

RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL

RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE

DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet DATE DECISION MADE: 21st January 2016

Agenda Item 7

SUBJECT:

Service Change Proposals: - Options for Reducing Street Lighting Energy Costs by Converting Existing Lighting Units to Light Emitting Diode (LED) Units.

Cabinet Members Present County Borough Councillors:

A.Morgan (Chairman), R.Bevan, A.Crimmings, E. Hanagan, G Hopkins, K. Montague & J. Rosser.

Apologies for Absence County Borough Councillors: M.Forey & M.Webber

1. DECISION MADE:

Agreed -

- To approve the implementation of the package of energy saving measures identified in Options 1 and 2 of the report, delivering net annual savings of £254k (full year).
- That in light of the ongoing potential to reduce energy and carbon costs by investing in further packages of LED replacement lighting, that savings achieved through the replacement programme will be ring fenced and reinvested in any future replacement programmes that are required.
- To endorse the principle that LED technology will be utilised wherever practicable for routine lighting replacement where faults occur.

2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:

- To provide Members with service change options for reducing revenue costs for Street Lighting by converting existing lighting units to more efficient light emitting diode (LED) energy saving units.
- Implementing the identified options within the report would deliver a net annual saving of £254k (full year).

CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:

 As outlined within Section 6 of the report, consultation was undertaken prior to implementing service change proposals related to part night lighting. These proposals do not involve turning off or part night lighting and therefore do not constitute a reduction or detriment to existing service provision. Consequently, further consultation is not considered appropriate.

4. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:

- None
- 5. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):

 N/A
- 6. (a) IS THE DECISION URGENT AND NOT TO BE THE SUBJECT OF ANY CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:

YES NO √

expiry to be	of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. 29 th January, 2016 to enable it he subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny ture Rules.
6. (b)	IF YES, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE
	DECISION IS URGENT:
	N/A
6. (c)	SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE
	CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS
	A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND
	SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:
	N/A
	(Mayor) (Dated)
	(mayor) (Dutou)

(Proper Officer)

21.01.16 (Dated)