

RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL

RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE

DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet DATE DECISION MADE: 16th December 2014

Agenda Item 8

SUBJECT:

Play Sufficiency Audit

Cabinet Members Present County Borough Councillors:

A.Morgan (Chairman), P.Cannon, R.Bevan, A.Crimmings, M.Forey, E.Hanagan, G.Hopkins, and K.Montague

Apology for Absence County Borough Councillor: M.Webber

Other Member(s) in Attendance County Borough Councillors:

P.Wasley

1. DECISION MADE:

Agreed -

- To note the contents of the report
- To note that as from the 1st July 2014 the Council must secure sufficient play opportunities in its area for children, so far as reasonably practicable, having regard to its Play Sufficiency Assessment and Play Action Plan
- The Rhondda Cynon Taf Play Sufficiency Assessment refresh Report September 2014 and the implementation of the 2014-2015 Play Action plan as annexed as Appendix 1 of the report.

2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:

- The need to update Cabinet on the current status of play provision across Rhondda Cynon Taf and the new statutory duties placed on Local Authorities since July 2014 relating to play
- In accordance with s11 of the Children and Families (Wales) Measure 2010 to agree the Rhondda Cynon Taf Play Sufficiency Assessment – Refresh Report – September 2014 and the 2014 – 2015 Play Sufficiency Assessment Action Plan.

3. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:

- Regular consultation with Children and young people and their families
- Welsh Government
- Interagency Working Group

4. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:

In accordance with the Code of Conduct, County Borough Councillor K
 Montague declared a personal and prejudicial interest "I am lead officer for
 Merthyr County Borough Council on smoke free playground which is in the
 report." Councillor Montague left the meeting when the matter was discussed
 and voted upon.

5. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):

6. (a)	IS THE DECISION URGENT AND NOT TO BE THE SUBJECT OF ANY CALL- IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:
	YES NO √
expi 201 4	e: This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the ry of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. Wednesday,24th December, 4 to enable it to be the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the rview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules.
6. (b)	IF YES, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS URGENT: N/A
6. (c)	SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:

N/A

(Mayor)

Maristin Splanes

16/12/14. (Dated) (Dated)

(Proper Officer)