



RHONDDA CYNON TAF

RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL

RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE

DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet DATE DECISION MADE: 22nd January, 2014

Agenda Item 9

SUBJECT:

Tomorrow's Valley Joint Waste Treatment Procurement Project Residual Waste

Cabinet Members Present County Borough Councillors:

A.Christopher (Chairman), P.Cannon, R.Bevan, (Mrs.)A.Davies,
M.Forey, (Mrs.)E.Hanagan, C.Middle, A.Morgan, M.Webber and
C.J.Willis

**In Attendance
County Borough Councillor:
M.J.Watts**

1. DECISION MADE:

Agreed –

- To receive the Inter Authority Agreement (IAA) as shown at Appendix 1 to the report and approve it in collaboration with the other party namely Merthyr Tydfil County Borough Council and that any subsequent amendments be agreed by the Director, Legal and Democratic Services.
- To note that, contained within the IAA is a list of key decision that each authorised Officer will be empowered to make on behalf of their Authority. Approval of the IAA will allow the Group Director, Environmental Services to make the key decisions on behalf of the Council.

2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:

- The need for Members to approve the final draft of the Inter Authority Agreement (IAA).

3. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:

- Members and Officers of Merthyr Tydfil County Borough Council.

4. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:

None

5. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):

N/A

6. (a) IS THE DECISION URGENT AND NOT TO BE THE SUBJECT OF ANY CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:

YES NO

Note: This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the expiry of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. **Monday, 3rd February, 2014** to enable it to be the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules.

6. (b) IF YES, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS URGENT:

N/A

6. (c) SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:

N/A

.....
(Mayor)

.....
(Dated)



.....
(Proper Officer)

23rd January, 2014

.....
(Dated)