododd pobl ifanc oedd yn byw mewn ardaloedd gwledig hefyd eu bod yn annhebygol o fynd ar goll, gan ei bod hi'n gwbl dywyll yn y caeau o amgylch, a doedden nhw ddim yn nabod yr ardal, felly doedd ganddyn nhw ddim syniad ble i fynd, fyddai'n eu hatal.

Amlygodd y sgysiauw gyda phobl ifanc wahaniaethau ymarfer o ran pryd mae'r Heddlu'n cael eu galw a phryd dylai hynny ddigwydd. Roedd ambell un o'r bobl ifanc yn meddwl y byddai angen rhywbeth difrifol i alw'r Heddlu, ar wahân i bobl ifanc yn mynd ar goll.

"Dyw'r heddlu ddim yn dod pan fyddwn ni'n gwneud pethau, mae sancsiynau'n cael eu rhoi ar waith a chanlyniadau i'n helpu i ddysgu o'r profiad. Does dim un o'r merched yma wedi bod mewn cysylltiad â'r Heddlu... dydyn ni ddim yn galw ar yr Heddlu oni bai bod y sefyllfa'n ddifrifol"
Suzanne, 13.

Dydw i ddim wedi gweld yr Heddlu'n cael eu galw eto, a byddai rhaid iddo fod yn rhywbeth eitha mawr i hynny ddigwydd"
Noah, 15.

Dywedodd rhai pobl ifanc y byddai'r staff 'bob amser' yn galw'r Heddlu, er enghraifft yng nghyswllt dod o hyd i gyffuriau yn y cartref, faint bynnag ohonynt oedd, a beth bynnag oedd eu dosbarth, neu petai rhywbeth fel celfi neu ffenestri'n cael ei dorri yn y cartref. Bu **Stella**, 16 oed, yn beirniadu

pa mor aml roedd yr Heddlu'n cael eu galw;

“Maen nhw wedi cael eu galw oherwydd mod i wedi malu pethau... wi’n gwybod bod nhw ddim yn hoffi galw’r Heddlu i ddelio gyda phlant, felly pam gwneud e, chi’n gwbod beth wi’n feddwl... y cyfan wnes i oedd dinistrio fy stafell a diflannu am gwpl o ddyddiau”.

Wrth benderfynu a oedd angen galw’r Heddlu neu beidio, soniodd y staff gofal am gymesuredd yng nghyswllt yr hyn oedd wedi digwydd, sut bydden nhw’n ymateb i sefyllfa debyg yn eu cartref teuluol gyda’u plant eu hunain, a chymryd amser i dawelu ar ôl digwyddiad. Soniodd un gweithiwr allweddol am ei fwriad cychwynnol i droi at yr Heddlu wedi digwyddiad diweddar pan oedd bachgen wedi ymosod arno. Roedd wedi siarad â rheolwr y cartref, a awgrymodd ei fod yn ystyried y peth dros nos, ac erbyn y diwrnod canlynol roedd wedi penderfynu nad oedd am alw’r Heddlu bellach. Roedd hynny mewn gwirionedd wedi helpu’r berthynas waith rhyngddyn nhw, gan arwain at amgylchedd byw mwy sefydlog i bawb.

Rhai dulliau gweithredu oedd yn amlwg ddefnyddiol oedd ystyried a oedd cysylltu â’r Heddlu yn debygol o gael effaith, gan y byddai unrhyw ddyddiad yn y llys beth amser yn y dyfodol, ac yn rhy ‘bell’ o’r digwyddiad i fod o werth fel gwerau, o gymharu ag effaith uniongyrchol dulliau cyfiawnder mwy adferol i’r unigolyn ac o safbwynt sefydlogrwydd bywyd yn gyffredinol yn y cartref, ac ystyried rhagolygon y person ifanc yn y dyfodol, megis y ffaith bod euogfarnau i’w gweld mewn gwiriadau GDG.

Nododd gweithwyr proffesiynol yn ein digwyddiadau, os caiff yr Heddlu eu galw, fod rhaid iddynt weithredu mewn rhyw fodd, ac na allant anwybyddu galwad. Roedden nhw’n teimlo y gallai fod problem hyfforddi, er mwyn i’r staff ddelio gyda phethau mewn ffordd gymesur yn hytrach na chondemnio person ifanc am ddigwyddiad cymharol ddibwys. Roedd gweithwyr proffesiynol o amrywiaeth o asiantaethau, gan gynnwys rheolwyr cofrestredig, yn gofyn **“sut byddai rhiant cyfrifol yn gweithredu?”**. Fodd bynnag, roedd cydnabyddiaeth hefyd fod rhai gweithwyr yn credu bod rhaid iddynt alw’r Heddlu oherwydd ‘polisiau’ neu’r ‘llywodraeth’ ac mae ‘diogelu eu hunain’ yw’r peth pwysicaf.

Yn ogystal â’r gwahanol categorïau o alwadau, nid yw heddluoedd Cymru a Lloegr, ac asiantaethau eraill, bob amser yn defnyddio’r un diffiniadau yng nghyswllt Pobl sydd ar Goll, camfanteisio’n rhywiol ar blant (CSE) neu gam-drin plant yn rhywiol (CSA). Roedd y gweithwyr proffesiynol y buon ni’n siarad â nhw yn barnu bod protocolau Cymru Gyfan ar Bobl Goll a Chamfanteisio’n Rhywiol ar Blant yn bwysig, a dywedodd yr Heddlu wrthyn ni eu bod wedi anfon dyfyniadau o’r protocolau hyn at awdurdodau lleol yn Lloegr sy’n lleoli plant yng Nghymru.

Dyma rai meysydd arfer da allweddol a amlygwyd yn ystod y trafodaethau:

a) Swyddogion Heddlu Lleol neu PCSOs yn ymweld yn rheolaidd ac yn cynnal cysylltiadau da â chartrefi.

Mae hyn yn golygu bod yr Heddlu’n cael eu gweld fel pobl ddiogel, yn hytrach na bygythiad. Mewn rhai ardaloedd bydd yr Heddlu’n ymweld â pherson ifanc o fewn mis cyntaf y lleoliad (mae hyn yn dibynnu ar rannu gwybodaeth yn dda adeg y lleoliad). Gwelid bod ymweld â phobl ifanc pan nad oes problem yn codi o gymorth i’r Heddlu, er

mwyn herio rhai canfyddiadau nad oes 'dim ond trafferth' mewn cartrefi plant. Teimlid ei bod hi'n bwysig creu cysylltiadau â mwy na llond dwrn o heddweision neu PCSOs, fodd bynnag, gan nad oes gwarant shifft pwy fydd hi pan fydd rhywbeth yn digwydd.

b) Rhannu gwybodaeth

pan fyddai hyn yn digwydd, roedd yn cael ei weld yn rhywbeth gwerthfawr iawn. Amlygwyd y tasglu amlasiantaeth yng Ngogledd Cymru yn arbennig fel enghraifft gadarnhaol. Mae hyn yn galluogi staff o amrywiol asiantaethau i rannu disgwyliadau o ran rheoli risg a gweithredu ar faterion cyn iddynt droi'n argyfwng.

c) Cydlynwyr Pobl Goll

gwelid y rolau hyn fel rhai hanfodol; gellir datblygu cynllun risg ar gyfer pob unigolyn. Barnwyd bod Un Pwynt Cyswllt hefyd yn gweithio'n effeithiol.

d) Cyfiawnder Adferol

teimlid bod hyn yn ffactor cadarnhaol yn y cartrefi lle mae'n cael ei ddefnyddio. Mae'n gweithio'n effeithiol i hybu awyrgylch sefydlog yn y cartref, yn lleihau'r angen am alw'r Heddlu, ac yn canolbwyntio ar ganlyniadau. Roedd hyn yn rhywbeth roedd y bobl ifanc wedi bod yn myfyrio arno hefyd yn ystod y gwaith maes.

Diogelwch

Yn ôl mwyafrif y bobl ifanc, roedden nhw'n teimlo'n ddiogel lle roedden nhw'n byw.

"Does neb wir yn gwybod ble ydw i, mae hynny'n fy helpu i deimlo'n saff" Carl, 17.

Teimlai **Emily**, oedd yn 17 oed, fod y rheolau yn y tŷ yn helpu i'w chadw'n ddiogel.

"Mae rhaid i chi fod mewn erbyn 11 o'r gloch, ac os nad ydych chi, byddan nhw'n adrodd eich bod chi ar goll... ond maen nhw'n rheolau call, ac mae'r amser yn braf, mae'n adeg braf i fod mewn".

Soniodd y bobl ifanc am wiriadau'n digwydd cyn iddyn nhw allu ymweld â ffrindiau yn eu cartref; ar y cyfan roedden nhw'n derbyn bod hynny'n anochel. Roedd **Stella**, 16, yn teimlo bod hynny'n ei hatal rhag gweld ei ffrindiau;

"mae'n hunllef, maen nhw'n gwirio'ch holl ffrindiau, eich holl gysylltiadau, dyw e ddim yn iawn".

Dyweddodd **Ruth**, 16, hefyd fod y staff yn awyddus i wirio gyda phwy roedd hi'n cymysgu ac addasrwydd unrhyw gariadon, ond doedd hi ddim yn gweld hynny'n broblem

"Ydw, wi'n gwbod pam maen nhw eisiau gwirio fy nghariad, er mwyn fy nghadw i'n saff".

Dyweddodd llond dwrn o'r bobl ifanc nad oedden nhw bob amser yn teimlo'n ddiogel; pan drafodwyd hynny mae'n debyg mai'r rheswm oedd ymddygiad preswylwyr eraill yn y cartref, yn hytrach na dylanwadau o'r tu allan neu bryder ynghylch y gymuned ehangach. Roedd paru lleoliadau yn cael ei ystyried yn rhywbeth pwysig iawn er mwyn creu cydbwysedd da ac amgylchedd byw hapus, disgrifiodd **Morgan**, 16, gyflwyno preswylwyr newydd fel rhywbeth oedd yn **"creu llawer o ansefydlogrwydd"** ac roedd eraill yn ei grŵp cyfweld yn cytuno. Dywedodd rhai o'r bobl ifanc hefyd fod yna adegau pan nad oedden nhw'n teimlo'n ddiogel am gyfnod byr pan fyddai larwm yn seinio, neu os oedd hi'n dywyll yn y coridor tu allan i'w stafell, ond at ei gilydd eu bod nhw'n teimlo'n ddiogel.

Soniodd cyfran sylweddol o'r bobl ifanc hefyd eu bod yn teimlo'n ynysig, a chyfeirio at nifer o resymau am hynny, gan gynnwys lleoliad ac ardal wledig, cael eu gwahanu oddi wrth eu cartref a'u teulu, bod mewn ardal anghyfarwydd, a chyfyngiadau ar ryddid.

Gallai camddefnyddio sylweddau amharu ar yr holl breswylwyr, petai'r Heddlu'n cael eu galw neu petai pobl ifanc eraill yn dod i'r cartref dan ddylanwad sylweddau. Lle nodwyd bod materion camddefnydd yn bodoli, soniodd nifer o aelodau staff a phobl ifanc am **'sylweddau anterth cyfreithlon'** a chanabis fel sylweddau penodol oedd yn cael eu defnyddio'n gyson.

Soniodd nifer o'r bobl ifanc fod cael eu gosod mewn gofal preswyl a chael cefnogaeth gweithiwr allweddol da wedi'u helpu i ddod â'u camddefnyddio sylweddau i ben, a hefyd ymddygiad peryglus neu droseddol.

"Ers symud yma, rydw i wedi rhoi'r gorau i gyffuriau, yfed a'r holl bethau yna roeddwn i'n arfer gwneud, mae wedi fy newid i". Carl, 17.

Teimlai **Noah**, oedd yn 15 oed, fod y cartref mewn gwirionedd yn cadw pobl ifanc yn **"rhy ddiogel"**, ac er ei fod wedi cael ei helpu i gefnu ar gyffuriau ac alcohol, doedd e ddim yn teimlo ei fod yn cael ei baratoi i ddychwelyd i fyw yn y gymuned.

"Maen nhw'n meddwl bod diogelu'r plant yma'n mynd i'w cadw nhw'n saff, ond byddan nhw'n cael sioc wrth fynd allan i'r byd a meddwl 'nid dyma beth welais i wrth dyfu i fyny".

Un pwynt diddorol oedd yn peri pryder a godwyd yn sesiynau cyfnewid tystiolaeth y gweithwyr proffesiynol oedd pan fynegodd y gweithwyr proffesiynol bryder ynghylch gallu darparwyr gofal preswyl i fynd â pherson ifanc i lety amgen am hyd at 28 diwrnod, heb orfod gwneud cais am reoleiddio'r llety hwnnw. Er bod barn gyffredinol bod gallu darparwyr i fynd â phobl ifanc ar wyliau yn beth da (ac roedd llawer o'r cartrefi y buon ni'n siarad â nhw yn gwneud hynny), roedd pryder ynghylch **"lleoliadau 27 diwrnod"** a allai fod mewn lleoliadau anniogel neu heb eu gwirio, a'r risgiau roedd hynny'n ei achosi i'r staff a'r bobl ifanc. Dywedwyd bod rhai carafanau ar yr arfordir yn cael eu defnyddio at ddibenion rheoli pobl ifanc am gyfnod o amser, yn hytrach na dim ond ar gyfer gwyliau. Rwyf wedi gwneud AGGCC yn ymwybodol o'r pryder hwn.

Hunan-niweidio

Soniodd chwech o'r bobl ifanc yn ddigon agored yn ystod y cyfweiliadau am eu profiadau o hunan-niweidio mewn gofal preswyl. Dywedodd **Sonia**, 16 oed, ei bod yn niweidio'i hun ers pan oedd hi'n 9 oed.

"Bydda i'n gwneud e i mreichiau ac yn pwnio welydd... mae wedi gwaethygu ers i mi fynd yn hyn... er i mi fynd trwy gyfnod o beidio â'i wneud e... ac yna dechreuais i wneud e eto ryw 2 fis yn ôl".

