



RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL

RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE

DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet DATE DECISION MADE: 23rd July, 2015

Agenda Item 5

SUBJECT:
Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) – Regulation 123 List

**Cabinet Members Present
County Borough Councillors:**

A.Morgan (Chairman), R.Bevan, A.Crimmings, M.Forey, E.Hanagan,
G.Hopkins, K. Montague and M.Webber.

**Apology for Absence
County Borough Councillor:**
J Rosser

**Other Members in Attendance
County Borough Councillor(s):**
P Jarman, P Wasley & R Smith

1. DECISION MADE:

Agreed –

- The amended Regulation 123 List as shown at Appendix 1 to the report, for publication on the Council's website for 28 days and consultation as set out in paragraph 5.2 of the report.
- The subsequent adoption of the amended Regulation 123 List if no adverse comments are received.

N.B. with the permission of the Chairman, County Borough Councillors P Jarman and P Wasley addressed the Committee on this item.

2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:

- In accordance with the requirements of The Community Infrastructure Levy Regulations 2010 (as amended) – the need to publish an Infrastructure List (known as the 123 List).

3. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:

- Report to Cabinet – 30th October, 2014
- Report to Council – 10th December, 2014.
- Corporate Services Scrutiny Committee – 18th November, 2014
- Corporate Services Scrutiny Committee – 9th April, 2015
- Cabinet – 14th May, 2015
- Finance and Performance Scrutiny Committee – 15th June, 2015

4. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:

- County Borough Councillor G Hopkins declared a personal interest "I am a Member of Llanharan Community Council".

5. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):

N/A

6. (a) IS THE DECISION URGENT AND NOT TO BE THE SUBJECT OF ANY CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:

YES NO ✓

Note: This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the expiry of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. **31st July, 2015** to enable it to be the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules.

6. (b) IF YES, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS URGENT:

N/A

6. (c) SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:

N/A

.....
(Mayor)

.....
(Dated)



.....
(Proper Officer)

23.07.15
(Dated)