



RHONDDA CYNON TAF

RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL

RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE

DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet DATE DECISION MADE: 13th May, 2014

Agenda Item 6

SUBJECT:

Improving Primary Education Provision in Aberllechau

Cabinet Members Present County Borough Councillors:

P.Cannon (Chairman), R.Bevan, (Mrs.)A.Davies, M.Forey,
(Mrs.)E.Hanagan, C.Middle, A.Morgan, M.Webber and C.J.Willis

Apology for Absence County Borough Councillor:

A.Christopher

Other Members in Attendance County Borough Councillors:

C.Davies, G.Davies and L.Langford

Note: In the absence of the Chair, County Borough Councillor A.Christopher, the Vice-Chair, County Borough Councillor P.Cannon took the Chair.

1. DECISION MADE:

Agreed – To note the information contained within the report and that formal approval be given to commence consultation on the proposal to close Aberllechau Primary School with pupils transferring to Pontygwaith Primary School in January, 2015.

Noted: With the permission of the Chairman, County Borough Councillor L.Langford spoke on this item raising objections to the proposal to close Aberllechau Primary School.

2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:

- The proposal is in line with the concerns highlighted by Estyn following their inspection in 2012.

3. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:

- Consultation to start on the 2nd June, 2014 and to be completed at 5 p.m. on 18th July, 2014.

4. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:

- In accordance with the Code of Conduct, County Borough Councillor R.Bevan declared a personal and prejudicial interest – “I am a Governor of Pontygwaith Primary School which could be affected by any decision taken and is mentioned in the report”. He left the meeting during the discussion and decision taken thereon.

5. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):

N/A

6. (a) IS THE DECISION URGENT AND NOT TO BE THE SUBJECT OF ANY CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:

YES NO ✓

Note: This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the expiry of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. **Thursday, 22nd May, 2014** to enable it to be the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules.

6. (b) IF YES, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS URGENT:

N/A

6. (c) SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:

N/A

.....
(Mayor)

.....
(Dated)



.....
(Proper Officer)

14th May, 2014

.....
(Dated)