Teimlai rhai nad oeddent o'r farn bod yr ymateb gan staff na gweithwyr meddygol proffesiynol i achosion o hunan-niweidio yn ddigonol nac yn cefnogi gwneud newid.

Meddai **Carly**, oedd yn 15 oed

"maen nhw'n meddwl mod i'n gwneud hyn i gael sylw... Maen nhw'n galw'r heddlu allan ata i... Y cyfan mae'r

heddlu'n gwneud yw mynd â fi i'r ysbyty, maen nhw'n fy rhyddhau i eto, felly beth yw pwynt mynd i'r ysbyty os nad ydyn nhw'n mynd i wneud unrhyw beth".

Yn ddiweddarach yn ei chyfweliad, dywedodd **Carly**

"Rydw i'n mynd yn grac ac yn torri fy hun, a dyw'r bobl fel staff ddim yno i fi, dydyn nhw ddim yn deall sut rydw i'n teimlo".

Disgrifiodd **Karen**, 15, hunan-niweidio fel ymateb i ddicter; a dweud

"Wi'n gwneud e ar fy mraich, ac rydw i wedi cael ofn go iawn dwy waith... Mae'r hunan-niweidio'n iawn nawr, mae'n dibynnu ydw i'n grac... tasen i'n wir grac byddwn i yn gwneud e".

Fe ddwedodd hi fod y fim yn y cartref yn ceisio'i helpu hi

"weithiau... Yr unig berson sy'n wir wedi trio fy helpu i yw [enw'r gweithiwr allweddol]".

Roedd rhai wedi cael profiad mwy cadarnhaol. Roedd **Carl** wedi dod o ofal maeth a llety â chymorth mewn ardal drefol, a hefyd wedi cael problemau gyda chamddefnyddio sylweddau. Soniodd am sut roedd bod mewn gofal preswyl wedi'i helpu i newid ei ymddygiad, a'i fod yn teimlo'n llawer mwy positif oherwydd yr ymyrraeth yma.

"Mae mwy o agwedd gadarnhaol yma, mae'n well achos dydw i ddim isie torri fy hun erbyn hyn, rydw i isie tyfu i fyny a chael tatŵ pan fydda i'n hŷn i guddio'r creithiau". Carl, 17.

Bu **Ben**, oedd yn 17 oed, hefyd yn sôn am effaith gweld rhywun arall yn hunan-niweidio yn y cartref. Roedd e wedi ceisio siarad â'r preswylydd arall i ddweud bod e ddim yn gwneud lles iddi, ond roedd hi wedi dal ati i hunan-niweidio. Dywedodd **Ben**

"roedd hi'n sleisio'i hun, ei garddynau, yr holl ffordd lan ei breichiau... Roedd hi'n sleisio top ei choesau. I fod yn onest, roedd gweld hynny'n ypsetio fi. Ar y pryd roeddwn i'n treio meddwl beth oedd wedi digwydd iddi hi".

Roedd **Noah** yn gweld hunan-niweidio fel rhywbeth 'anochel';

"Rydw i'n hunan-niweidio... Mae pawb yn gwneud e rywbyrd".

Mae hunan-niweidio yn bwnc eithriadol o bersonol ac anodd i berson ifanc ei drafod; doedd hi ddim yn eglur o'n sgysiaid ai'r rhain oedd yr unig bobl ifanc yr oedd hunan-niweidio'n broblem iddyn nhw, neu ai dyma'r unig rai oedd yn gallu siarad am eu profiadau.

Nododd y gweithwyr proffesiynol fod ymateb amrywiol i hunan-niweidio a rheoli risgiau hunan-niweidio. Mae hynny'n adlewyrchu canfyddiadau'r bobl ifanc yn ystod y gwaith maes. Er bod rhai pobl ifanc wedi cael profiadau cadarnhaol ac wedi gallu gweithio drwy hyn, roedd yn fater oedd yn destun pryder, ac yn un oedd yn effeithio ar drigolion eraill mewn ffyrdd nad ydynt o bosib yn cael eu cydnabod yn ddigonol.

Camfanteisio’n rhywiol ar blant

Ar sail trafodaethau cynnar fel rhan o’r adolygiad, barnwyd bod cyfatebiaeth gref rhwng risg camfanteisio’n rhywiol ar blant (CSE) a gofal preswyl, a bod pobl ifanc mewn cartrefi plant mewn mwy o berygl o ddioddef hynny.

Nid oedd ymwybyddiaeth o gamfanteisio rhywiol neu fod mewn sefyllfa fregus ymhlith aelodau o’r gymuned yn gyffredinol yn rhywbeth a ddaeth i’r amlwg yn y sgysiau gyda’r bobl ifanc. Efallai bod nifer o resymau am hyn, gan gynnwys y ffaith nad oedd pobl ifanc yn gwbl ymwybodol o’r risgiau roedden nhw’n eu hwynebu cyn dod i ofal, peidio â chytuno â’r asesiad risg, neu efallai’n syml eu bod nhw ddim am drafod hynny gyda gweithiwr proffesiynol arall.

Mae dyletswydd benodol o dan Erthygl 34 o CCUHP i amddiffyn plant rhag pob math o gam-drin a chamfanteisio rhywiol. Gall fod yn anodd sicrhau cydymffurfiaeth â dyletswyddau pan gaiff plant o awdurdodau yn Lloegr eu lleoli yng Nghymru (ac fel arall), ond bydd Cynllun Gweithredu newydd Cenedlaethol Cymru ynghylch Camfanteisio’n Rhywiol ar Blant yn golygu bod modd casglu data’n systematig i ddarparu adroddiadau cywir a chyson ynghylch amlygrwydd CSE ledled Cymru. Mae’r cam hwn i’w groesawu, a byddaf fi’n monitro’r datblygiadau hyn yn ofalus.

Roedd y gweithwyr proffesiynol y buon ni’n siarad â nhw yn gweld defnydd diogel o dechnoleg fel rhywbeth y dylai pobl ifanc gael addysg yn ei gylch. Mae hyn yn rhywbeth sy’n aml yn cael ei adrodd i fy swyddfa yng nghyswllt pob person ifanc, ond bydd yn arbennig o berthnasol i bobl ifanc sydd mewn perygl o ddioddef camfanteisio rhywiol a chael eu paratoi at ymddygiad o’r fath. Gall mynediad at gyswllt ar-lein fod yn anodd ei fonitro; roedd rhai cartrefi yr aethon ni iddyn nhw yn cyfyngu’r mynediad Wi-Fi i ardaloedd cymunedol yn unig, a doedd dim caniatâd i’r bobl ifanc gael ffonau symudol. Roedd peth cydnabyddiaeth ei bod hi’n afresymol disgwyl na fydd gan bobl ifanc gysylltiadau ar gyfryngau cymdeithasol, gan y gallen nhw ddefnyddio ffôn rhywun arall os nad oes ganddyn nhw eu ffôn eu hunain neu fynediad i’r rhyngrwyd. Mae’n bwysig, felly, helpu pobl ifanc i feithrin gwydnwch a dysgu sut mae cael perthnasoedd diogel. Mae dysgu sut mae gwerthuso a deall risg yn rhan o ddatblygiad a gwneud penderfyniadau.

Yng Ngogledd Cymru sylwyd yn aml fod awdurdodau lleol o Loegr, yn arbennig ardal Llundain, yn meddwl bod Wrecsam yn 'ardal wledig' ac yn chwilio am leoliadau yn i gadw'r plant allan o fywyd trefol. Mae canfyddiad hefyd fod lleoliadau yng Ngogledd Cymru yn cael eu defnyddio'n ffordd i 'symud' pobl ifanc o sefyllfaoedd peryglus, gan gynnwys camfanteisio rhywiol. Roedd y gweithwyr proffesiynol yn cytuno nad yw hyn yn cydnabod natur y risg, gan gynnwys cysylltiadau ar-lein a grwpiau o bobl sydd â chysylltiadau ar draws y wlad. Mae hefyd yn rhoi'r person ifanc sy'n cael ei symud o'r ardal gartref dan anfantais mewn 'ymateb' i'r risg sy'n cael ei wynebu. Gall hyn fod yn anodd i'r person ifanc ei ddeall, yn enwedig os nad ydyn nhw'n sylweddoli beth yw'r risg neu os nad ydyn nhw am dderbyn y lefelau risg a aseswyd. Ar ben hynny, barnwyd bod lleoli unigolion gyda'i gilydd, os oedd ganddyn nhw faterion risg uchel tebyg fel camfanteisio rhywiol, yn ychwanegu at y ffactorau risg.

Y prif thema oedd yn codi'n gyson yn ystod y trafodaethau ynghylch diogelu oedd diffyg rhannu gwybodaeth pan wneir lleoliad y tu allan i'r sir. Y teimlad oedd bod pob asiantaeth 'dan anfantais' ar unwaith os nad yw'r rheoliadau'n cael eu dilyn, ac mai'r cyswllt cyntaf byddan nhw'n ei gael gyda pherson ifanc fydd ar ôl i rywbeth ddigwydd. Mae ôl-traed amrywiol asiantaethau megis yr Heddlu, lechyd ac Addysg yng Nghymru hefyd yn golygu bod rhannu gwybodaeth yn anoddach, ond ni ddylent atal hynny rhag digwydd yn wyneb pwysigrwydd amlwg gwneud hynny.

Crynodeb o'r adran diogelu

Fe wnaethon ni nodi rhai datblygiadau cadarnhaol o ran y berthynas rhwng swyddogion yr Heddlu a chartrefi plant unigol. Ym marn y darparwyr gofal preswyl roedd y ffaith bod gan yr Heddlu gydlynedd personau coll yn brofiad cadarnhaol. Fe gawson ni adroddiadau gan bobl ifanc a gweithwyr proffesiynol, gan gynnwys yr Heddlu, yn sôn am anghysondebau o ran pryd mae'r Heddlu'n cael eu galw a'r math o ddigwyddiadau sy'n arwain at gyhuddiadau ffurfiol. Adroddodd mwyafrif y bobl ifanc eu bod yn teimlo'n ddiogel, er nad oedd ambell un yn teimlo'n ddiogel o amgylch rhai pobl ifanc eraill yn eu cartref. Adroddodd y gweithwyr proffesiynol ei bod hi'n fwy anodd cadw pobl ifanc yn ddiogel pan fydden nhw'n cyrraedd o ardal arall heb i fawr ddim gwybodaeth gael ei rhannu gydag asiantaethau lleol ynghylch yr amddiffyniad y gallai fod ei angen arnyn nhw. Bu nifer o bobl ifanc yn rhannu profiadau o hunan-niweidio, ac roedden nhw a'r staff yn adrodd nad yw hynny bob amser yn cael ymateb cyson.

Perthnasoedd

Bydd llawer o bobl ifanc sydd mewn gofal preswyl wedi cael profiadau gwael o ran eu perthynas gyda theulu, gofalwyr a gweithwyr proffesiynol. Bydd rhai wedi cael eu gwahanu mewn modd trawmatig oddi wrth eu prif ofalwyr, a bydd rhai wedi dioddef profedigaeth. Mae eu perthynas â phobl eraill felly'n agwedd hanfodol ar y gofal sydd ei angen ar bobl ifanc. Efallai bydd angen iddyn nhw ddysgu sut mae ymddiried mewn pobl eraill, a sut mae rheoli ffiniau gyda'r rhai a allai gael effaith negyddol arnyn nhw.

Ymweliadau a chadw mewn cysylltiad â'r teulu

Mae cyswllt â'r teulu yn bwysig i bobl ifanc ac roedd y gweithwyr proffesiynol yn cytuno y dylid parhau i adolygu'r gallu i gynnal cyswllt ystyrlon â'u teulu. Roedd y gweithwyr proffesiynol yn cyfeirio at y ffaith bod rhieni o bosib heb fedru diwallu anghenion gofal plentyn ifanc, ond y gallen nhw o bosib gynnig rhyw fath o rôl gefnogi pan fyddai person ifanc yn gadael gofal, yn 16 oed neu'n hŷn. Soniodd y gweithwyr proffesiynol y gall fod yn anodd cynnal perthnasoedd heb gefnogaeth unwaith bydd y person ifanc yn 18 os yw'r cyswllt â rhieni wedi bod yn gyfyngedig a than oruchwyliath. Cyfeiriodd y gweithwyr proffesiynol droeon at gynnwys aelodau o'r teulu tra bod pobl ifanc mewn gofal, a'u hannog i weithio'n rhagweithiol gyda gwasanaethau; byddai hynny'n sicrhau mwy o ffocws ar y canlyniadau tymor hwy i berson ifanc, yn arbennig os byddan nhw'n dychwelyd adref yn 18 oed.

Mae cludiant cyhoeddus anghyson mewn ardaloedd gwledig a'r pellter o'r cartref yn gallu golygu ei bod hi'n anodd i deuluoedd gadw mewn cysylltiad. Gwelwyd Skype a Facetime⁸ hefyd fel ffyrdd cadarnhaol o hybu cyswllt lle roedd hynny'n briodol; gall dawelu meddwl aelodau o'r teulu sy'n byw'n bell i ffwrdd, ac mae pobl ifanc wedi gallu dangos eu hamgylchedd byw i'r teulu hyd yn oed os na fedran nhw ddod i'w weld drostynt eu hunain. Nododd rhai gweithwyr proffesiynol hefyd y gall cyswllt â'r teulu amharu ar y profiad o fyw mewn gofal, gan ei fod yn gallu tanseilio'r lleoliad, a gall ymddygiad ddirywio.

Roedd cyswllt â brodyr a chwiorydd yn cael ei ystyried yn bwysig, ond yn aml yn cael ei ddiystyru. Y farn oedd bod angen cynllunio'r cyswllt a'i wneud yn ystyrlon, yn hytrach na bod yn rhywbeth sy'n digwydd er ei fwyn ei hun yn unig.

Perthnasoedd â gweithwyr cymdeithasol a gweithwyr proffesiynol eraill

Roedd profiadau amrywiol o'r berthynas â gweithwyr cymdeithasol, gyda rhai pobl ifanc yn adrodd am berthnasoedd da, ac eraill fel petaen nhw braidd yn nabod eu gweithiwr cymdeithasol.

