



RHONDDA CYNON TAF

RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL

RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE

DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet DATE DECISION MADE: 31st July, 2014

Agenda Item 8

SUBJECT:

Welsh Government Funding to Support the Development of Town Centre Partnerships in Wales

Cabinet Members Present County Borough Councillors:

A.Morgan (Chairman), R.Bevan, A.Crimmings, M.Forey, E.Hanagan,
K.Montague and M.Webber

Apologies for Absence County Borough Councillors:

P.Cannon and G.Hopkins

Other Members in Attendance County Borough Councillors:

S.Bradwick, (Mrs.)A.Davies, P.Jarman and S.Rees-Owen

1. DECISION MADE:

Agreed –

- That Aberdare and Porth are the two towns within Rhondda Cynon Taf to be nominated.
- That the Director of Regeneration and Planning be authorised to submit an application for the Welsh Government Town Centre Partnerships fund.
- That the report can be subject to call-in as the deadline for submission to the Welsh Government has been extended to the 15th August, 2014 (and not 31st July, 2014 as shown in the report).
- To note an amendment to be made to paragraph 3.5 of the report as the completion date will be later than end of March 2016. However, the funding will remain the same.

Note: With the permission of the Chairman, County Borough Councillor P.Jarman asked whether key players such as the Chambers of Trade has been consulted on this matter to which the Director of Regeneration and Planning responded that they had.

2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:

- In accordance with the Welsh Government's announcement of funding to support the development of Town Centre Partnerships (TCPs) in Wales.

3. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:

- Respective Chambers of Trade.

4. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:

None

5. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):

N/A

6. (a) IS THE DECISION URGENT AND NOT TO BE THE SUBJECT OF ANY CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:

YES NO ✓

Note: This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the expiry of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. **Wednesday, 13th August, 2014** to enable it to be the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules.

6. (b) IF YES, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS URGENT:

N/A

6. (c) SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:

N/A

.....
(Mayor)

.....
(Dated)



.....
(Proper Officer)

4th August, 2014

.....
(Dated)