



RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL

RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE

DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet DATE DECISION MADE: 14th May, 2015.

Agenda Item 5

SUBJECT:

Engaging with Community Councils on the Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL)

**Cabinet Members Present
County Borough Councillors:**

A.Morgan (Chairman), R.Bevan, A.Crimmings, M.Forey, E.Hanagan,
G.Hopkins, and M.Webber

**Apology for absence
County Borough Councillors:
P Cannon & K. Montague**

**Other Member(s) in attendance
County Borough Councillor(s)
J Rosser**

1. DECISION MADE:

Agreed –

- To approve the draft engagement strategy for engaging with Community Councils on the Community Infrastructure Levy,

N.B – The Cabinet Committee expressed their thanks for the hard work of the Corporate Services Scrutiny Committee and its working group which looked in detail at the Community infrastructure Levy and the resulting draft engagement strategy.

2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:

- The need to consider and approve a draft engagement strategy, following the introduction of the Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) Regulations, requiring charging authorities to pass a proportion of CIL receipts to community and town councils from developments that take place in their areas.

3. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:

- Cabinet Report – 30th October, 2014
- Corporate Services Scrutiny Working Group

4. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:

- County Borough Councillor G Hopkins declared a personal and non-prejudicial interest “I am a member of Llanharan Community Council”.

5. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):

N/A

6. (a) IS THE DECISION URGENT AND NOT TO BE THE SUBJECT OF ANY CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:

YES NO ✓

Note: This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the expiry of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. **22nd May 2015** to enable it to be the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules.

6. (b) IF YES, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS URGENT:

N/A

6. (c) SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:

N/A

.....
(Mayor)

.....
(Dated)



.....
(Proper Officer)

14.05.15
(Dated)