Soniodd mwyafrif y bobl ifanc am weld eu gweithiwr cymdeithasol yn rheolaidd, a'u bod fel arfer yn gallu cysylltu â nhw'n weddol hawdd. Dywedodd rhai fod cyflawni newid yn cymryd amser hir, a dywedodd **Noah** nad yw wir yn siarad â'i weithiwr cymdeithasol,

“dyw hi ddim yn gwneud yr ymdrech byddwn i'n disgwyl iddi wneud”.

Roedd **William** newydd gael ei ben-blwydd yn 16 pan gwrddon ni ag e, ac roedd yn aros i weithiwr cymdeithasol newydd gael ei ddyrannu. Doedd e ddim yn siŵr ai'r rheswm am hynny oedd oherwydd ei fod yn symud i'r ffin 16+, neu bod e wedi digwydd fel mae'r pethau yma, o bosib oherwydd bod staff wedi symud. Roedd e hefyd yn disgwyl am ganlyniad chwilio am leoliad maeth, i symud ymlaen o ofal preswyl, ond roedd hynny'n cymryd peth amser, a doedd e ddim wir yn gwybod beth oedd yn mynd i ddigwydd na phryd. Dyma oedd ei agwedd:

“Rydw i'n cymryd un dydd ar y tro. Alla i ddim gwneud cynlluniau'n hawdd, achos mae rhaid i fi aros i gael fy mharu, a dwy ddim yn gwybod pryd bydd hynny'n digwydd”. William, 16.

⁸ Dau ddull cyfathrebu fideo, drwy ddefnyddio ffôn symudol neu ddyfais electronig gyfrifiadurol.

Hawliau Plant mewn gofal preswyl yng Nghymru

Byddai **Marc**, oedd yn 15, yn cael ei ben-blwydd yn 16 ymhen ychydig fisoedd, roedd yn gwybod y byddai'n trosglwyddo i dîm 16+, ac roedd yn teimlo bod ei weithiwr cymdeithasol presennol wedi peidio ag ymgysylltu ag e oherwydd hynny. Fe soniodd pa mor **“wych”** roedd hi wedi bod hyd at hynny, ac y byddai hi'n ceisio sicrhau unrhyw beth roedd e'n gofyn amdano iddo, a hi oedd ei weithiwr cymdeithasol ers pan oedd e'n 8 oed.

Dywedodd staff cartrefi oedd yng ngofal yr awdurdod lleol eu bod nhw'n tueddu i adnabod y gweithwyr cymdeithasol a'r gweithwyr cefnogi'n dda, gan fod y lleoliadau'n tueddu i ddod o'u hawdurdodau eu hun neu awdurdodau lleol cymdogol. Roedden nhw hefyd yn gallu cysylltu â gweithwyr cymdeithasol trwy restrau e-bost mewnol, ac fe sonion nhw fod hynny'n fuddiol, yn arbennig pan fyddai angen gwneud penderfyniadau cymharol fyr rybudd.

Ychydig iawn o gartrefi oedd â gwybodaeth am MEIC neu wasanaethau eiriolaeth⁹ ar eu hysbysfwrdd. Roedd gan fwyafrif y cartrefi hysbysfyrddau gyda rotâu wythnosol, manylion diwrnodau agored mewn colegau

a gwybodaeth leol. Er mai ychydig iawn oedd yn cynnwys manylion gwasanaethau eiriolaeth, pan godwyd hynny yn ystod cyfweiliadau, roedd y bobl ifanc yn amlwg yn gwybod sut a phryd gallen nhw gael mynediad at eiriolydd. **Sienna** oedd yr unig un ddywedodd wrthyn ni fod ganddi ymwelydd annibynnol¹⁰ (yr un person â'i heiriolydd).

Roedd pobl ifanc yn ymwybodol o'u Swyddog Adolygu Annibynnol (IRO)¹¹ a'r rôl y dylai'r person yma ei chyflawni. Fe sonion nhw'n gadarnhaol am eu trafodaethau gyda'r IROs, sut roedden nhw'n esbonio pethau ac yn symud materion ymlaen ar eu rhan, hyd yn oed os nad oedden nhw bob amser yn cael beth roedden nhw eisiau o adolygiadau.

Roedd gweithwyr proffesiynol o'r farn ei bod hi'n bwysig peidio â chynnal cyfarfodydd proffesiynol yn y cartref, oherwydd mai'r **“cartref yw'r cartref”**. Nododd gweithwyr proffesiynol hefyd y gall nifer yr ymwelwyr â phobl ifanc sydd mewn gofal ymddangos yn eithafol; **“Nid sw yw cartref”**.

Staff mewn cartrefi plant

Roedd mwyafrif y bobl ifanc yn teimlo bod o leiaf un person yn y cartref y gallen nhw siarad â nhw petai ganddyn nhw bryderon neu rywbeth yn eu poeni. Fe sonion nhw fod dilyniant dull gweithredu gwahanol staff yn helpu hyn; dywedodd rhai pobl ifanc y byddai'r ymateb yn amrywio yn ôl wrth bwy byddech chi'n dweud. Serch hynny, dywedodd **Suzanne**, oedd yn 13 oed,

“maen nhw i gyd â gofal amdanoch chi... gallwch chi drystio'r bobl yma, os byddwch chi eisiau dweud cyfrinach wrthyn nhw, gallwch chi ddibynnu arnyn nhw”.

⁹ Mae eiriolydd yn helpu person ifanc i gyflwyno barn mewn cyfarfodydd neu yng nghyswllt penderfyniadau am eu cynllun gofal. Gwasanaeth ffôn yw MEIC sy'n gallu cinghori pobl ifanc a'u cyfeirio at wasanaethau addas i'w helpu, gan gynnwys gwasanaethau eiriolaeth.

¹⁰ Ymwelydd annibynnol yw rhywun sy'n cael ei benodi gan yr awdurdod lleol, ond nad yw'n cael ei gyflogi ganddyn nhw, i 'ofalu' am blentyn sy'n cael anhawster cadw mewn cysylltiad â'r teulu pan fydd yn byw allan o'r ardal gartref. Mae'r rôl hon yn cael ei hadolygu gan Lywodraeth Cymru ar hyn o bryd.

¹¹ Mae Swyddogion Adolygu Annibynnol yn cadeirio cyfarfodydd rheolaidd i edrych ar gynlluniau gofal plant sy'n derbyn gofal, ac mae gofyn eu bod nhw'n herio gweithwyr proffesiynol os nad yw'r cynlluniau'n symud ymlaen yn ôl y disgwyl, neu os nad yw'r canlyniadau ar gyfer plentyn penodol yn cael eu cyflawni.

Roedd **Ben**, 17 oed, yn gadarnhaol iawn am y staff yn ei gartref, ac yn dweud

“maen nhw’n rhoi cyfle arall i chi lanhau’r dudalen rydych chi eisoes wedi cychwyn arni a gwneud pethau gwell”.

Roedd **Lincoln**, 10 oed, hefyd yn teimlo bod y staff “â llawer o ofal amdanon ni” ac fe ddwedodd ei fod e’n hoffi’r cartref a’r staff.

“mater o beth sydd yn y galon yw e, mae rhai pobl yn gwneud e i gael arian, mae rhai pobl yn gwneud e oherwydd eu calon... mae [enw’r gweithiwr] yn gofalu am bawb yn ei ffordd ei hun”... “mae e fel Tad”. Carl, 17.

Roedd y berthynas â staff yn bwysig, yn arbennig lle nad oedd cyswllt â’r teulu. Roedd **Karen**, 15 oed, yn teimlo bod ei theulu wedi’i gwrthod a dyw hi ddim yn eu gweld o gwbl. Ond roedd hi’n beirniadu rhai o’r staff am beidio â rhoi sylw digonol i’r bobl ifanc.

“Os bydda i’n dweud wrth aelod o staff neu beth bynnag dewch i wyllo ffil, maen nhw’n eistedd yno ar eu ffonau, heb wneud dim byd gyda ni... dydw i ddim yn meddwl bod hynny’n deg... rydw i wedi dweud hynny wrthyn nhw, ac maen nhw’n dweud “Fy ffôn i yw e, wi’n gallu mynd arno fe”.

Dywedodd y bobl ifanc y bydden nhw’n gofyn i breswylwyr eraill os oedd ganddyn nhw gwestiynau, a bod hynny’n osgoi gofyn i’r staff drwy’r amser. Ar ben hynny, soniodd nifer o bobl ifanc hŷn am geisio siarad ag eraill yn y cartref petaen nhw’n sylwi eu bod nhw’n bryderus neu wedi ypsetio, ac roedd hynny’n digwydd yn arbennig yn y cartrefi mwy.

Roedd y bobl ifanc hefyd yn gwerthfawrogi gweithwyr allweddol oedd yn rhannu gwybodaeth gyda nhw am eu profiadau eu hunain.

“rydych chi’n teimlo eu bod nhw’n gwybod beth maen nhw’n ei wneud a sut mae delio gydag unrhyw sefyllfa, felly hyd yn oed os yw e’n newydd i chi ac rydych chi’n meddwl ei fod yn rhywbeth unigryw, byddan nhw’n gwybod beth i’w wneud, neu fel arall, pwy ddylech chi holi. Mae gwybod rhai o’u sgiliau a’u profiadau bywyd yn golygu ei bod hi’n haws trystio nhw, ac yn golygu eich bod chi’n gallu dechrau creu perthynas”. Jonathan, 15.

Barn debyg oedd gan **Megan**, 13 oed;

“Mae’r staff yma’n gyfarwydd â phobl fel ni, yn fwy nag mewn lleoliadau eraill... Dyw rhai pobl ddim yn gwybod sut i ddelio da chi”.

Roedd hi’n hyderus y byddai’r staff yn gofalu amdani, ac yn dweud

“rydych chi’n cael sicrwydd mawr gan bawb, ac yn gwybod eich bod chi yn y dwylo gorau posib”.

Roedd dilyniant y staff yn cael effaith fawr ar y bobl ifanc. Mewn un ymweliad grŵp roedd pennaeth yr ysgol ar y safle wedi ymadael yn ddiweddar ac roedden nhw’n aros i un newydd gael ei benodi. Roedd y bobl ifanc yn rhan o’r broses gyfweld ac asesu ar gyfer pennaeth newydd, ond roedden nhw’n teimlo’n ansfydlog heb aelod o staff yn y swydd. Fel arfer, bydden nhw wedi dweud bod yr ysgol yn cael 8 neu 9 allan o 10, ond ar hyn o bryd dim ond 5 allan o 10 bydden nhw’n ei roi iddi oherwydd yr amharu ar y sefyllfa. Meddai **David**, oedd yn 16 oed,

“mae’n teimlo fel eu bod nhw’n gadael oherwydd ni. Maen nhw’n dweud mai dewis gyrfa yw e, ond rydw i wedi gweld sawl aelod o staff yn mynd, sy’n fy ngwneud i’n anesmwyth”.

Teimlai ambell berson ifanc fod y staff weithiau’n gorymateb i bethau bach -

“maen nhw’n gorymateb i bethau bach iawn, mae’n rhwystredig iawn... i bethau rydych chi’n dweud wrth eich ffrindiau... Mae angen iddyn nhw adael i rai pethau fynd”. William, 16.

“peidiwch â gadael i’ch problemau a’ch straen chi effeithio ar y plant”. Andrew, 17.

Roedden nhw’n teimlo bod hyn yn golygu ei bod hi’n anodd cael sefyllfa sefydlog yn y cartref, a doedden nhw ddim yn meddwl bod hynny’n adlewyrchu beth fyddai’n digwydd mewn cartref teuluol.

Ar y llaw arall, roedd **Gemma**, 14 oed, yn disgrifio ei gweithwyr allweddol fel “parod iawn i faddau”; roedd hi wedi rhegi ar un ohonyn nhw’n ddiweddar, ond wedi sylweddoli ei bod hi ar fai ac wedi ymddiheuro, a chafodd y mater ei anghofio’n fuan. Roedd Gemma’n teimlo y dylai fod gan staff sgiliau gwrandda yn bendant.

Rôl y gweithiwr allweddol

Roedd gweithwyr allweddol sy’n rhoi gofal ac yn dangos eu gofal yn thema gref oedd yn codi’n gyson gan lawer o’r bobl ifanc. Roedd un person ifanc yn arbennig yn frwd iawn am y system gweithwyr allweddol, ac yn meddwl dylai fod gan bawb weithiwr allweddol, p’un a oedden nhw mewn gofal neu beidio.

“tase gweithiwr allweddol di bod gen i yn y gorffennol, byddai wedi fy stopio rhag symud mlaen, mae’n dy helpu di i esbonio dy deimladau” Phoebe, 13.

Roedd y bobl ifanc yn hoffi bod eu gweithwyr allweddol yn rhannu rhai o’u hoff a chas bethau gan fod hynny’n golygu bod ganddyn nhw bethau i drafod ac yn gwneud gweithgareddau’n fwy pleserus. Soniodd un person ifanc hefyd am gymryd amser i ddyrannu gweithiwr allweddol arbennig er mwyn sicrhau paru da; roedd hynny’n bwysig er mwyn i’r person ifanc a’r gweithiwr allweddol gael lleisio barn.

Roedd cefnogaeth gweithwyr allweddol yn codi fel thema gyson ar hyd y cyfweiliadau, nid dim ond yng nghyswllt beth fyddai'n gwneud gwahaniaeth iddyn nhw. Roedd pobl ifanc oedd wedi profi anawsterau, boed hynny ym myd addysg neu o ran camddefnyddio sylweddau neu hunan-niweidio, yn dweud bod y gweithwyr allweddol a lynodd wrthyn nhw, heb eu siomi, a'u hanogodd nhw i newid eu bywydau, neu oedd yn deall eu profiadau, ac yn eu gwrthio i ymdrechu am rywbeth gwell, yn ffactor hollbwysig i gyflawni'r newidiadau hynny. Roedden nhw'n teimlo bod gweithwyr allweddol yn unigryw yn hyn o beth o'u cymharu ag unrhyw weithwyr eraill roedden nhw'n ymwybodol ohonynt, gan fod ganddyn nhw amser a chyfle i weithio trwy rywbeth gyda'i gilydd.

