

RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL

RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE

DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet DATE DECISION MADE: 21st January 2016

Agenda Item 4

SUBJECT:

Provision of Byelaws on Acupuncture, Tattooing, Semi-permanent Skin Colouring, Cosmetic piercing and Electrolysis in Rhondda Cynon Taf.

Cabinet Members Present County Borough Councillors:

A.Morgan (Chairman), R.Bevan, A.Crimmings, E. Hanagan, G Hopkins, K. Montague & J. Rosser.

Apologies for Absence County Borough Councillors: M.Forey & M.Webber

1. DECISION MADE:

Agreed -

- To recommend to Council the adoption of the provisions of the Local Government (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1982, Part VIII, sections 14-17 to enable new Byelaws to be adopted.
- To approve in their entirety the draft Model Byelaws reproduced in Appendix 1 of the report and
 - Authorises the Service Director, Public Health & Protection to publicise notice of intention to make a byelaw using Local Government Byelaws (Wales) Act 2012 and to consult relevant persons and
 - Delegates authority to the Service Director, Public Health & Protection to consider feedback from consultation before progressing to full Council
 - ➤ Subject to the outcome of the public consultation, to recommend the Council adopt the final, proposed model byelaws in accordance with the provisions of the Local Government Byelaws (Wales) Act 2012.
- To further recommend to Council the revocation of all existing byelaws, which
 regulate ear piercing, tattooing, acupuncture and electrolysis with effect from the
 start date of the new byelaws.

2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:

- Effective regulation of the trade is necessary to protect individuals from infection but also to safeguard the wider public health.
- The adoption of Welsh Government Model Byelaws will ensure the Council has a regulatory framework in place to control the skin piercing trade within Rhondda Cynon Taf.

3. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:

N/A.

4. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:

None

5. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):

6. (a)	IS THE DECISION URGENT AND NOT TO BE THE SUBJECT OF ANY CALL- IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:
	YES NO √
expiry to be	This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. 29 th January, 2016 to enable it the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny dure Rules.
6. (b)	IF YES, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS URGENT:
N/A	
6. (c)	SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:

(Mayor)

N/A

(Proper Officer)

21.01.16 (Dated) (Dated)