



RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL

RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE

DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet DATE DECISION MADE: 12th February, 2015.

Agenda Item 3

SUBJECT:
Joint Supplies Service – Constitution Amendment.

**Cabinet Members Present
County Borough Councillors:**

A.Morgan (Chairman), P.Cannon, R.Bevan, A.Crimmings, M.Forey,
E.Hanagan, G.Hopkins, K Montague and M.Webber

**Other Members in Attendance
County Borough Councillors:**

P. Griffiths, S. Bradwick, A. Calvert, R. Lewis, T. Bates, J. Bonetto,
T. Leyshon and R. K. Turner

1. DECISION MADE:

Agreed –

- To note the contents of the report
- To approve the draft revised Joint Supplies Constitution as set out in Appendix 2 of the report.
- To delegate authority to the Service Director, Procurement and the Director, Legal & Democratic Services, in consultation with the Cabinet portfolio holder for Procurement, County Borough Councillor M Webber to:-
 - Agree minor amendments to the draft version of the revised Joint Supplies Constitution and then conclude the final version of the same.
 - To deal with any further variations to the Joint Supplies Constitution, once concluded, that may be proposed from time to time, provided that such variations are within budget and the overall principles of the Joint Supplies service.

2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:

- The need to agree the revised Joint Supplies Constitution.

3. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:

- The revised draft constitution has been reviewed by appropriate officers of the Council to ensure it accords with the agreed principles. Other council's participating in the joint arrangement have also undertaken a similar process.

4. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:

- None

5. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):

N/A

6. (a) IS THE DECISION URGENT AND NOT TO BE THE SUBJECT OF ANY CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:

YES NO ✓

Note: This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the expiry of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. **20th February 2015** to enable it to be the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules.

6. (b) IF YES, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS URGENT:

N/A

. (c) SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:

N/A

.....
(Mayor)

.....
(Dated)



.....
(Proper Officer)

12.02.15
(Dated)