"Mae [enw'r gweithiwr allweddol] yn haeddu gwobr, mae wedi glynu gyda fi... pan oeddwn i'n mynd trwy'r broses ddiddynfnu (withdrawal) roedd wrth fy ochr, ac wedi aros gyda fi pan doedd eraill ddim eisiau gwybod".

Andrew, 17.

Gweithwyr allweddol yw'r bobl sy'n nabod y bobl ifanc orau, ond roedd rhwystredigaeth ymhlith y rhai buon ni'n siarad â nhw eu bod nhw'n aml ddim yn cael eu gweld fel awdurdod mewn cyfarfodydd strategaeth neu amlasiantaeth.

Crynodeb o'r adran ar berthnasoedd

I'r bobl ifanc y siaradon ni â nhw, roedd y staff yn eu cartrefi plant ymhlith y pwysicaf iddyn nhw, ac adroddwyd am lawer o brofiadau cadarnhaol o ran agwedd ofalgar a deallwriaeth a pherthynas gadarnhaol gyda gweithwyr allweddol. I bobl ifanc sydd wedi wynebu llawer o newid, gall trosiant staff achosi llawer o anesmwythyd. Roedd eu perthynas â'r teulu weithiau'n anodd ei chynnal, yn enwedig pan oedd y bobl ifanc yn byw ymhell o'u cartref ac mewn ardaloedd gwledig. Mae llawer o blant yn dychwelyd adref o ofal preswyl, felly mae cynnal y berthynas honno, a dysgu ei rheoli os yw hi'n un anodd, yn hanfodol. Mae'r berthynas â gweithwyr cymdeithasol a gweithwyr proffesiynol eraill yn gymysg, gyda rhai profiadau cadarnhaol, ond hefyd beth rhwystredigaeth ynghylch peidio â chlywed gan weithwyr cymdeithasol am benderfyniadau pwysig mewn modd amserol. Roedd yr holl bobl ifanc y sonion ni wrthyn nhw am eiriolaeth yn gwybod sut mae cysylltu ag eiriolydd, oedd yn ganfyddiad cadarnhaol.

Diwedd glo

Mae'r sgysiau a gafwyd yn ystod yr adolygiad hwn wedi darparu gwybodaeth bwysig a safbwyntiau ynghylch hawliau pobl ifanc sy'n byw mewn gofal preswyl yng Nghymru. Gall gofal preswyl fod yn lle cadarnhaol i blant a phobl ifanc, ac mae hynny'n digwydd. Roedd yn dda clywed am rai agweddau cadarnhaol iawn ar ofal preswyl gan bobl ifanc ac eraill. Soniodd sawl un am sut roedd eu cartref plant yn eu helpu i setlo lawr, i ymgysylltu ag addysg neu hyfforddiant, ac i gadw allan o drwbl. Cafwyd rhai adroddiadau cadarnhaol iawn ynghylch ansawdd bywyd pob dydd a pherthynas dda gyda'r staff. Wedi pryderon lawer dros y blynyddoedd ynghylch mynediad i eiriolaeth annibynnol, roedd yn dda clywed gan y bobl ifanc eu bod yn gallu siarad ag eiriolwr os byddai angen.

**Serch hynny, codwyd nifer o faterion
a all fod â goblygiadau difrifol i'r grŵp
bregus hwn o bobl ifanc os na fyddant
yn derbyn sylw fel blaenoriaeth. Bydda
i'n crynhoi'r rhain nesaf, ac yn cyflwyno
argymhelliad ar gyfer pob un ohonynt.
Gwneir yr argymhellion hyn gan ymarfer
pwerau adolygu swyddogaethau
Comisiynydd Plant Cymru o dan Ddeddf
Safonau Gofal 2000.**

Argymhelliad 1

Mae rhai pobl ifanc yn adrodd nad ydynt yn cael digon o wybodaeth am benderfyniadau sy'n cael eu gwneud am eu gofal a'u dyfodol. Nid ydynt bob amser yn gwybod llawer am y cartref plant cyn dod i fyw yno. Barn yw hon a rennir gan rai o'r staff gofal.

Yn unol ag Erthyglau 12 ac 13 o CCUHP ac egwyddorion mwy o lais a rheolaeth o dan Ddeddf Gwasanaethau Cymdeithasol a Llesiant (Cymru) 2014, rwy'n argymhell bod gweithwyr cymdeithasol, eu rheolwyr, swyddogion adolygu annibynnol a staff cartrefi plant yn mynd ati'n weithredol i gynnwys pobl ifanc mewn penderfyniadau ynghylch y gofal a'r gefnogaeth a gânt, yn ogystal ag wrth ddatblygu sut mae'r gwasanaethau'n gweithredu. Rwyf hefyd yn argymhell bod Llywodraeth Cymru neu WLGA yn dod â chanllawiau arfer da at ei gilydd er mwyn hybu'r amcan hwn.

Argymhelliad 2

Mae pryderon nad yw cynllunio'n digwydd ymlaen llaw pan leolir plant mewn cartrefi plant y tu allan i'w hardal leol, ac nad oes gwybodaeth am eu hanghenion yn cael ei darparu i asiantaethau fel yr Heddlu a gofal iechyd, gan gynnwys gofal iechyd meddwl. Adroddir bod hyn yn bryder arbennig pan fydd pobl ifanc yn dod o ardaloedd rhai awdurdodau lleol yn Lloegr. Mae Côd Ymarfer Rhan 6 o dan Ddeddf Gwasanaethau Cymdeithasol a Llesiant (Cymru) 2014 yn gosod cyfrifoldeb clir ar yr awdurdod lleoli sy'n creu'r lleoliad i gynnal cyfarfodydd cynllunio ymlaen llaw gydag ardal yr awdurdod lleol fydd yn derbyn, gan gynnwys cynrychiolwyr addysg a iechyd, a rhoi gwybod i'r bwrdd iechyd yn yr ardal dderbyn, yn ogystal â Chydlynnydd Addysg PDG yr ardal dderbyn, pan wneir lleoliad. Dylid nodi nad oedd y Ddeddf mewn grym pan gyflawnwyd mwyafrif y gwaith maes, ond carwn atgoffa pob awdurdod lleol yng Nghymru ynghylch eu dyletswyddau newydd o dan y Ddeddf. Mae datblygu llwybr hysbysu am iechyd i Gymru Gyfan yng nghyswllt lleoliadau y tu allan i'r ardal yn gam i'w groesawu tuag at rannu mwy o wybodaeth, a hynny'n gynt. Mae'r dyletswyddau hysbysu yng Nghymru yn mynd ymhellach na'r rhai yn y Rheoliadau cyfatebol yn Lloegr, felly ar sail y negeseuon cryf sy'n dod i'r amlwg yn yr adroddiad hwn, rwy'n cefnogi'n llawn argymhelliad 4.4 yn adroddiad yr Arglwydd Laming, 'In Care, Out of Trouble', sef bod rhaid newid canllawiau statudol Lloegr i ymgorffori gofynion Côd Ymarfer Rhan 6 o Ddeddf Gwasanaethau Cymdeithasol a Llesiant (Cymru).

Rwyf hefyd wedi mynegi pryder wrth Gomisiynydd Plant Lloegr ynghylch llesiant plant sy'n cael eu lleoli o Loegr heb fawr ddim cynllunio ymlaen llaw ar gyfer y gefnogaeth mae arnyn nhw ei hangen.

Rwy'n argymhell bod AGGCC yn ystyried cynnwys, yn ei fframwaith arolygu ar gyfer cartrefi plant, asesiad i weld a yw darparwyr yn derbyn pobl ifanc i'w gofal cyn iddynt dderbyn cefnogaeth ddigonol ac i asiantaethau lleol gael gwybod amdanynt.

Argymhelliad 3

Ymddengys bod rhai cartrefi gofal yn fwy tebygol nag eraill o alw'r Heddlu pan fydd y plant yn difrodi eiddo neu'n dreisgar. Mae rhai wedi datblygu perthynas gref gyda'r Heddlu lleol, ac mae'r Heddlu'n gallu cefnogi'r penderfyniadau a wneir a meithrin perthnasoedd rhagweithiol gyda phobl ifanc. Rwy'n argymhell bod AGGCC, darparwyr, comisiynwyr a'r Heddlu yn ystyried y ffordd orau o ddiogelu plant ac atal troseddoli diangen, gan lunio dull gweithredu cytunedig trwy ddatblygu rheoliadau o dan Ddeddf Rheoleiddio ac Arolygu Gofal Cymdeithasol (Cymru) 2016 a diwygio'r Fframwaith Arolygu ar gyfer cartrefi plant yng Nghymru. Yn arbennig, bydd hyfforddiant ar ddulliau gweithredu cyson, monitro'r ymwneud â'r Heddlu a chymryd camau dilynol yn achos materion sy'n codi droeon yn berthnasol.

Argymhelliad 4

Mynegodd llawer o weithwyr proffesiynol bryderon cryf ynghylch yr opsiynau tai a chymorth sydd ar gael i bobl ifanc ar ôl cyrraedd 18 oed. Nid oedd un dyn ifanc 17 oed a 10 mis yn gwybod ble byddai e'n byw ymhen deufis. Rwy'n argymhell bod Llywodraeth Cymru'n edrych ar estyn y cynllun Pan fydda i'n Barod i bob plentyn sydd mewn gofal, nid dim ond y rhai mewn lleoliadau gofal maeth, er mwyn sicrhau mynediad cyfartal i bawb i gefnogaeth barhaus.

Rwyf hefyd yn argymhell bod Llywodraeth Cymru'n ystyried estyn cefnogaeth i bawb sy'n gadael gofal hyd at 25 oed. Mae'n bwysig ymdrin ag ansawdd ac ystod yr opsiynau llety ar gyfer y rhai sy'n gadael gofal a phobl ifanc eraill rhwng 16 a 25 oed sy'n agored i niwed. Ar ben hynny, dylai fod gan awdurdodau lleol ddulliau gweithredu cyson ar gyfer diwallu anghenion llety a chefnogaeth rhai sy'n gadael gofal.

Mae angen bod gennym ni ddealltwriaeth glir o'r cyfraniad y gall Gofal Preswyl ei wneud a'r hyn rydyn ni'n gobeithio ei gyflawni i bobl ifanc yng Nghymru.

Mae eglurder pwrpas gofal preswyl yn allweddol. Mae angen sicrhau diffiniad clir a dealltwriaeth i awdurdodau lleol, pobl ifanc a'u teuluoedd o ran yr hyn sy'n cael ei gynnig, boed hynny'n gartref diogel, yn ddarpariaeth therapiwtig, neu'n addysg, ac mae rhaid bod ansawdd gofal rhagorol ym mhob cartref.

Rydw i'n edrych ymlaen at drafod yr adroddiad hwn gyda phawb sy'n gyfrifol am ddarparu, comisiynu a rheoleiddio cartrefi plant a chyda Llywodraeth Cymru. Fy ngweledigaeth i yw Cymru a fydd yn dod yn rhywle lle mae gan bob plentyn gyfle cyfartal i fod y gorau gallan nhw. Fel arfer, mae cyfleoedd pobl ifanc mewn gofal preswyl wedi bod yn anghyfartal iawn yn eu bywydau hyd yma, ac mae angen i ni i gyd weithio gyda'n gilydd i roi cyfle mwy cyfartal iddyn nhw ar gyfer dyfodol gwell.



0808 801 1000 / 80800*
post@complantcymru.org.uk

* Tecstiwch ni am ddim (gan ddechrau eich neges â COM)

@complantcymru
facebook.com/childcomwales
www.complantcymru.org.uk

Ysgrifennwch:

Comisiynydd Plant Cymru
Rhdbost RRGL XLYC BHGC
Abertawe SA7 9FS





The Right Care

Children's rights in residential care in Wales

Acknowledgements

I would like to say thank you to all of the young people and adults who contributed to this report, especially those organisations who facilitated the valuable discussions and interviews that we were able to undertake. I am particularly grateful to Dr Dolores Davey, an independent researcher who led on interview design, fieldwork and analysis. Her expertise in undertaking research with young people has been greatly appreciated by me and my team. My policy officer Rachel Thomas led the project within my team.

**This report is available in alternative
formats by phoning us on 01792
765600 or emailing us at
post@childcomwales.org.uk**

The Right Care

Children's rights in residential care in Wales

The Children's Commissioner for Wales	4
Introduction by Sally Holland, Children's Commissioner	6
A note on terminology: Not 'LACKing' in anything!	11
Findings	12
Young people's overview of residential care	13
Participation	16
Preparation and expectations	17
Placement Choice	17
Language choices	18
Day to day decision making	19
Review meetings	20
Summary of Participation section	21
Provision	22
Health	23
Education	23
Moving on	25
Summary of Provision Section	27
Protection	28
Police	29
Safety	31
Self-harm	32
Child sexual exploitation	32
Summary of Protection section	35
Relationships	36
Visiting and maintaining contact with family	37
Relationships with social workers and other professionals	37
Staff in children's homes	38
The keyworker role	40
Summary of Relationships section	41
Conclusion	42



The Children's Commissioner for Wales

The Children's Commissioner for Wales wants to see Wales as a country where children and young people are respected, valued, listened to and supported to lead safe and happy lives. Her vision is for a Wales where all children and young people have an equal chance to be the best that they can be.

The Commissioner promotes the rights of children and young people under the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child (UNCRC).

There's a team of people who work with Sally Holland, the Children's Commissioner, to help her:

- **support children and young people to find out about children's rights**
- **listen to children and young people to find out what's important to them**
- **advise children, young people and those who care for them if they feel they've got nowhere else to go with their problems**
- **influence government and other organisations who say they're going to make a difference to children's lives, making sure they keep their promises to children and young people**
- **speak up for children and young people nationally on important issues – being the children's champion in Wales.**

The Children's Commissioner's work targets children's rights issues at 3 levels.

