



## **RHONDDA CYNON TAF**

### **RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL**

#### **RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE**

**DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet    DATE DECISION MADE: 22<sup>nd</sup> January, 2014**

#### ***Agenda Item 7***

**SUBJECT:**  
Abandoned Shopping Trolley Policy

#### **Cabinet Members Present County Borough Councillors:**

A.Christopher (Chairman), P.Cannon, R.Bevan, (Mrs.)A.Davies,  
M.Forey, (Mrs.)E.Hanagan, C.Middle, A.Morgan, M.Webber and  
C.J.Willis

**In Attendance  
County Borough Councillor:**  
M.J.Watts

**1. DECISION MADE:**

**Agreed** – to approve the publication of the draft Abandoned Shopping Trolley Policy for consultation with all relevant retailers and landowners prior to the formal resolution of the Council to adopt the scheme.

**2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:**

- The need for Cabinet to endorse the initiation of the consultation process for the introduction of an Abandoned Shopping Trolley Policy for Rhondda Cynon Taf, which aims to encourage retailers to ensure their trolleys are kept within the boundaries of their property.

**3. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:**

- Consultation exercise to be undertaken with relevant retailers and landowners.
- Report to be presented to a future meeting of the Council.

**4. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:**

None

**5. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):**

N/A

**6. (a) IS THE DECISION URGENT AND NOT TO BE THE SUBJECT OF ANY CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:**

YES      NO ✓

**Note:** This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the expiry of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. **Monday, 3<sup>rd</sup> February, 2014** to enable it to be the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules.

**6. (b) IF YES, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS URGENT:**

N/A

**6. (c) SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:**

N/A

.....  
(Mayor)

.....  
(Dated)



.....  
(Proper Officer)

23<sup>rd</sup> January, 2014

.....  
(Dated)