



RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL

RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE

DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet DATE DECISION MADE: 22nd January, 2015.

Agenda Item 3

SUBJECT:

Medium Term Service Planning – Service Change Proposals: - Introduction of Charges for the use of Sports Pitches.

**Cabinet Members Present
County Borough Councillors:**

A.Morgan (Chairman), P.Cannon, R.Bevan, A.Crimmings, M.Forey,
E.Hanagan, G.Hopkins, K Montague and M.Webber

**Other Members in Attendance
County Borough Councillors:**

S.Bradwick, R Lewis, P Griffiths, P Jarman, S Rees-Owen and T
Williams.

1. DECISION MADE:

Agreed –

- To adopt the service change proposal of Charging for the Use of Sports Pitches as detailed within the report subject to the amendments listed below:-
 - The charges for 1st, 2nd and 3rd adult football / rugby teams are each reduced by £100 i.e. from £600 (1st), £450 (2nd) and £300 (3rd) to £500, £350 and £200 respectively
 - In respect of children's participation in sport:
 - Charges for all under 12 age group teams are removed
 - Charges for all Junior Teams (12-18 age group teams) are consistent at £50 per team
 - Bowls charges are based on a per team calculation rather than chargeable to individuals (using same basis as Cricket charges)
 - Operationally, the service will implement Friday pitch inspections instead of weekend inspections where this is considered appropriate

The result of these amendments is reflected in an amended charging schedule shown below:

REVISED OPTION			
		Annual Charge per team	
Football/Rugby	Adults - 1st team	500	
	2nd team	350	
	3rd team	200	
	JNr Team (12 - 18)	50	
	Under 12s	0	
Cricket / Bowls	Adults	450	
	Concessionary	100	
	JNr Team (12 - 18)	50	
	Under 12s (cricket)	0	

N.B – with the permission of the Chairman, County Borough Councillor P Jarman spoke at the meeting supporting the amendments to the proposed charges.

2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:

- The need to consider service change proposals required as part of the Council's Medium Term Planning arrangements, specifically in the context of the need to reduce spend and enable the council to set a balanced budget into the medium term.
- The need for Cabinet Members to consider the results of the comprehensive consultation exercise that ended on the 16th December, 2014 and the Equality Impact Assessment completed for the proposal.

3. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:

- Report to Cabinet on the 10th October, 2014 resulting in a Consultation exercise being undertaken as outlined in section 5 of the report, which ran from the 21st October to the 16th December, 2014.

4. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:

- None.

5. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):

N/A

6. (a) IS THE DECISION URGENT AND NOT TO BE THE SUBJECT OF ANY CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:

YES NO ✓

Note: This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the expiry of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. **30th January, 2015** to enable it to be the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules.

6. (b) IF YES, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS URGENT:

N/A

. (c) SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:

N/A

.....
(Mayor)

.....
(Dated)

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'A. Smith' or similar, written in a cursive style.

.....
(Proper Officer)

22.01.15
(Dated)