1. Underpinning policies and laws for children's rights– working to influence and change these so that they fulfil the Welsh Government's commitment to putting children's rights at the centre.

2. Effective implementation of these policies and laws throughout Wales and for all groups of children – monitoring how children's rights are fulfilled, promoting best practice and challenging those who do not respect rights.

3. Children and young people's experiences and outcomes – listening to children and young people and seeking real changes in their everyday quality of life and equal chances to fulfil their potential

Where required, the Commissioner uses the powers set out for her in legislation to hold Government and public bodies to account on how they deliver human rights to children and young people.

You can find and download copies of all our reports on our website: www.childcomwales.org.uk/publications

INTRODUCTION by Sally Holland

Children's Commissioner for Wales

**“ I wanna stay until
hopefully the sun comes
out and gives me a nice life ”**

This was a comment made during an interview with Phoebe, aged 13¹. She has been in local authority care since she was 6 months old and has had more than 25 care placements, including foster care and residential placements. Her comment reminds us that many young people living in residential care have had difficult life experiences and need support and care in order to experience the quality of life that all children and young people in Wales deserve (in Phoebe's words a 'nice life'). The UNCRC proclaims the rights for all children to be cared for and protected. Importantly, it recognises that some groups of children require additional safeguards. Article 20 states that children who cannot be looked after by their family have a right to special care and must be looked after properly.

¹ Participants' exact words are used; the gender and ages are recorded but pseudonyms have been used to protect their anonymity.

Residential care settings, or children's homes, have suffered over the years from a perception of being a 'last resort' for young people in care, potentially dangerous and extremely expensive. More recently, in England, it has become known that some young people living in children's homes are targeted by abusers from organised gangs, furthering the perception of homes as risky environments. My own office was set up in 2001 after the Waterhouse Inquiry into abuse in children's homes revealed the lack of an independent champion to look after the rights and interests of looked after children across Wales.

What we hear far less often are accounts of the everyday experiences of young people living in residential care. This includes young people who regard their children's home as the place they want to be, with people who care for them. Many will be leading successful lives in their local communities, succeeding educationally, as volunteers and as members of community groups. Others may have more mixed experiences, face many challenges in their everyday lives and may wish they were living elsewhere.

In this report I present a wide variety of experiences, including the views of 34 young people living in children's homes in Wales, plus those of carers and relevant professionals such as social services managers, police and health professionals. This report complements current research and analysis by the Care and Social Services Inspectorate Wales (CSSIW) and the Care Council for Wales who are examining information on children's care pathways and workforce needs and experiences².

² Elliott, M., Staples, E. and Scourfield, J. 2016. Residential Care in Wales: The characteristics of children and young people placed in residential settings. Cardiff. Care Council for Wales

I hope to show that children's homes have an important place in providing the right care for small numbers of young people in Wales. I also wish to highlight some real challenges that must be tackled. Firstly, some are reporting that they are not getting their full rights to receive information, be listened to and have their views taken into account when important decisions about their care are being made. This includes decisions about where they will live and contact arrangements with family. Secondly, there is the lack of preparation by local services when young people come to live in a home without proper planning, often from across the border in England. Thirdly, there is the issue of criminalisation of young people for behaviours that would be managed differently in a family home. Lastly there is the stark contrast between the level of care and protection offered to these young people up to the age of 18, and that available to them as young adults leaving their children's home.

By presenting their experiences I want to reinvigorate a national debate about the purpose of residential care placements and their place in the wider picture of local authority care. I make a small number of recommendations at the end of the report and look forward to discussing these with Welsh Government and those who commission, inspect and regulate children's homes in Wales.



Sally Holland
Children's Commissioner
for Wales

METHODOLOGY

The aims for the project were as follows:

1. Enabling children and young people living in residential care to share their experiences of the care they receive; and

2. Exploring the rights of children and young people in residential care and the perspectives of children and young people about how their rights are realised, including:

- **Participation:** how children and young people are involved in decision-making and the development of the service as a whole;
- **Protection:** how children and young people are kept safe whilst living in residential care; and
- **Provision:** adequacy of provision in residential care including planning for leaving care, access to education and health services, therapy and leisure and cultural activities.

Project phases

The project was split into 3 phases: scoping; fieldwork with young people; and professionals' input.

Phase 1

The initial scoping phase included meetings and conversations with relevant organisations including CSSIW, Heads of Local Authority Children and Family Services, Providers and Carers, Health and Education professionals and care experienced young people, in order to find out what they thought the most important issues were for them in relation to residential care. This information was then used to inform the design of the interview content to be used with the young people living in residential care.

The key areas that emerged in Phase 1 were as follows:

- **concern about victims of Child Sexual Exploitation and risk of further exposure whilst in residential care;**
- **availability of mental health services and support, and the links between health, social services and care providers; and**
- **out of county placements; including lack of planning in advance of the placement being made, and the impact on local services.**

Phase 2

The second phase of the project was the largest phase and involved interviews with 34 young people.

CSSIW provided a list of all registered children's homes in Wales as at August 2015. CCfW visited 11 children's homes, which is 10% of the total number in Wales. 34 young people were spoken to, which is around 13% of the residential care population in Wales. We spoke to 22 boys & 12 girls. This equates to 65%/35%, which reflects the overall residential care population in Wales as noted by Elliott's recent research³. The youngest person we met was Lincoln, aged 10 and the oldest was Andrew who was age 17 and 10 months. The largest age group represented was young people aged 15 (n=12).

A semi-structured interview of 19 questions was prepared, covering young people's background and entry into residential care, likes and dislikes about residential care, whether they felt safe and secure, whether they felt their rights were being upheld and what they thought would make a positive difference for them.

20 individual interviews were carried out and the remaining 14 young people took part in focus groups.

The following were excluded from the remit of this project:

- **Respite placements**
- **Placements in the secure estate**
- **Boarding school placements (education arrangements rather than social care placements).**

This review only included full time care settings offering placements 52 weeks of the year.

³ Elliott, M., Staples, E. and Scourfield, J. 2016. Residential Care in Wales: The characteristics of children and young people placed in residential settings. Cardiff, Care Council for Wales

Phase 3

The third phase of the project comprised of events in North and South Wales, to bring together professionals working in and with the residential care sector to discuss the outcomes of the fieldwork with young people, and to consider relevant issues alongside those findings.

Each event was run over half a day; there were 13 professionals at the event in Bangor and 16 in Swansea, making a total of 29 professionals. Representatives were from the Police, Health, Education, Advocacy Providers, Residential Care Providers and Local Authorities.

The issues that were identified for discussion at the evidence exchanges were as follows:

- 1. Relationships between Police, local authorities and providers.**
- 2. Safeguarding issues.**
- 3. Visiting and maintaining contact.**
- 4. Moving on from Residential Care.**

Discussions were audio recorded and the events brought additional rich information to the project.

A note on terminology: **Not 'LACking' in anything!**

Some participants had strong feelings about how young people and the places they live are named. For example, 'home' was said to be preferred to 'unit'. Young people referred to units in their conversations with us as this is what they are called within their home, so this has become part of their vocabulary. Providers noted that they are registered as Children's Homes as opposed to 'Residential Care' Providers. In recent years there had been a tendency to avoid reference to children's homes, as it was synonymous with the older, large style homes and the well documented problems that had arisen there. In this report we use both terms residential care and children's homes, but avoid the word 'unit'. The clearest example of poor use of terminology however is "LAC". Young people do not like being referred to as "LAC" as they are not "lacking" in anything.

Findings

The project findings begin with an overview of young people's views about residential care.

The main body of the review findings is grouped into the three P's of the UNCRC: Participation, Provision and Protection. Most of the content is centred on the young people's views and experiences, but we also include professionals' and carers' views from the evidence exchange where this provides an additional perspective.

The final section is about relationships – the most important building block of care of young people.

Young people's overview of residential care

Many young people expressed positive views about living in residential care, when they were asked what it was like and how it compared to previous places they had lived.

Jonathan, 15, noted

"it's not home of course but they really do try to make it like that".

Ben, aged 17, told us

"it's been great to be honest... I was in a foster placement in [named place] and then I was kind of not very well behaved in there....and then I got moved to here and kind of settled myself down... made myself confident with where I am living and stuff".

Sonia, aged 16, compared her experiences between foster care and residential care, and felt her current home suited her best:

"I have had 10 placements, three of them were residential. I moved out of each one cos I kept going missing and they couldn't keep me safe. I don't like foster care, I don't like the same people over and over again, it gets annoying...I like the change over".

Several young people reflected this view, that the 'change over' between key workers' shift patterns took the pressure off them if they had been having a bad day the day before. **Ruth**, aged 16, also said that

"the best thing about living here is they will give you your space when you ask them".

A number of older young people had previously lived in hostels. **Emily**, aged 17, compared the experiences and said

"I like living here it is nice it's got that care for you as in the hostel they didn't have much care around you... The staff here are really helpful towards me and that".

Stella, aged 16, reflected that

"It is not as bad as everybody thinks it is, it's not a bit like Tracy Beaker".

Understandably some of the young people thought they shouldn't be in residential care. There were also some older young people who were looking forward to leaving residential care, having been there for several years, and felt ready for a bit more independence. Some were unsure about where they would go after leaving.

Marc, 15 said

"if an alternative could be found to foster care that would be better"

as he wanted to move on from residential care but felt he was not ready to live independently.

It is often thought to be the case that young people end up in residential care when perhaps all other options have been tried and have not been successful. Although we did not question young people in detail about their background, some young people openly spoke of the number of places they had lived.

For **Phoebe**, 13, this was more than 25 since the age of 6 months, and for **Noah**, aged 15, this was 27 placements in the past two years, following the breakdown of his adoption. **Noah** provided quite a stark account of the circumstances that had brought him into residential care:

"I was two when I came into care... then I got adopted and then came back into care... about 2 years ago so I have had 27 placements within those two years... Like here I was worried about this place but I realise it would be a good place".

Many young people spoke positively about the different experiences they had had since being in residential care.

Jamie, who was now aged 13, told us he had been in his children's home since the age of 9. He said it was

"like my family cos I've been here ages".

"It's a lot better than being where I was before, more of a confidence boost"

Phoebe, 13.

The comparisons to being in foster care were varied. Several of the young people had not had a good experience in foster care so they said they preferred this care setting. They spoke of being heavily disciplined, not feeling cared for and not fully part of the family.

"I don't really know what foster care is properly like cos they didn't treat me like probably other foster carers treat their kids".

Carl, aged 17.

Andrew aged 17 did feel that he had made some positive changes to his life since living in residential care for the past 4 years, but he found the living situation frustrating as the home

"takes a lot of little kids"

and there were always a lot of people in the communal areas which he found 'chaotic'. At another home, **David**, 16, was frustrated at having a staff member accompany him all of the time (this was the risk management model that was used in that home).

Participation

There was a wide range of experiences amongst the young people about the decision for them to come to a residential care placement, but most commonly they tended to feel that they had little choice in this decision. On the whole, within the children's homes themselves, young people had a fair amount of involvement in decisions about their everyday environment, but for some of them there was much less sense of control over external factors, such as contact with family and friends.

Preparation and expectations

There was a clear view from the young people that the opportunity to visit a home before moving in was a very positive one, when it had occurred. **Phoebe**, 13, spoke about knowing what her bedroom would look like and being able to explain to family and friends what the home was like.

In one group interview, the boys reflected that visiting was helpful on a personal level but also aided a smoother transition into the home for any new person, as they had already met the other young people they would be living with, and everyone had the chance to get to know a bit about each other.

Some of the young people we met had never heard of residential care and their expectations had ranged from college campuses that they had seen on films, to being more like prisons.

"I had no information before I came here, I wasn't allowed anything"

"I thought it would be like being in custody, but with an en-suite..."

"It's nothing like I expected, in a good way"

Jonathan, aged 15.

Placement Choice

Young people's experiences of the decision for them to enter care varied significantly. Whilst some young people were able to visit in advance on several occasions and plan for their arrival, for others there had been no choice.

There can be a perception that residential care is seen as a punishment, particularly if previous placements have broken down or if a young person is moved far away from their home area. This is understandable from a young person's perspective, particularly if they haven't been given information prior to moving. It was something that Karen reflected on when we met her.

After ten placement moves, **Sonia**, 16, felt let down that she had to change care homes based on finances:

"They said I was going to be there until I was 18 but because it was so expensive to school me and everything, cos school is onsite, they had to move me back down... they waited until I got my GCSES, I thought that was fair, but didn't like a decision about my future based on money given they had put me there in the first place".

It is worth considering that when young people are being told a placement is too expensive to maintain, this could make them feel guilty about being there even if it has been assessed as the right place for them and they are doing well there.

Karen, aged 15, felt the decisions about her placement were out of her control:

"I am not involved in decisions, they just make them and I have to do as I am told. I would like to move back into foster care... I am in residential care cos I have messed up so many placements".

Noah, 15, said he hasn't been involved in decisions prior to arriving in his placement.

"I have made some decisions since I have been here but before that no-one has ever told me what is happening in my life, what is going on... So it's quite a struggle for me".

There were a number of additional difficulties raised by professionals in relation to English children being placed in Wales. This includes notification to the receiving local authority that a placement is being made does not always happen, and advance visits or information is also unusual. This led to many difficulties in ensuring that local health, education and justice services could meet the needs of the young people involved. This issue was raised repeatedly with us as a concern by care staff and professionals from health and the police.

Language choices

One child, aged 11, was a first language Welsh speaker but was not currently speaking Welsh in their home. They had been moved to a residential home in England prior to this placement, so they no longer used Welsh for this reason. It emerged in discussions with professionals that this child had actually been prevented from speaking Welsh in a children's home in England when relatives came to visit, as it was deemed to be a safeguarding issue as the contact supervisor was unable to verify what was being said. It is not known whether efforts were made to find a Welsh speaking contact supervisor, but it was concerning to hear that language preferences had not been considered fully when placements were being made.

