



RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL

RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE

DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet DATE DECISION MADE: 22nd October, 2015.

Agenda Item 2

SUBJECT:
Improving Primary Education Provision in Ferndale

**Cabinet Members Present
County Borough Councillors:**

A.Morgan (Chairman), R.Bevan, A.Crimmings, M.Forey,
E. Hanagan, G Hopkins, K. Montague, J. Rosser & M.Webber

Other County Borough Councillor(s)

In Attendance:-

A Davies, P Howe, P Jarman, T Leyshon, L Walker, E Webster &
P Wasley.

1. DECISION MADE:

Agreed –

- To note the information contained within the report
- To commence formal consultation on the proposal:-
 - To close Ferndale Infants School and transfer its pupils and education provision to Darren Park Primary School.

N.B With the permission of the Chairman, County Borough Councillors A Davies, P Howe & P Jarman spoke on this item.

2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:

- The proposal proposes to improve educational outcomes; improve educational provision and improve leadership and management. (as outlined within Appendix 1 of the report)
- Following consideration of the report to give approval to commence the relevant and required statutory consultation.

3. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:

- N/A.

4. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:

- County Borough Councillor K Montague declared a personal and prejudicial interest and left the meeting when the item was discussed and voted upon “ A close friend works within the school”
- County Borough Councillor R Bevan declared a personal and prejudicial interest and left the meeting when the item was discussed and voted upon “ I know two Members of staff working within the school “

Non Cabinet Member.

- County Borough Councillor A Davies declared a personal and non prejudicial interest “ I am on the Governing Body of Darren Park Primary School but my interest is not prejudicial because of the exemption set out in paragraph 12(2)(a)(iii) of the Code of Conduct “

5. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):

N/A

6. (a) IS THE DECISION URGENT AND NOT TO BE THE SUBJECT OF ANY CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:

YES NO ✓

Note: This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the expiry of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. **30th October 2015** to enable it to be the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules.

6. (b) IF YES, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS URGENT:

N/A

6. (c) SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:

N/A

.....
(Mayor)

.....
(Dated)



.....
(Proper Officer)

22.10.15
(Dated)