Day to day decision making

Young people felt more involved in the day to day decision making within their home even if they weren't involved in the wider care planning decisions. The majority of the homes operated house meetings on a semi-formal basis. Some told us that they held meetings every week regardless of how many young people were living there, some left it up to the young people to decide if they wanted a meeting. Linking meetings to shared meal times was thought to be useful to get everyone together.

Key workers also supported decision making, during meetings and on an individual level. **Ruth**, aged 16, said

"I work with my key worker to make decisions and the listening group".

The majority of the young people spoke about some positive changes being made to house rules as a result of house meetings; this included alterations to bedtimes or being able to watch a choice of films (particularly at weekends), wearing baseball caps indoors (other than at meal times), the operation of reward and pocket money schemes, adding to facilities including trampolines and DVD players, and contributing to the choice of activities. Several people also reflected how house meetings helped to clear the air if something had happened, or were a safe space to air a concern without it turning into an argument as it was done in a meaningful and constructive way.

Young people were less positive about house meetings when they felt they had been dismissed; they felt that there was no point in having meetings if they kept asking for the same things which were **'never going to happen'**. Young people were

happy to compromise and gave a number of examples of how this had happened. Two points that arose frequently were that young people were often told that something was too expensive or that a risk assessment would be too lengthy and complex, and young people did not always find these to be satisfactory reasons for having requests turned down.

Young people were also undertaking their own risk assessments of journeys, to enable them to visit friends; sometimes this took them all day but even then the processes and forms had prevented them from going to see friends. Young people accepted the need for risk assessments in general and recognised that they couldn't just do things impulsively or really dangerous activities;

"you have to give advance notice so they can do a risk assessment which is fair enough. Some things of course are just a no". Jonathan, 15.

Alarms or locks on bedroom doors were quite divisive issues, with no clear consensus or recommendation emerging. Some young people said they felt safe as a result of these measures and spoke of previous placements where belongings had gone missing from their rooms, or finding other young people in their rooms, which they did not like. Personal space and privacy was highly valued by young people, particularly those who are accompanied by a key worker all day, wherever they go. However others likened the alarms to being under surveillance or in secure accommodation and did not think that these were necessary or proportionate. This was a particular issue that drew comparison with foster care. In general foster care was described as less strict due to not having alarms on doors and fewer restrictions such as Wi-Fi use or set bed times.

In general young people did not have an issue with homes having rules and boundaries in place and for many these were welcome as they knew where they were with everything. Staggered bed times or curfews based on age were generally accepted by young people as well, but if rules were not thought to be applied fairly the young people clearly picked up on this.

Review meetings

Many young people had participated in their Looked After Child (LAC) review meetings. These are regular multi-agency meetings for children who are in local authority care, intended to consider whether their needs are being fully met and if not, to agree actions in order to improve their outcomes whilst in care. Some had positive experiences of these, although others did not. Some reflected that they were spoken to as adults in these meetings which on the whole they liked, but there were times where the language used was confusing and this was even described as "gibberish" by **James**, aged 15.

Ryan, aged 15, told us that his LAC reviews had been cancelled a few times, and even when they were due to go ahead nobody from social services had turned up. This was really disappointing as he had been expecting to discuss the extension of his family contact arrangements and this was being repeatedly delayed. **James**, aged 15, noted that something similar had also happened to him and that he was

"really, really gutted and it was annoying at the same time".

Chris, aged 13, had chosen not to attend his LAC review, but then he hadn't been told that his contact with his sisters had changed to monthly from fortnightly so he was expecting to see them the following week. He was used to contact being arranged "fairly last minute" as his sisters were in other residential placements but he found it difficult not knowing what was happening.

Summary of participation section

Article 12 of the UNCRC gives every child the right to express their views, feelings and wishes in all matters affecting them, and to have their views considered and taken seriously. It has been seen in this section that in relation to some important aspects of their lives, such as where to live and contact with families, young people often reported that they had difficulty getting their views heard. Article 12 was more commonly available for everyday decisions within the home.

Having an involvement in decision making processes was really valued, as well as decisions being made in a timely manner; this quote sums it up succinctly:

“these are little things for you but this is my life”. Andrew, 17.

Provision

Many Articles in the UNCRC relate to provision. This includes the right to special care if living away from home, and rights to shelter, adequate food, education, healthcare and play and leisure.

The homes visited during this review appeared to be well decorated and homely and young people on the whole had free access to facilities.

Home sizes have been decreasing dramatically in recent years, as well as a reduction in the overall number of residential care places provided. Some young people mentioned that in larger homes (more than 4 bed), the living arrangements are more "hectic" or "chaotic" and that this can lead to arguments. They reflected how important it is in larger homes to have a mix of young people that work well together.

Some key differences that young people noted about being in residential care were the opportunities and activities they could access, which were seen to be a real positive factor. Young people told us about go-karting, bowling and trips to London and theme parks, as well as regular cinema trips, days out and holidays. Young people are encouraged to take some ownership of this process including finding out about activities locally and how much they would cost.

Incentives to earn pocket money or reward money are also popular. Young people take part in cooking, online food shopping, cleaning and recycling rotas. Food shopping was popular as young people could choose their food and plan the week's menu. Some young people said that they enjoy doing chores as it stops them from getting bored, and alongside this young people are learning practical skills which will be invaluable to them when they move on from residential care.

A large number of young people we spoke to also take part in external activities in the community, including youth club, football, drama club, swimming and Girl Guides. Some staff spoke about getting things set up prior to arrival or as soon as possible after arrival, particularly where a young person had already been part of a club where they lived previously. Young people appear to look forward to these activities, not just because they enjoy their chosen activity, but as it also provides the opportunity to socialise with people from outside their home. This may be particularly important for those who attend an onsite school and spend all their days together with the same people.

Health

Every child has the right to the best possible health, under Article 24 of the UNCRC. It was reassuring therefore to find that overall access to health for the young people we interviewed appeared to be good. Young people were registered early on arrival with a GP and a dentist but also with opticians and orthodontists as required. Some reported that access to CAMHS was quicker than for the general population (up to around 6 weeks wait for appointments but almost immediate access for urgent cases). Fax referrals had been accepted from GPs in order to speed up the processes. There were no complaints from young people about needing to access a service and not being able to. In addition some young people had just returned from or were going out to health appointments around our visits. **Jonathan**, 15, spoke proudly of having had his eyes tested that day and having "fighter pilot" vision.

Nonetheless, professionals in our evidence exchanges spoke of the strain on mental and physical health services in some areas where there is a concentration of private children's homes and frequent arrivals of young people with many health needs from other health board areas and from England.

Education

Access to education was inconsistent for the young people we spoke to. At one visit during the morning, **Emma**, aged 14 was at home; there was an ongoing dispute between her former school and social services about the best education option for her; she was currently being offered a few hours tuition at a local community hall but she did not want to go there as it was cold and there were no other young people there

so she was not able to socialise.

As a consequence she was not accessing any education provision. Interviewers were told that Emma had not been formally excluded from her high school roll, but the school did not encourage her to attend as they were concerned about the impact of her behaviour on other young people. This is an issue that has been brought to the Commissioner's office on previous occasions and is not restricted to young people in residential care.

Megan, aged 13, was also not in school as it was not considered possible whilst arrangements for her care placement were being confirmed.

"I don't go to school at the minute... obviously everything is up in the air and everything, so I am not going to school".

Anthony, aged 15 lived at a home with an onsite school but he was receiving just 2 hours education a day as his tutor would then go off site to teach another pupil in the community. **Anthony** was unhappy with his education provision, because he couldn't choose the time it started. He had originally had 2 hours in the morning and then the rest of the day was his but the time had been changed to start at 13.30 and he reported wasting his mornings just waiting to go to school. Although 2 hours a day was more than **Anthony** had been attending in his local community before coming in to care, he was not engaged with his education provision despite it being onsite as it wasn't suiting his needs.

In contrast, for some young people having onsite education had increased the time they spent in school, as in mainstream education they would have been sent home if they were disruptive. **Sienna**, 15, said there was

"no option here"

whereas she said she never really went to school before.

Gemma, aged 14, said

"this household gave me like confidence so I go back to school now. I used to have arguments and fights at school... Soon I will be going to school full time, education is important".

Suzanne, aged 13, felt she had made real progress with her education since being in residential care with its own school, as she hadn't been attending school at her previous home.

"My maths was aged 5 and my reading was aged 2 and now my reading is aged 12 and my maths is aged 15. Like I am so glad that I had that choice like... There are no words to describe..."

She reflected that the

"teaching staff are really good, they are understanding if you can't spell, they will put it into sections and things like that".

All children have the right to access education under Article 28 of the UNCRC, and Article 29 provides that education should develop every child's personality, talents and abilities to the full. It was positive to note that even the smaller, on site

schools, tried to offer a range of subjects so that young people could choose those that interested them, and where they had been studying a particular subject when they lived elsewhere, efforts were made to continue this, such as gaining access to musical instruments to practice or finding tutors to offer particular topics.

One of the onsite schools had an active school council and interviewers saw minutes and agendas on a noticeboard in the school. **Dylan**, aged 16, was also acting as a caretaker for the school so he would unlock the building and classrooms each day and help with general maintenance. **Dylan** spoke proudly of his role and responsibilities.

Some of the older young people were engaged in training programmes with third sector organisations. The young people spoke positively about these opportunities; alongside the training programme they tended to have a support worker who helped them access the course but also helped with wider matters such as benefit claims. However these training programmes were often only running once a week and when we asked the young people what else they did with their time, they said that a lot of their time was unoccupied.

Moving on

As nearly two-thirds of the young people we interviewed were aged 15 or younger, issues around planning to leave and making the transition from residential care were not always on their radar. Professionals' experiences however were that **"transition can be traumatic"** and that leaving care can be a **"travesty"** for a young person; this reflects the experience of care leavers

generally as reported to my office during the **Beth Nesa' I What Next?** consultation in 2015⁵.

Andrew, our oldest participant, was due to turn 18 in just two months and said he had seen his social worker the previous day **"for the first time in months"**. She was there to do a housing assessment for when he turned 18 but he didn't know what the plan would be. **Andrew** had been told he would not be able to stay where he was but he did not know what was being considered instead and this was making him feel nervous. He had previously had difficulty with substance misuse and had managed to stop using legal highs since living in this placement, with the help of his key worker. He was concerned about relapsing into using legal highs depending where he was to live and who would be around him.

A particular issue arising was that for young people who are placed **'out of county'** it can be increasingly difficult for them to maintain support links and settle back into their home community upon leaving residential care at 18. It was considered by professionals that **"everything is harder to do when there is an out of county placement"**. Nonetheless, 'home' is a common destination for those leaving residential care. Elliott's recent research in Wales found that 181 of a total of 402 (45%) of those leaving a children's home returned home to birth families. Professionals said that if a young person has consistently expressed their wish to

⁵ www.childcomwales.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2016/04/Overview-English-FINAL_compressed.pdf

return home on leaving care, the matter should be “grasped” earlier to enable that move to be done in a supported way. It was noted by professionals that there is some irony in the levels of protection sometimes being given to under 18s in relation to their birth family, only to have them return, with all safeguards removed, after the age of 18.

An issue that my office has already raised with the Welsh Government is around the “When I’m Ready” post 18 living arrangements⁶ not being applicable to young people in residential care, and this is something that the professionals strongly agreed with, as it is a fundamental inequality for the most vulnerable care leavers.

Some homes are able to offer training flats or step down provision to prepare for transition, and a few that we spoke to had been investigating how to develop a facility attached to their existing home. Such provision was seen as a positive and a safe way to help young people develop their skills. As noted in our fieldwork, young people were developing independent living skills through reward schemes in most homes and, compared to many of their peers in foster care, probably took more responsibility for such tasks. However, for young people living in residential care, used to 24/7 support and therapeutic approaches, moving out at 18 can feel like having the rug pulled out from underneath their feet. It is such a contrast to then having to manage your own money, transport and food. Professionals described it as the loss of a “safety net” as there is often very little continuing support.

Where supported housing options and move on projects such as the Di Gartref hostels and supported accommodation in Anglesey were offered, these were seen as very beneficial to young people, as they offer differing support levels depending on the young person’s needs, wrap around services and aid re-integration into the local community. Professionals felt that young people who accessed these services were happier and less isolated. Supported living with ‘on-call’ support can provide continuity for young people and enables them to make mistakes in a safe environment.

The development of social skills and the ability to manage relationships appropriately was considered just as important as practical skills such as cooking or budgeting; “this is what gets you through”. Young people may also need skills to ‘manage’ interactions with family members, where contact has previously been restricted and supervised. Some young people become anxious about this as they approach their 18th birthdays. Attending external clubs or education provision such as sixth form college was considered to be a positive addition.

An interesting perspective raised during one of the professional evidence exchanges was about the contrasting societal attitudes to care leavers and students. It is almost expected that students will not be able to fully look after themselves, eat healthily and be sensible with money at all times and this is seen as part of the ‘university experience’, but there is more of a stigma towards care leavers for the same reasons.

Professionals were also concerned about young people ending up in prison and some even viewing this as a positive option, as they would have a safe place to go and be provided with food, shelter, routine

⁶ Section 108 of the Social Services and Well-Being (Wales) Act 2014 allows young people to remain living with foster carers up to the age of 21, or 25 if they are in education or training. It is called “When I’m Ready” recognising that many young people are not ready to leave their foster carers at the age of 18.

and education. Concern was also raised about girls leaving residential care due to pregnancy, as this can often result in their baby being taken into care and adopted. This is an issue that has been raised with my office previously, not just in relation to residential care but care leavers generally. These young people feel that they are up against additional barriers and that they have to "prove" that they can be good parents in order to keep their child at home with them.

Reciprocal arrangements between neighbouring authorities was also raised, as some young people will want to remain in the area where they are living in care, rather than returning to their home local authority within which they may have limited support networks, links and local knowledge. The Social Services and Well-being (Wales) Act 2014 was seen as a potential opportunity to get away from arguments over funding and provision between local authorities and service providers.

There have been a number of different approaches considered for offering continuing support to young people once they have left residential care (whether at 18 or at an earlier age). It was recognised that belonging and identity are important and a young person may be disadvantaged by not being able to maintain relationships once they have left. Examples given to maintain contact include young people being able to call in to their former children's home for a cup of tea and a catch up, coming to the home for Sunday lunch or being able to ring up for some advice. Some homes have also started 'closed' Facebook pages to maintain contact with former residents. One word that occurred during discussions was "dependency". Whilst everyone wants young people to develop their

independence, this is a process that can take time. One of the private providers noted that it may be preferable for young people to retain contact with their former home, as the workers will know them really well and **"at least any vulnerability or dependency will be picked up by us"**.

Early planning and preparation was a key theme throughout the discussions; it should not come as a surprise to agencies that a young person turns 18. A general reflection was that it is **"all about having a good plan, considered sensitively and executed in a timely way"**. However, the feeling among the professionals who took part in our evidence exchange events was that provision for young people leaving residential care was too often inadequate and that this is an urgent issue to tackle.

Summary of Provision Section

Day to day facilities in the homes we visited were generally very good, with young people expressing satisfaction about living conditions and opportunities for activities. Education was mixed, with some young people engaging well with education for the first time in years, while others appeared under occupied. Professionals were concerned about pressures on local services in some areas, especially when young people with high needs were placed from outside the local area with little pre-planning. Particular concerns were expressed about the lack of good housing and support options for those turning 18.

Protection

A common reason for children to become looked after by a local authority is because of abuse or neglect. Article 19 of the UNCRC states that children should be protected from all forms of violence and mistreatment and Article 34 gives the right to protection from sexual exploitation. Article 39 gives them the right to recover from abuse and neglect and be reintegrated into society. There is a growing view that children risk developing criminal records in residential care when they are charged with offences that would usually be dealt with informally in a family home⁷.

⁷ howardleague.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/02/Criminal-Care.pdf
www.prisonreformtrust.org.uk/Portals/0/Documents/In%20care%20out%20of%20trouble%20summary.pdf

Police

Police officers may become involved with local children's homes in three ways. Firstly we came across examples of police officers visiting homes proactively and building positive relationships with young people before any crisis may occur. Secondly, police are usually involved if young people go missing. Thirdly, police may be called to report a crime by a young person. While the first two were positive, there were reports of some instances of unnecessary criminalisation of young people.

All of the young people and staff that we spoke to reflected that the Police would be called if anyone went missing; not necessarily immediately as sometimes a search of the grounds by staff would be sufficient or driving up the road. Young people told us about going missing to test the boundaries but hiding nearby, or thinking better of it and coming back, but if someone was genuinely missing they agreed that it was appropriate to call the Police and understood that the homes had responsibilities to keep everyone safe. Young people were also grateful at times to the Police for returning them safely to their home.

Kyle, aged 13, told us he had gone missing with 3 other boys, they ran away and hid from staff. He said

"if you break supervision and staff can't see you, it is for our safety" (for the Police to be called).

He reflected that he had previously lived in another residential home and the Police were **"never"** called there. If people were damaging property then

"that's when the Police should be called because parents would call them then as well, so it's similar".

Young people living in rural locations also noted that they were unlikely to go missing as it was pitch black in the surrounding fields, and they did not know the area so had no idea where they would go, serving as a deterrent.

The conversations with young people highlighted differences in practice as to when Police are and should be involved. A few of the young people thought that it would require something serious in order for the Police to be called, aside from young people going missing.

"The police don't get involved when we do things, we get sanctions put in place and consequences just to help us learn from that. None of the girls here have been involved with the Police... We don't get the police involved unless it is serious"
Suzanne, 13.

I haven't seen the police called yet and it would have to be something fairly major for that to happen" Noah, 15.

Some young people said the staff would 'always' call the police, for example in relation to finding any drugs at the home regardless of the classification or amount, or if anything got broken in the home such as furniture or windows. **Stella**, aged 16, was critical of how often the police were called;

"They have been called cos of me smashing up stuff... I know they don't like calling the police on kids so why do it, do you know what I mean... I only trashed my room and cleared off for a couple of days".

In deciding whether or not to call the Police, care staff spoke about proportionality in relation to what had happened, how they would respond to a similar incident in their family home with their own children and taking time to cool off following an incident. One key worker reflected how he had initially wanted to involve the Police following a recent incident when a boy had assaulted him. He had spoken to the home manager who had suggested he reflect on this overnight, and by the next day he decided he no longer wanted to call the Police. This had actually helped the working relationship between them and resulted in a more stable living environment for everyone.

Some notably helpful approaches were to consider whether the police involvement was likely to have an impact given that any court date would be some time in the future and too 'remote' from the incident to be of value as a lesson, compared to the immediate impact of more restorative justice methods for the individual and for stability of life in the home generally, and considering the young person's future prospects such as convictions showing up on DBS checks.

Professionals at our events noted that if the Police are called, they have to act in some way and cannot just ignore a call. They felt that there may be a training issue, for staff to deal with things in a proportionate way rather than vilify a young person over a relatively minor incident. Professionals from a range of agencies, including registered managers, were asking **"how would a responsible parent act?"**. However there

was also recognition that some workers believe they must call the police due to 'policies' or 'government' and that 'covering their backs' is the most important thing. In addition to different categorisations of calls, English and Welsh Police forces, and other agencies, do not always use the same definitions in relation to Missing Persons, child sexual exploitation (CSE) or child sexual abuse (CSA). The All Wales protocols on Missing Persons and CSE were considered important by the professionals we spoke to, and the Police told us that they have sent extracts from these protocols to English local authorities who have children placed in Wales.

There were some key areas of good practice highlighted during the discussions:

a) Local Police Officers or PCSOs visiting regularly and maintaining good links with homes.

This means Police officers are seen as safe people and not a threat. In some areas the Police will visit a young person within the first month of being placed (this relies on good information sharing around the time of placement). Visiting young people when there is no problem arising was seen as helpful to Police officers, to challenge some perceptions that there is "nothing but trouble" in children's homes. It was thought to be important to have links with more than just a handful of officers or PCSOs however as you cannot guarantee who will be on shift when something happens.

b) Information sharing,

when this took place, was highly valued. In particular the multi-agency task force in North Wales was highlighted as a positive example. This enables staff from various agencies to have shared expectations of risk management and to act on issues before they reach crisis point.

c) Missing Persons co-ordinator

roles were viewed as crucial; a risk plan can be developed for each individual. Having a Single Point of Contact was also considered to work effectively.

d) Restorative Justice

was felt to be a positive factor in the homes where it is used. It works effectively in promoting a stable atmosphere in the home, reduces the need for the Police to be called and focuses on outcomes. This was something that young people had also reflected on during the fieldwork.

Safety

The majority of young people reflected that they felt safe where they were living.

"No-one knows really where I am, this helps me to feel safe" Carl, 17.

Emily, aged 17, felt that the rules in the house helped to keep her safe.

"You have to be in at 11 o'clock and if you are not you get reported missing... But they are sensible rules and the time is nice, that is a nice time to be in".

Young people spoke about checks being done before they could visit friends in their home; on the whole they accepted this as inevitable. For **Stella**, 16, she felt this was getting in the way of her seeing friends;

"it's a nightmare they check all your friends, all your contacts it's not right".

Ruth, aged 16, also said staff has been keen to check who she was mixing with and about the suitability of boyfriends, but didn't raise an issue about this

"Yes I know why they want to check on my boyfriend, it's to keep me safe".

A handful of the young people said that they didn't feel safe at all times; when this was discussed it appears that this was due to the behaviour of other residents within the home, rather than outside influences or concern about the wider community. Matching placements was considered to be really important to create a good balance and a happy living environment; the introduction of new residents was described by **Morgan**, aged 16, as **"very unsettling"** and others in his group interview agreed. Some of the young people also said there were times they felt unsafe briefly when an alarm would go off, or if it was dark in the corridor outside their room, but overall they felt safe.

A significant proportion of young people also reflected that they felt isolated, citing a number of reasons for this including location and rurality, being away from home and family, being in an unfamiliar area, and restrictions on freedom.

Substance misuse could be disruptive for all residents, if the Police were being called or if other young people were turning up at the home under the influence of substances. Where there was misuse issues identified, several staff members and young people spoke about 'legal highs' and cannabis as particular substances that were regularly being used.

Several of the young people reflected that being placed in residential care and having the support of a good key worker had aided them to end their substance misuse and risky or criminal behaviours.

"Since I've moved here I've given up drugs and like drinking and all of that stuff I did, it's changed me". Carl, 17.

Noah, aged 15, actually felt that the home was keeping young people "too safe" and although this had helped him come off drugs and alcohol, he didn't think it was preparing him for returning to live in the community.

"They think sheltering these kids is going to keep 'em safe but they will have a shock when they go to the big world and think 'this is not what I grew up in'".

One interesting but concerning point raised by professionals was about the ability of residential care providers to take a young person to alternative accommodation for up to 28 days without needing to apply for that accommodation to be regulated. Whilst it was universally seen as a positive that providers can take young people away on holiday (and many of the homes we spoke to were doing so), there was concern about "27 day placements" that could be in unsafe or unchecked locations and the risks that this posed for both staff and young people. Some caravans on the coast were said to be being used for the purposes of managing young people for a period of time, rather than just for holidays. I have alerted CSSIW to this concern.

Self-harm

Six of the young people spoke quite openly during interviews about their experiences of self-harm in residential care. **Sonia**, aged 16, said she had been self-harming since the age of 9.

"I do it on my arms and I punch walls... It's got worse since I got older... although I went through a stage of not doing it... and then I just started doing it again about 2 months ago".

Some reflected that they didn't feel the response from staff or medical professionals to incidents of self-harm was sufficient or supportive of making a change.

Carly, aged 15, said

"they think I am doing it for attention... They just call the police on me... All the police do is take me to hospital and they discharge me again, what is the point of going to hospital if they are not going to do anything". Later in her interview, Carly said "I get angry and cut myself and the sort of staff are not there for me, they don't understand like how I am feeling".

Karen, 15, described self-harming as a response to anger; she said

"I do it on my arm and have had two scares from it... The self-harming is alright now, it depends on if I am angry...if I am really angry then I would".

She said the team in the home try to help her

"sometimes... the only person who has really tried to help me is [name of key worker]".

The Right Care

Children's rights in residential care in Wales

Some had had a more positive experience.

Carl had come from foster care and supported lodgings in an urban area, and had experienced problems with substance misuse also. He reflected on how being in residential care had helped him to change his behaviours and he was feeling a lot more positive due to this intervention.

"There is more of a positive attitude here, it's just like better because I don't want to cut myself anymore, I want to age and get a tattoo when I'm older to cover up my scars". Carl, 17.

There was also a reflection from **Ben**, aged 17, about the impact of seeing someone else self-harming in the home. He had tried to talk to another resident to say it was no good for her but she had continued to harm herself. **Ben** said

"she was slicing herself, her wrists, all up her arms... She was slicing her thighs. To be honest that was upsetting to see. At the time I was thinking like what have happened to her".

Noah reflected an **"inevitability"** about self-harming;

"I do self-harm... Everybody does it sometime".

Self-harm is an extremely personal and difficult topic for a young person to discuss; it is not clear from our conversations whether these were the only young people for whom self-harm was an issue, or whether these were the only ones able to talk about their experiences.

Professionals identified that there is a varied response to self-harming and managing self-harm risks. This reflects the young people's perceptions during the fieldwork. Whilst some young people had had positive experiences and been able to work through this, this was a concerning issue, and one that affected other residents in ways that may be under-recognised.

Child sexual exploitation

From early discussions as part of the review, the risk of child sexual exploitation (CSE) was considered to have a strong correlation with residential care, with young people in children's homes more vulnerable to involvement.

Awareness of CSE or vulnerability to members of the community in general was not something that came out in the conversations with young people. There could be a number of reasons for this, including young people not being aware of the full reasons around the risks they faced before coming in to care, not agreeing with the risk assessment or perhaps just not wanting to discuss this with another professional.

There is a specific duty under Article 34 of the UNCRC to protect children from all forms of sexual abuse and exploitation. It can be difficult to ensure compliance with duties when children are placed in Wales from English placing authorities (or vice versa) but the new National CSE Action Plan for Wales will enable data to be systematically gathered to provide accurate and consistent reporting of the prevalence of CSE across Wales. This is a welcome step and I will closely monitor these developments. Safe use of technology was considered by the professionals we spoke

to as something that young people should be educated about. This is something that is often reported to my office in relation to all young people, but will be particularly relevant to young people at risk of CSE and grooming. Access to online contact can be hard to monitor; some homes we visited restricted the Wi-Fi access to communal areas only and some young people were not permitted to have mobile phones. There was some recognition that it is unrealistic to think that young people will have no social media contact, as they may use someone else's phone if they have no access to their own phone or the internet. It is therefore important to help young people to build resilience and learn how to have safe relationships. Learning to evaluate and understand risk is part of development and decision making.

In North Wales it was frequently observed that local authorities from England, particularly the London area, think Wrexham is a 'rural area' and are seeking placements there to keep children away from urban life. There is also a perception that North Wales placements are used as a way to 'remove' young people from risky situations including CSE. Professionals agreed that this does not recognise the nature of the risk, including online contacts and groups of people with links across the country. It also disadvantages the young person who is removed from their home area in 'response' to the risk they are facing. This can be hard for the young person to understand,

particularly if they do not recognise the risk posed or do not want to accept the risk levels as assessed. In addition, placing individuals with similar high risk issues such as CSE together was also considered to heighten the risk factors.

The main recurring theme during discussions around safeguarding was lack of information sharing when an out of county placement is made. It was felt that all agencies are immediately 'on the back foot' if regulations are not followed, and the first contact they have with a young person will be when an incident has taken place. Varying footprints within Wales for agencies such as the Police, Health and Education also make information sharing more difficult but should not be prohibitive when the importance of this is clearly recognised.

Summary of Protection section

We identified some positive developments in terms of relationships between police officers and individual children's homes. Having a missing person's co-ordinator in the police was seen as a positive experience by residential care providers. We received reports from young people and professionals, including police, about inconsistencies between homes about when police are called and the type of incidents that lead to charges being brought. Most young people reported that they felt safe, although a few did not feel safe around some other young people in their home. Professionals reported that it was more difficult to keep young people safe if they arrived from a different area with little information being shared with local agencies about the protection they may need. A number of young people shared experiences of self-harming and they and staff reported that it is not always responded to in a consistent manner.

Relationships

Many young people in residential care will have had poor experiences of relationships with family, carers and professionals. Some will have had traumatic separations from primary carers and some will have been bereaved. Relationships with others are therefore crucial aspects of the care needed by young people. They may need to learn how to trust others, and how to manage boundaries with those who may have a negative impact on them.

Visiting and maintaining contact with family

Family contact is important to young people and professionals agreed that the ability to maintain meaningful family contact should be kept under review. Professionals reflected that parents may not have been able to meet the care needs of a young child, but perhaps could offer some sort of supportive role when a young person leaves care, aged 16 or over. Professionals reflected that where contact with parents has been limited and supervised, it may be difficult to maintain relationships without support once the young person turns 18. Professionals repeatedly spoke about involving family members while young people are in care, encouraging them to work proactively with services; this would give a greater focus on the longer term outcomes for a young person particularly if they are returning home at the age of 18.

Infrequent public transport in rural areas and distance from home can make it difficult for families to maintain contact. Skype and Facetime⁸ were also seen as positive ways to promote contact where appropriate; it can be reassuring for family members who are living far away and young people have been able to show their family their living environment even if they are unable to physically visit and see it for themselves. It was also noted by some professionals that family contact can be detrimental to the experience of living in care as it can undermine the placement and behaviour can deteriorate.

Sibling contact was considered to be important but often overlooked. It was considered that contact needs to be planned and meaningful rather than just for the sake of it.

Relationships with social workers and other professionals

There were varied experiences of relationships with social workers, with some young people reporting good relationships, while others appeared to barely know their social worker.

Most young people reflected that they saw their social worker at regular intervals and were usually able to contact them fairly easily. Some said that it took a long time to achieve change and **Noah** said that he didn't really talk to his social worker,

"she doesn't make the effort I would expect her to make".

William had just turned 16 when we met him and was waiting for a new social worker to be allocated. He was not sure whether this was because he was moving over to the 16+ team or whether it was just one of those things, perhaps due to staff moving on. He was also waiting on the outcome of a search for a foster placement, to move on from residential care, but this was taking some time and he didn't really know what was going to happen or when. He reflected:

"I've just take every day as it comes. I can't make plans easily as I have to wait for a match and I don't know when this will be".

William, 16.

⁸ Two forms of video communication, using a mobile phone or electronic tablet device.

Marc, 15, was due to turn 16 in a few months' time and knew he would be transferring to a 16+ team, and felt like his current social worker had stopped engaging with him because of this. He reflected how **"brilliant"** she had been up until now, anything he asked for she would try to get, and she had been his social worker since he was aged 8.

Staff in local authority run homes told interviewers that they tended to know the social workers and support workers well, as the placements tended to be from their own or neighbouring local authorities. They were also able to contact social workers through internal email lists which they reflected was of benefit particularly when decisions needed to take place at fairly short notice.

Very few homes had information about MEIC or advocacy services⁹ on their noticeboard. Most homes had noticeboards with weekly rotas, details of college open days and local information. Whilst very few had details of advocacy services, when it was raised during interview the young people clearly knew how and when they could access an advocate. **Sienna** was the only person who told us she had an independent visitor¹⁰ (who was the same person as her advocate).

⁹ An advocate helps a young person to put their views forward in meetings or in relation to decisions made about their care plan. MEIC is a telephone service that can provide advice to young people and can signpost them to suitable services to help them, including advocacy services.

¹⁰ An independent visitor is someone appointed by, but not employed by, the local authority who 'looks after' a child who is experiencing difficulty in having or maintaining contact with their family when living away from their home area. This role is currently under review by Welsh Government.

¹¹ Independent Reviewing Officers chair regular meetings to look at the care plans for looked after children, and are required to challenge professionals if the plans are not progressing as expected or if outcomes for a particular child are not being met.

Young people were aware of their Independent Reviewing Officer (IRO)¹¹ and the role that this person should play. They spoke positively about their discussions with IROs, how they explained things and took issues forward on their behalf, even if they didn't always get what they wanted from reviews.

Professionals considered it important not to have professional meetings in the home, as **"the home is the home"**. Professionals also noted that the number of visitors to young people in care can seem excessive; **"Homes are not zoos"**.

Staff in children's homes

The majority of young people felt there was at least one person at the home that they could talk to if they had any worries or concerns. They reflected that continuity of approaches from different staff was helpful to this; some young people said that responses would differ depending on who you tell. **Suzanne**, aged 13, however said

"they all like care about you... you can trust the people here, if you want to tell 'em a secret you can rely on them".

Ben, aged 17, was very positive about the staff in his home and said

"they give you another opportunity to refresh the page you have already started from and do better things".

Lincoln, aged 10, also felt the staff were

"very caring to us"

and said he liked the home and the staff.

The Right Care

Children's rights in residential care in Wales

"it's what's in their heart, some people do it for the money some people do it for the heart... [name of worker] cares about everyone in his own way"... "he's like a Dad". Carl, 17.

Relationships with staff were important, particularly where family contact was not taking place. **Karen**, aged, 15, felt rejected by her family and doesn't see any of them. She was critical of some staff however for not focusing their attention properly on young people.

"If I say to a member of staff or whatever come and watch a film they just sit there on their phones not doing nothing with us... I don't think that is fair... I've said this to them and they say "it's my phone I can go on it."

Young people said they would ask other residents if they had questions, which avoided having to ask staff all the time. In addition, a number of older young people reflected how they would try to talk to others if they noticed they were upset or anxious, which was particularly the case in the larger homes.

Young people also valued key workers that shared information with them about their own experiences.

"you feel like they know what they are doing and how to deal with any situation so even if it is new to you and you think it is unique they know what to do or if not, who to ask. Knowing some of their life skills and experiences makes it easier to trust them and gives you a place to start from in building a relationship". Jonathan, 15.

Megan, aged 13, had a similar view;

"The staff here are used to people like us more than in other placements... Some people may not know like how to deal with you".

She was confident about the staff looking after her and said

"you get great assurance from everybody and know you are in the best hands you could be in like".

Continuity of staff was something that had a big impact on young people. At one group visit the head teacher of their onsite school had recently left and they were waiting for a replacement to be appointed. The young people were involved in the interview and assessment process for a new head teacher but felt that without a member of staff in post, it was unsettling. They would normally have rated school as 8 or 9 out of 10, but at present would only give it 5 out of 10 due to the disruption. **David**, aged 16, said

"it feels like they leave because of us. They say it is mainly a career choice but I have seen quite a few staff leave, which is unsettling".

A few young people felt that sometimes staff overreacted to minor things -

"they overreact to really little things, it is really frustrating... to things you say to your friends... They need to let some stuff go". William, 16.

"don't let your own problems and stress affect the kids". Andrew, 17.

They felt that this made things difficult to have a settled situation in their home and didn't think this reflected what would happen in family home setting.

On the other hand, **Gemma**, aged 14, described her key workers as "very forgiving"; she had recently sworn at one of them but realised she was in the wrong and apologised, and this had soon been forgotten. **Gemma** thought that staff should certainly have good listening skills.

The keyworker role

Key workers that care and show they care was a strong recurring theme for many of the young people. One young person in particular was very enthusiastic about the key worker system and thought that everyone should have a key worker, whether or not they were in care.

"if I'd had a key worker in the past it would have stopped me from moving on, it helps you explain your feelings" Phoebe, 13.

Young people liked having key workers who shared some of their likes and dislikes as this gave them things to talk about and made activities more enjoyable. One young person also spoke about taking time to allocate a particular key worker to ensure a good match; this was important for both the young person and the key worker to have their say.

Support from key workers came up as a regular theme throughout interviews, not just in relation to what would make a difference. Young people who had experienced difficulties, whether this was in education or with substance misuse or self-harm, said that key workers who stuck by them and didn't let them down, that encouraged them to make changes to their lives or that understood what they were going through, and pushed them to strive for better were a crucial factor in them making those changes. They felt that key workers were unique in this way compared to any other workers they knew of, as they had the time and opportunity to work through something together.

"[name of keyworker] deserves an award, he has stuck by me... when I was going through withdrawal he has been by my side and stuck by me when others didn't want to know". Andrew, 17.

Key workers are the people that know the young people the best, but there was frustration amongst those we spoke to that they are often not seen as an authority in strategy or multi agency meetings.

Summary of section on relationships

For the young people we spoke to, the staff in their children's homes were amongst the most important for them and there were many positive experiences to report about caring and understanding attitudes and positive relationships with keyworkers. For young people who have experienced much change, turnover of staff can be particularly unsettling. Relationships with family were sometimes difficult to maintain, especially when young people are living a long way from home and in rural areas. Many children return home from residential care so maintaining those relationships, and learning to manage difficult relationships is vital. Relationships with social workers and other professionals are mixed, with some positive experiences but also some frustration about not hearing from social workers about important decisions in a timely way. All young people we spoke to about advocacy knew about how to contact an advocate, which was a positive finding.

Conclusion

The conversations that have taken place during the course of this review have provided important information and opinions on the rights of young people living in residential care in Wales. Residential care can be, and is, a positive place for children and young people. It was pleasing to hear of some very positive aspects of residential care from young people and others. Several spoke of how their children's home was helping them settle down, engage with education or training and stay away from trouble. There were some very positive reports about the quality of everyday life and of good relationships with staff. Following many concerns over the years about access to independent advocacy, it was good to hear from young people that they were able to speak to an advocate if needed.

There were, however, a number of issues raised that can have severe implications for this vulnerable group of young people if not attended to as a priority. I summarise these next and make a recommendation on each. These recommendations are made exercising the Children's Commissioner for Wales' powers of review of functions under the Care Standards Act 2000.

Recommendation 1

Some young people report that they do not get enough information about decisions being made about their care and their future. They do not always know much about the children's home before coming to live there. This is a view shared by some care staff.

In line with Article 12 and 13 of the UNCRC and the principles of increased voice and control under the Social Services and Well-being (Wales) Act 2014, I recommend that social workers, their managers, independent reviewing officers and children's home staff actively involve young people in decisions about the care and support they receive, as well as in developing how the services operate. I also recommend that the Welsh Government or the WLGA draws together good practice guidance in order to promote this objective.

Recommendation 2

There are concerns that pre-planning is not taking place when children are placed in children's homes away from their local area and information about their needs is not being provided to agencies such as police and health care, including mental health care. This is reported to be a particular concern when young people come from some English local authority areas. The Part 6 Code of Practice under the Social Services and Well-being (Wales) Act 2014 sets out clear responsibility on the placing local authority to hold pre-planning meetings with the receiving local authority area including education and health representatives, and to notify the health board in the receiving area when a placement is made, as well as the LAC Education Co-Ordinator in the receiving area. It should be noted that the Act was not in force when the majority of the fieldwork was undertaken but I would remind all local authorities in Wales of their new duties under the Act. The development of an All Wales health notification pathway for out of area placements is a welcome step towards greater and earlier information sharing. The notification duties in Wales go further than those in the equivalent English Regulations so, based on the strong messages emerging from this report, I fully support recommendation 4.4 of Lord Laming's 'In Care, Out of Trouble' report, that the English statutory guidance must be amended to incorporate the requirements of the Part 6 Code of Practice to the Social Services and Well-Being (Wales) Act.

I have also expressed concern to the English Children's Commissioner about the well-being of children placed from England with little pre-planning for the support they need.

I recommend that CSSIW consider including in its inspection framework for children's homes an assessment of whether providers are accepting young people into their care before adequate support and notification of local agencies has taken place.

Recommendation 3

Some care homes appear to be more likely than others to involve the police when children damage property or are violent. Some have developed strong relationships with local police, and police are able to support decision-making and build proactive relationships with young people. I recommend that CSSIW, providers, commissioners and the Police consider how best to safeguard children and prevent unnecessary criminalisation, formulating an agreed approach through the development of regulations under the Regulation and Inspection of Social Care (Wales) Act 2016 and the revision of the Inspection Framework for children's homes in Wales. In particular, training on consistent approaches, monitoring involvement with the Police and following up persistent issues will be of relevance.

Recommendation 4

Many professionals expressed strong concerns about the housing and support options available for young people when they become 18. One young man of 17 and 10 months did not know where he would be living in two months' time. I recommend that the Welsh Government explores the extension of the When I'm Ready scheme to all children in care and not just those in foster care placements, to ensure equal access to ongoing support for everyone.

I also recommend that the Welsh Government considers the extension of support to all care leavers up to the age of 25. It is important to address the quality and range of options for accommodation for care leavers and other vulnerable young people between the ages of 16 and 25. In addition, local authorities should have consistent approaches to meeting the accommodation and support needs of care leavers.

We need a clear understanding about the contribution that Residential Care can make and what we hope to achieve for young people in Wales. Clarity of purpose for residential care is key. There needs to be a clear definition and understanding for local authorities, young people and their families of what is being offered, whether this is a safe home, therapeutic provision or education, and there must be excellent quality of care in every home.

I look forward to discussing this report with all those responsible for providing, commissioning and regulating children's homes and the Welsh Government. I have a vision that Wales will become a place where all children have an equal chance to be the best they can be. Young people in residential care have usually had a very unequal chance in life so far and we all need to work together to give them a more equal chance for a better future.



0808 801 1000 / 80800*

post@childcomwales.org.uk

* Text us for free (start your message with COM)

@childcomwales

facebook.com/childcomwales

www.childcomwales.org.uk

Write to us:

Children's Commissioner for Wales

Freepost RRGL XLYC BHGC

Swansea SA7 9FS

